

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXI No. 49

June 2, 2020

303 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Pentland v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 335 C 919 . . . . .	3
Volume 335 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	5

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Chang v. Chang, 197 CA 733 . . . . .	237A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment order of contempt; whether language contained in stipulation underlying motion for contempt was clear and unambiguous; claim that basis on which trial court found plaintiff in contempt differed from bases pleaded by defendant; whether obligations imposed by trial court's order to engage in good faith consultation and to refrain from unreasonably withholding consent must be read together.</i>	
Hassiem v. O & G Industries, Inc., 197 CA 631 . . . . .	135A
<i>Workers' compensation; whether trial court properly concluded that plaintiff's claim was barred by exclusivity provision (§ 31-284 (a)) of Workers' Compensation Act because plaintiff failed to present genuine issue of material fact to show that defendant engaged in intentional conduct it knew with substantial certainty would result in plaintiff's injury; whether there was genuine issue of material fact that defendant was not deliberately deceptive in failing to install certain device on equipment that injured plaintiff; whether there were genuine issues of material fact as to claim that plaintiff was coerced into cleaning equipment that caused his injury.</i>	
In re Omar I., 197 CA 499 . . . . .	3A
<i>Termination of parental rights; unpreserved claim that judicial bias deprived respondent father of fair trial; claim that certain of trial court's statements, findings and rulings constituted plain error; claim that trial court precluded father from calling witnesses to testify; claim that trial court improperly found that termination of father's parental rights was in children's best interests; whether trial court improperly found that there was clear and convincing evidence that father failed to rehabilitate himself, as required by statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (B)); claim that trial court disregarded children's Muslim religious affiliation; claim that trial court's best interests finding should be overturned because court improperly placed children with foster parents who did not foster Muslim faith and who introduced them to religious beliefs that differed from father's Muslim beliefs; claim that trial court improperly found that department made reasonable efforts to reunify father with children; unpreserved claim that department was estopped from supporting children's petitions to terminate father's parental rights, where department initially recommended that reunification efforts continue but thereafter changed its position and adopted children's petitions for termination of his parental rights; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to revoke commitment of children to care and custody of Commissioner of Children and Families.</i>	
Kammili v. Kammili, 197 CA 656 . . . . .	160A
<i>Marital dissolution; claim that trial court improperly declined to admit exhibits into evidence; claim that trial court failed to address pretrial motions in timely manner; claim that trial court inequitably distributed marital property; whether, in light of Picton v. Picton (111 Conn. App. 143), trial court did not improperly order that plaintiff either return defendant's jewelry or forfeit \$50,000 of share of proceeds from sale of one of parties' homes.</i>	

(continued on next page)

Procurement, LLC v. Ahuja, 197 CA 696 . . . . . 200A  
*Vexatious litigation; zoning appeals; whether trial court properly granted motion for summary judgment; whether trial court properly applied Noerr-Pennington doctrine to zoning appeals challenging plaintiff's proposed real estate development plan in determining that they were immunized from suit; claim that defendant's zoning appeals were objectively baseless and, therefore, sham exception to Noerr-Pennington doctrine was applicable; claim that trial court misinterpreted sham exception to Noerr-Pennington doctrine in applying two part analysis.*

State v. Lori T., 197 CA 675 . . . . . 179A  
*Custodial interference in second degree; unpreserved claim that applicable statute (§ 53a-98 (a) (3)) was unconstitutionally vague as applied to defendant; whether language of statute was sufficiently clear to provide notice that defendant's inaction of not forcing her children to go with their father could expose her to criminal liability; whether statute was subject to arbitrary and discriminatory enforcement; failure to demonstrate existence of constitutional violation; claim that evidence was insufficient to sustain conviction.*

State v. Milner, 197 CA 763. . . . . 267A  
*Murder; criminal possession of firearm; claim that evidence was insufficient to sustain defendant's conviction of criminal possession of firearm; claim that trial court improperly relied on defendant's inculpatory statements to former friend in absence of substantial independent evidence corroborating trustworthiness of those statements, in violation of corpus delicti rule; claim that, even if state satisfied requirements of corpus delicti rule with respect to defendant's inculpatory statements to former friend, that former friend's testimony and that of state's other witnesses was too unreliable to support defendant's conviction.*

State v. Velazquez, 197 CA 754. . . . . 258A  
*Operation of motor vehicle while under influence of intoxicating liquor or drugs; sufficiency of evidence; whether trial court abused its discretion in failing to strike certain testimony; whether failure to strike testimony was harmless.*

Woods v. Commissioner of Correction, 197 CA 597 . . . . . 101A  
*Habeas corpus; whether dismissal of petition was proper without holding hearing on petition; claim that habeas court failed to construe allegations of petition broadly; whether claim alleging mitigating circumstances was barred by procedural default; claim that sentence was disproportionate; whether petition adequately pleaded cause and prejudice; whether equal protection claim was barred by procedural default.*

Volume 197 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 289A

**NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES**

CHEFA—Notice of Intent to Adopt Policies and Procedures . . . . . 1B

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**  
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
 Office of Production and Distribution  
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*  
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

---

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.