

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXI No. 52

June 23, 2020

153 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. v. O'Brien (Order), 335 C 922	2
Volume 335 Cumulative Table of Cases	3

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Anderson v. Commissioner of Correction, 198 CA 320	70A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that conditions of petitioner's confinement were illegal because he was receiving constitutionally inadequate mental health treatment; whether habeas court violated petitioner's right to procedural due process under fourteenth amendment to United States constitution on grounds that he had no notice that habeas petition would be decided in same proceeding as emergency motion on mental health treatment and that he was denied meaningful opportunity to be heard.</i>	
Davis v. Commissioner of Correction, 198 CA 345	95A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether petitioner's trial and appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance for having failed to challenge statute (§ 53a-149) criminalizing bribery of witness as facially overbroad under novel constitutional argument that § 53a-149 could encompass legal activity such as settlement negotiations; whether counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to request at trial and to argue on direct appeal that trial court should have given jury instruction on true threats with respect to charge of breach of peace in second degree in violation of statute (§ 53a-181 (a) (3)); whether claim that trial court's failure to give jury instruction on true threats as to charge under § 53a-181 (a) (3) was inextricably linked to claim in habeas petition that appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance for having failed to challenge § 53a-181 (a) as facially overbroad and unconstitutionally vague as applied; whether trial counsel and appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance for having failed to challenge admission into evidence of petitioner's blood test results.</i>	
Moyher v. Moyher, 198 CA 334	84A
<i>Marital dissolution; claim that trial court improperly found that certain real property located in New Hampshire was marital asset; claim that trial court improperly awarded plaintiff 40 percent of value of property; reviewability of claim that trial court abused its discretion in not allowing defendant to present evidence regarding prenuptial agreement; whether trial court abused its discretion in ordering defendant to pay plaintiff her share of New Hampshire property within five months of dissolution judgment.</i>	
Sclafani Properties, LLC v. Sport-N-Life Distributing, LLC, 198 CA 292	42A
<i>Breach of lease; attorney trial referee; attorney's fees; claim that trial court erred when it failed to include in its judgment for plaintiff amount for unpaid real estate taxes because it improperly accepted attorney trial referee's finding that plaintiff provided no evidence of such unpaid taxes; claim that trial court abused its discretion in its award of attorney's fees.</i>	
State v. Dyou, 198 CA 253.	3A
<i>Petition to extend psychiatric commitment of acquittee to jurisdiction of Psychiatric Security Review Board; claim that trial court's findings that, at time of state's petition, acquittee was mentally ill and dangerous to himself or others were clearly</i>	

(continued on next page)

erroneous; claim that trial court improperly granted state's petition to extend acquittee's commitment.
 State v. Magaraci, 198 CA 305 55A
Assault in first degree; claim that state presented insufficient evidence to disprove defendant's theory of self-defense; credibility of witnesses; whether jury reasonably could have concluded that defendant was initial aggressor and that he had ability to safely retreat; whether defendant waived claim that trial court improperly charged jury on self-defense.
 State v. Robert H., 198 CA 276. 26A
Risk of injury to child; corpus delicti or corroboration rule; claim that evidence was insufficient to support guilty verdict on second charge of risk of injury because common-law corpus delicti rule prevented defendant from being convicted solely on basis of his uncorroborated confession that more than one such incident occurred in absence of independent proof regarding second incident.
 Volume 198 Cumulative Table of Cases 143A

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Notice of Public Hearing - Practice Book Revisions to the Rules of Appellate Procedure being considered by the Supreme and Appellate Courts 1PB

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

=====

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.