

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

---

VOL. LXXXII No. 1                      July 7, 2020                      320 Pages

---

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Benitez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 335 C 924 . . . . .	82
In re Omar I. (Order), 335 C 924 . . . . .	82
Jemiola v. Hartford Casualty Ins. Co., 335 C 117 . . . . .	57
<i>Homeowners insurance; breach of contract; denial of coverage by defendant insurance company for cracks in basement walls under provision in policy insuring against collapse of building or part thereof; summary judgment; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that only homeowners insurance policies issued to plaintiff by defendant since March, 2005, were applicable to plaintiff's claim for coverage; whether there was genuine issue of material fact as to whether structural integrity of plaintiff's basement walls was substantially impaired when policies issued to plaintiff before March, 2005, were in effect; whether trial court correctly concluded that collapse provision of applicable homeowners insurance policy unambiguously excluded coverage for cracks in plaintiff's basement walls; whether plaintiff's house suffered abrupt falling down or caving in, complete or partial, such that it could not be occupied for its intended purpose; claim that definition of "collapse" contained in policy was ambiguous and, therefore, that substantial impairment of structural integrity standard adopted by this court in Beach v. Middlesex Mutual Assurance Co. (205 Conn. 246) applied for purpose of determining coverage.</i>	
Karas v. Liberty Ins. Corp., 335 C 62 . . . . .	2
<i>Homeowners insurance; breach of contract; crumbling foundations; motion for summary judgment; certified question from United States District Court for District of Connecticut; reformulation of certified question; whether substantial impairment of structural integrity standard, as set forth in Beach v. Middlesex Mutual Assurance Co. (205 Conn. 246), was applicable to provision of plaintiffs' homeowners insurance policy covering collapse of building; evidence required to satisfy substantial impairment of structural integrity standard, discussed; claim that, to satisfy substantial impairment of structural integrity standard, home must be in imminent danger of falling down or caving in, that is, in imminent danger of actual collapse; whether coverage exclusion in plaintiffs' homeowners insurance policy for collapse of "foundation" unambiguously included basement walls of plaintiffs' home.</i>	
Petrucelli v. Meriden (Order), 335 C 923 . . . . .	81
Pfister v. Madison Beach Hotel, LLC (Order), 335 C 923 . . . . .	81
Vera v. Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co., 335 C 110 . . . . .	50
<i>Homeowners insurance; breach of contract; motion for summary judgment; removal of action from state court to federal court; certified question from United States District Court for District of Connecticut; reliance on this court's decision in companion case of Karas v. Liberty Ins. Corp. (335 Conn. 62); whether, to satisfy substantial impairment of structural integrity standard, as set forth in Beach v. Middlesex Mutual Assurance Co. (205 Conn. 246), home must be in imminent danger of falling down or caving in, that is, in imminent danger of actual collapse.</i>	
Volume 335 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	83

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Alpha Beta Capital Partners, L.P. v. Pursuit Investment Management, LLC, 198 CA 671 . . . . .	31A
<i>Sanctions; whether trial court's order of sanctions met requirements for evaluating whether court's order constituted abuse of discretion; whether trial court's order</i>	

(continued on next page)

*was reasonably clear; whether trial court properly found that defendants violated court's discovery order; whether trial court's order of sanctions was proportionate to defendants' violation of court's discovery orders.*

Bank of New York Mellon v. Mangiafico, 198 CA 722 . . . . . 82A  
*Foreclosure; whether foreclosure action was barred by limitation period set forth in statute (§ 42a-3-118); whether special defense that plaintiff engaged in inequitable conduct failed as matter of law because it was neither legally sufficient nor did it address making, validity or enforcement of mortgage.*

Gerrish v. Hammick, 198 CA 816 . . . . . 176A  
*Defamation; tortious interference; claim that trial court abused its discretion in granting defendant's motion to reargue; claim that trial court improperly granted, upon reconsideration, defendant's motion for summary judgment.*

