

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXII No. 12

September 22, 2020

165 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Budrawich v. Budrawich, 200 CA 229 . . . . .	27
<i>Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court properly found that defendant consented to court's request for extension of 120 day time period pursuant to rule of practice (§ 11-19) to issue decision on plaintiff's motion for order; whether trial court properly granted plaintiff's motion to modify alimony; whether trial court properly found that plaintiff met her burden to establish substantial change in circumstances; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion to correct and issued corrected memorandum of decision; clarity of court's construction of alimony provision in dissolution judgment; whether language of alimony provision relieved plaintiff of burden to demonstrate substantial change in circumstances; mootness; claim that trial court improperly granted defendant's motion for downward modification of alimony.</i>	
Clinton v. Aspinwall, 200 CA 205 . . . . .	3
<i>Breach of contract; motion to set aside verdict; motion for judgment notwithstanding verdict; whether trial court improperly construed agreement and erred in denying posttrial motions; whether trial court improperly instructed jury regarding provision of agreement; harmless error; whether trial court improperly awarded attorney's fees and costs.</i>	
HSBC Bank USA, National Assn. v. Gilbert, 200 CA 335 . . . . .	133
<i>Foreclosure; whether trial court erred in granting motion for summary judgment as to liability; claim that genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether defendants received proper notice of default and acceleration of note; claim that trial court erred when it relied in part on representations of counsel as to facts related to error in conjunction with electronic filing; claim that trial court erred in relying on plaintiff's affidavits in support of motion for summary judgment; claim that plaintiff's affidavit of debt did not comply with holding of Jenzack Partners, LLC v. Stoneridge Associates, LLC (183 Conn. App. 128), because affiant lacked personal knowledge as to starting balance of debt.</i>	
In re Elizabeth W. (Memorandum Decision), 200 CA 901 . . . . .	157
McLoughlin v. Planning & Zoning Commission, 200 CA 307 . . . . .	105
<i>Zoning; appeal from decision of defendant planning and zoning commission denying plaintiffs' application for special permit to construct crematory in industrial zone; claim that Superior Court improperly concluded that there was substantial evidence in record to support commission's denial of application; claim that commission improperly failed to consider special permit application on merits; whether commission predetermined its denial of application.</i>	
Pentland v. Commissioner of Correction, 200 CA 296 . . . . .	94
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly dismissed petition for writ of habeas corpus for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether petitioner was in custody at time he filed habeas petition; claim that sentences petitioner was serving and sentence on convictions he had completed when he filed habeas petition should be treated as consecutive sentences under Garlotte v. Fordice (515 U.S. 39) for purpose of advancing his release date.</i>	
Ruscoe v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 200 CA 901 . . . . .	157
Sosa v. Robinson, 200 CA 264 . . . . .	62
<i>Alleged deprivation of plaintiff's federal constitutional rights; whether trial court erred when it dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction counts of complaint that sought compensatory relief against defendant correctional institution</i>	

(continued on next page)

*employee in his individual capacity; whether defendant was entitled to summary judgment on count of complaint that alleged retaliation where plaintiff failed to submit evidence to create genuine issue of material fact that there was causal connection between his protected first amendment activity and adverse employment action; whether plaintiff failed to demonstrate existence of genuine issue of material fact as to defendant's discriminatory intent where plaintiff's prior termination from job as commissary line worker constituted legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason for denial of his application for employment in commissary; whether plaintiff's takings claim failed as matter of law where plaintiff neither alleged nor submitted any evidence regarding appropriation of property or any evidence of unconstitutional taking.*

Volume 200 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 159

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Notice of Reinstatement of Attorney . . . . . 1A  
Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel . . . . . 1A

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
Office of Production and Distribution  
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
[www.jud.ct.gov](http://www.jud.ct.gov)

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>*

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
Tel. (860) 757-2250

=====  
The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.