

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXII No. 16

October 20, 2020

237 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Gawlik v. Semple (Order), 335 C 953 . . . . .	83
Schwerin v. Ratcliffe, 335 C 300 . . . . .	2
<i>Action seeking judgment declaring proper distribution of assets from two family trusts; motions for summary judgment; per stirpes distribution of trusts; claim that stirpital roots should begin at level of grantor's grandchildren, resulting in trust principal being initially divided into six equal shares; claim that stirpital roots should be determined once trust terms expire and that roots should be at whatever level of descendants has members living at time of expiration; claim that trial court correctly determined that stirpital roots should be at level of grantor's children, resulting in trust principal being initially divided into three equal shares; claim that, because trusts provided for principal to be distributed to grantors' issue "then living," grantors could not have intended for initial division to be at level of three children.</i>	
Spicer v. Montagnese (Order), 335 C 954 . . . . .	84
State v. Hargett (Order), 335 C 952 . . . . .	82
State v. Ingala (Order), 335 C 954 . . . . .	84
State v. Kosuda-Bigazzi, 335 C 327 . . . . .	29
<i>Murder; tampering with physical evidence; appeal pursuant to statute (§ 52-265a) involving matter of substantial public interest; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to dismiss charges; whether trial court committed clear error in determining that defendant failed to establish that certain documents seized by police pursuant to search warrant were protected by attorney-client privilege; whether trial court abused its discretion in limiting testimony of defendant's expert witnesses and in precluding testimony of two other expert witnesses; claim that manner in which documents were maintained established that they were privileged; claim that documents were sufficient in and of themselves to be considered privileged because their content was obviously useful to preparing defense; claim that documents in one seized file were privileged because they were substantively identical to documents in other seized file that parties had stipulated was covered by attorney-client privilege; claim that file containing estate planning documents was subject to attorney-client privilege because documents were created for purpose of seeking legal advice; whether trial court abused its discretion in determining that dismissal of charges was not warranted and that state established by clear and convincing evidence that remedial steps it took could cure any presumed prejudice and prevent future prejudice to defendant.</i>	
State v. Mayo (Order), 335 C 954 . . . . .	84
State v. Romero (Order), 335 C 955 . . . . .	85
Williams v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles (Order), 335 C 952 . . . . .	82
Volume 335 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	87

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

American Tax Funding, LLC v. Design Land Developers of Newtown, Inc., 200 CA 837 . . . . .	37A
<i>Municipal tax collection; subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to render judgment against defendant estate because estate was not legal entity that could be sued; dismissal of appeal as moot; whether trial court's judgment as against defendant estate should be vacated.</i>	
Heather S. v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 200 CA 904 . . . . .	72A

(continued on next page)

Maldonado v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 200 CA 904 . . . . .	72A
State v. Elmassri (Memorandum Decision), 200 CA 904 . . . . .	72A
State v. Pjura, 200 CA 802 . . . . .	2A
<i>Assault in second degree; larceny in sixth degree; whether evidence was sufficient for jury to find that defendant intended to cause victim serious injury; whether defendant was denied right to fair trial as result of prosecutorial impropriety.</i>	
Syms v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 200 CA 905 . . . . .	73A
Wahba v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., 200 CA 852 . . . . .	52A
<i>Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.); foreclosure; whether plaintiff failed to adequately brief claim regarding foreclosure judgment; whether plaintiff's claim that trial court improperly granted motion in limine should be dismissed as moot for failure to challenge each of court's independent bases for its ruling; whether trial court abused its discretion in granting motion in limine; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying plaintiff's request to amend complaint.</i>	
Volume 200 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	75A
Anderson v. Commissioner of Correction, 201 CA 1 . . . . .	83A
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance to petitioner in failing to present evidence in support of petitioner's claim of self-defense; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petitioner's petition for certification to appeal.</i>	
Nationstar Mortgage, LLC v. Gabriel, 201 CA 39 . . . . .	121A
<i>Summary process; return of service; whether trial court properly denied motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; claim that notice to quit was not served on all designated occupants of property, as required by statute (§ 47a-23); whether trial court erred in denying defendants' request for evidentiary hearing despite having raised disputed issue of fact; claim that absence of evidentiary hearing led to clearly erroneous findings by trial court.</i>	
State v. Anderson, 201 CA 21 . . . . .	103A
<i>Assault in first degree with firearm; assault of peace officer with firearm; self-defense; claim that trial court improperly failed to instruct jury on self-defense.</i>	
Volume 201 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	129A
<b>SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES</b>	
Summaries . . . . .	1B
<b>NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES</b>	
DOPH-Notice of Declaratory Ruling Procedure . . . . .	1C
<b>MISCELLANEOUS</b>	
Notice of Pendency of Reinstatement Application . . . . .	1D

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
Office of Production and Distribution  
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
[www.jud.ct.gov](http://www.jud.ct.gov)

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

*Published Weekly* – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.