

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXI No. 48

May 26, 2020

223 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Bank of New York Mellon v. Ruttkamp (Order), 335 C 919	3
Volume 335 Cumulative Table of Cases	5

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Anthís v. Windom, 197 CA 427.	57A
<i>Negligence; recklessness; motion in limine; motion for remittitur; motion to open; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion in limine seeking to preclude evidence of repair costs; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion for remittitur; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to open; claim that trial court's denial of motions for remittitur and to open resulted in double recovery by plaintiff and double payment by defendant with respect to property damage expenses plaintiff had incurred; whether trial court properly declined to consider defendant's double payment and equitable subrogation claims in deciding defendant's motion for remittitur and motion to open.</i>	
Berger v. Deutermann, 197 CA 421	51A
<i>Breach of contract; claim that trial court's findings throughout trial were based on fraudulent misrepresentations presented as factual trial exhibits and further supported by false testimony; whether plaintiff provided adequate record that would enable this court to review claims on appeal; whether, in absence of trial transcripts, this court could evaluate plaintiff's arguments in support of appellate claims without resorting to speculation.</i>	
Factor King, LLC v. Housing Authority, 197 CA 459	89A
<i>Summary judgment; whether trial court properly granted defendant's motion for summary judgment and denied plaintiff's motion for summary judgment; whether trial court properly held that plaintiff was not entitled to direct payment of account receivable due to nonparty entity from account in which plaintiff had security interest; whether factoring agreement constituted outright sale of nonparty entity's account.</i>	
Greene v. Keating, 197 CA 447.	77A
<i>Vexatious litigation pursuant to statute (§ 52-568); claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiff failed to present evidence that would allow it reasonably to calculate damages; whether trial court erred in failing to apply common nucleus test for apportionment to plaintiff's claim for attorney's fees.</i>	
Igersheim v. Bezruczyk, 197 CA 412	42A
<i>Petition for visitation; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to consider petition; whether petition lacked specific allegations necessary to meet jurisdictional thresholds of visitation statute (§ 46b-59 (b)); whether defendant adequately briefed claims.</i>	

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Practice Book Revisions being considered by the Rules Committee of the Superior Court and Notice of Public Hearing appear beginning on Page 1PB. The proposed revisions are posted on the Judicial Branch website at: www.jud.ct.gov/pb.htm.

In re Probate Appeal of Buckingham, 197 CA 373 3A
Probate appeal; subject matter jurisdiction; fraud; whether trial court properly dismissed probate appeal for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court had jurisdiction to set aside probate decree pursuant to statute (§ 45a-24); whether § 45a-24 permits only collateral attacks on probate decrees and, therefore, did not provide trial court with jurisdiction over plaintiffs' claims of fraud.

Osborn v. Waterbury, 197 CA 476 106A
Negligence; claim that trial court improperly concluded that minor plaintiff's injuries were caused by inadequate number of adults to supervise up to 400 students; whether trial court's conclusion was unsupported by evidence; whether trial court's conclusion constituted harmful error.

Powers v. Hiranandani, 197 CA 384 14A
Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over real property; claim that trial court issued orders with respect to real property that were based on mistake and impossible to execute; claim that trial court abused its discretion by dividing real property between parties without determining its value; claim that trial court abused its discretion by failing to divide parties' personal property; claim that trial court improperly ordered defendant to pay percentage of cost of extracurricular activities of parties' child; claim that trial court abused its discretion by issuing financial orders in excess of defendant's ability to pay.

Williams v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 197 CA 901 131A
 Volume 197 Cumulative Table of Cases 133A

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries 1B

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Notice of Public Hearing—Practice Book Revisions being considered by the Rules Committee of the Superior Court 1PB

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DSS—Notice of Proposed SPA 1C

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.