Maselli v. Regional School District No. 10, 198 CA 643 . . . . . 3A  
*Summary judgment; assault and battery; intentional infliction of emotional distress; negligent infliction of emotional distress; negligence; recklessness; whether plaintiff's claims were barred by governmental immunity pursuant to statute (§ 52-557n (a) (2) (B)), where plaintiff failed to establish any of three prongs of identifiable person-imminent harm exception to governmental immunity; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.*

McCullough v. Rocky Hill, 198 CA 703 . . . . . 63A  
*Abuse of process; intentional infliction of emotional distress; trespass; trespass to chattels; fraudulent misrepresentation; invasion of privacy; summary judgment; governmental immunity; claim that trial court improperly rendered summary judgment for defendant town on certain intentional tort claims; whether trial court correctly determined that, pursuant to statute (§ 52-557n (a) (2) (A)), intentional tort claims were barred by doctrine of governmental immunity; whether trial court properly rendered summary judgment for town on abuse of process claims; whether genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether town commenced tax lien foreclosure action primarily to obtain purpose for which proceedings were not designed; whether § 52-557n (a) (2) (A) afforded town governmental immunity against abuse of process claim for its tax foreclosure action.*

Petrucelli v. Meriden, 198 CA 838 . . . . . 198A  
*Appeal of violation of city ordinance; whether trial court should have dismissed petition for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether petitioner had statutory right to appeal to Superior Court from hearing officer's decision.*

Rozbicki v. Sconyers, 198 CA 767 . . . . . 127A  
*Vexatious litigation; whether trial court erred in granting defendants' motions for summary judgment; claim that genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether defendant knew of availability of insurance coverage at time he hired private counsel; claim that defendant did not provide full and fair statement of all facts within his knowledge to counsel when relying on advice of counsel; claim that attorney failed to perform adequate investigation before filing special defenses*

(continued on next page)

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**  
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
 Office of Production and Distribution  
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

---

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

*and counterclaim; claim that attorney lacked probable cause to file special defenses and counterclaim because he was not experienced in specific area of law.*

State v. Crafter, 198 CA 732 . . . . . 92A

*Assault in first degree; motion for judgment of acquittal; claim that evidence presented at trial was insufficient to establish that defendant intended to cause serious physical injury to victim; claim that trial court committed plain error by failing to provide defense of others instruction, sua sponte, to jury.*

State v. Morlo M., 198 CA 748 . . . . . 108A

*Assault in first degree; risk of injury to child; unlawful restraint in first degree; whether state failed to prove that defendant caused victim serious physical injury, and, thus, that evidence was insufficient to support conviction of assault in first degree; whether evidence was insufficient to support conviction of risk of injury to child, where defendant was charged under portion of risk of injury statute (§ 53-21 (a) (1)) that required that he have general intent to perform act that created situation that put children’s health and morals at risk of impairment; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of unlawful restraint in first degree; claim that defendant’s intent to unlawfully restrain victim was not independent from defendant’s intent to assault victim.*

Winakor v. Savalle, 198 CA 792 . . . . . 152A

*Breach of contract; violation of Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.); violation of Home Improvement Act (§ 20-418 et seq.); attorney’s fees; whether trial court properly determined that defendant was liable under CUTPA on basis of underlying violation of Home Improvement Act; claim that work performed by defendant was part of new home construction and, thus, fell within statutory exception contained in § 20-419 (4); claim that definition of home improvement included work performed on land regardless of whether there is existing building; claim that there was no basis for plaintiff’s recovery of attorney’s fees and costs in connection with alleged CUTPA violation, as there was no violation of § 20-418 et seq.; whether trial court improperly rendered judgment for plaintiff on breach of contract claim; whether trial court’s findings were clearly erroneous.*

Volume 198 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 215A

**SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES**

Summaries . . . . . 1B

**NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES**

Notice of Intent to Amend Connecticut Green Bank C-PACE Program Guidelines. . . . . 1C

Connecticut State Dental Commission—Notice of Hearing . . . . . 2C

Notice of Intent to Apply for Affordable Housing Completion Certificate. . . . . 3C

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Notice of Court Sessions 2020—2021 . . . . . 1D