

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXII No. 15

October 13, 2020

183 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Bank of New York Mellon v. Ruttkamp (Order), 335 C 951	81
Fry v. Lobbruzzo (Order), 335 C 951	81
Hamann v. Carl (Orders), 335 C 949	79
Merrit Medical Center Owners Corp., Inc. v. Gianetti (Order), 335 C 950	80
MTGLQ Investors, L.P. v. Hammons (Order), 335 C 950	80
Olbrych v. Kaster Moving Co. (Order), 335 C 952	82
State v. Auburn W. (Order), 335 C 950	80
State v. Lopez (Order), 335 C 951	81
State v. Prince A. (Order), 335 C 949	79
State v. Rhodes, 335 C 226	2
<i>Criminal possession of firearm; having weapon in motor vehicle; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of criminal possession of firearm when evidence established only that defendant and firearm were in same car at same time; whether there was sufficient evidence from which jury reasonably could have found that defendant constructively possessed firearm; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of having weapon in motor vehicle; claim that “knowingly has” element of statute ((Rev. to 2013) § 29-38 (a)) prohibiting having weapon in motor vehicle should be construed to mean “knowingly possesses”; whether trial court committed plain error in applying law existing at time of defendant’s trial; defendant’s request that this court exercise its supervisory authority over administration of justice.</i>	
State v. Taveras (Order), 335 C 948	78
Volume 335 Cumulative Table of Cases	83

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Autry v. Hosey, 200 CA 795	77A
<i>Negligence; whether trial court erred in finding that pedestrians struck by motor vehicles suffer greater emotional trauma than those involved in motor vehicle accidents as drivers or passengers and improperly calculated noneconomic damages based on this finding.</i>	
Casiraghi v. Casiraghi, 200 CA 771	53A
<i>Marital dissolution; whether trial court’s findings that plaintiff engaged in wilful violations of financial obligations were clearly erroneous; whether trial court abused its discretion in not considering plaintiff’s defense of inability to pay or in not rejecting that defense before finding that failure to meet financial obligations was wilful; whether trial court’s interpretation of parties’ separation agreement was clearly erroneous.</i>	
Costanzo v. Plainfield, 200 CA 755	37A
<i>Recklessness; negligence; whether trial court erred in sustaining objections to notice of intent to pursue apportionment and apportionment complaint; whether first exception in provision of municipal liability statute (§ 52-557n (b) (8)) contains negligence standard; whether allegations set forth in complaint allege negligent conduct and fall within first exception of § 52-557n (b) (8); whether defendants could seek apportionment as to property owners and former tenants pursuant to apportionment statute (§ 52-572h (o)).</i>	

(continued on next page)

Ross v. Ross, 200 CA 720. 2A
Dissolution of marriage; motion for modification of alimony; motion for attorney's fees; claim that trial court abused its discretion in determining amount of modified unallocated alimony and child support order; failure to unbundle child support award from alimony award and to apply child support guidelines in modifying order; claim that trial court abused its discretion by ordering defendant to pay plaintiff's attorney's fees.

Silver Hill Hospital, Inc. v. Kessler, 200 CA 742. 24A
Collections; claim that fact finder's conclusions were not based on evidence presented at trial; claim that fact finder improperly failed to consider defendant's contention that plaintiff had duty to contact Medicare to resolve coverage issue; whether trial court properly denied defendant's objections to fact finder's report; claim that hospital debt collection statute (§ 19a-673d) compelled judgment for defendant.

Volume 200 Cumulative Table of Cases 85A

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries 1B

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DOH-Issuance of Affordable Housing Project Completion Certificate 1C

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

=====
The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.