

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

---

VOL. LXXXI No. 50                      June 9, 2020                      203 Pages

---

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Brown v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 335 C 920 . . . . .	4
Starboard Resources, Inc. v. Henry (Order), 335 C 919 . . . . .	3
State v. Rosa (Order), 335 C 920 . . . . .	4
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Mamudi (Order), 335 C 921 . . . . .	5
Volume 335 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	7

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Devine v. Fusaro, 197 CA 872 . . . . .	90A
<i>Wrongful death; subject matter jurisdiction; claim that trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss action on ground that it was barred by doctrine of sovereign immunity; whether action was brought against defendants in their official, rather than individual, capacities; whether action, as alleged in complaint, satisfied four criteria of test set forth in Spring v. Constantino (168 Conn. 563); whether trial court improperly considered certain State Police manual in granting motion to dismiss.</i>	
Jordan v. Commissioner of Correction, 197 CA 822 . . . . .	40A
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance to petitioner by failing to adequately investigate and present witnesses in support of petitioner's claim of self-defense; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance to petitioner by failing to present defense of third-party culpability.</i>	
Spicer v. Montagnese (Memorandum Decision), 197 CA 902 . . . . .	104A
State v. Taupier, 197 CA 784 . . . . .	2A
<i>Threatening in second degree; motion to dismiss; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to dismiss because defendant's statements posted on Facebook were not true threats but were constitutionally protected free speech; whether there was probable cause to support continuing constitutional prosecution against defendant under each count for threatening to commit crime of violence in reckless disregard of risk of causing such terror; whether person of reasonable caution would believe that statements were highly likely to be perceived by reasonable person as serious threats of physical harm.</i>	
USAA Federal Savings Bank v. Gianetti, 197 CA 814 . . . . .	32A
<i>Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment; motion to strike counterclaim; motion to open judgment; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to open judgment of strict foreclosure; claim that manner in which motion to open was adjudicated violated due process; whether trial court erred in granting plaintiff's motion for summary judgment; whether this court had subject matter jurisdiction over defendant's claim that trial court erred in granting plaintiff's motion to strike counterclaim.</i>	
Volume 197 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	105A
Ahrens v. Hartford Florists' Supply, Inc., 198 CA 24 . . . . .	136A
<i>Product liability; motion to dismiss; personal jurisdiction; motion to implead; claim that trial court erred in granting motions to dismiss third-party complaint; claim that trial court applied incorrect standard when it found that strict compliance with statutes (§§ 52-102a and 52-577a (b)) was required when impleading third party into product liability case; whether trial court erred in concluding that third-party plaintiff must strictly comply with §§ 52-102a and 52-577a (b); claim</i>	

(continued on next page)

*that trial court erred in concluding that one year time limitation in § 52-577a implicated jurisdiction of court.*

Pursuit Partners, LLC v. Reed Smith, LLP, 198 CA 1 . . . . . 113A

*Breach of contract; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly concluded that defendant law firm was bound by confidentiality provision of settlement agreement only to extent of its client; claim that language of settlement agreement, coupled with defendant's signature on agreement, was ambiguous and created genuine issue of material fact regarding capacity in which defendant signed agreement; whether trial court properly concluded that finding in related action had collateral estoppel effect.*

Volume 198 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 153A

**SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES**

Summaries . . . . . 1B

**CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK**

Practice Book Revisions to the Rules of Appellate Procedure being considered by the Supreme and Appellate Courts . . . . . 1PB

**NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES**

CHFA—Notice of Intent to Amend Procedures . . . . . 1C

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
Office of Production and Distribution  
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>*

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.