

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

---

VOL. LXXXI No. 44                      April 28, 2020                      156 Pages

---

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Bank of New York Mellon v. Orlando (Order), 335 C 917 . . . . .	3
Nietupski v. Del Castillo (Order), 335 C 916 . . . . .	2
State v. Villar (Order), 335 C 916 . . . . .	2
Volume 335 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	5

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Gawlik v. Semple, 197 CA 83 . . . . .	3A
<i>Religious discrimination; claim that defendants, current and former employees of Department of Correction, withheld religious literature and cards from plaintiff in violation of state and federal constitutional and statutory rights governing religious freedom; claim that applicable department administrative directives were not promulgated in accordance with Uniform Administrative Procedure Act (§ 4-166 et seq.); adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.</i>	
Harris v. Neale, 197 CA 147 . . . . .	67A
<i>Negligence; motion to open judgment; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to open; whether minor plaintiffs satisfied burden of demonstrating that he was prevented by reasonable cause from prosecuting action.</i>	
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Syed, 197 CA 129 . . . . .	49A
<i>Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment; judgment of strict foreclosure; claim that trial court improperly granted summary judgment as to liability; claim that there were genuine issues of material fact concerning whether plaintiff bank was holder of note at time it commenced action due to invalid endorsement of note; claim that trial court improperly rejected defendant's first and third special defenses as to damages when granting summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly struck defendant's count of amended counterclaim seeking attorney's fees pursuant to statute (§ 42-150bb) when granting summary judgment as to liability.</i>	
State v. Fredrik H., 197 CA 213 . . . . .	133A
<i>Unlawful restraint in first degree; interfering with emergency call; criminal mischief in third degree; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of unlawful restraint in first degree; whether jury reasonably could have inferred that defendant intended to substantially interfere with victim's liberty; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting evidence of uncharged misconduct.</i>	
State v. Holley, 197 CA 161 . . . . .	81A
<i>Motion to correct illegal sentence; criminal possession of firearm; statutory interpretation; rule of lenity; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to correct illegal sentence; whether trial court properly concluded that defendant's consecutive sentences did not violate constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy; whether trial court properly construed relevant statute ((Rev. to 2013) § 53a-217 (a) (1)) as criminalizing possession of single firearm; whether statute was ambiguous; claim that trial court improperly failed to apply rule of lenity.</i>	
State v. Holmgren, 197 CA 203 . . . . .	123A
<i>Home invasion; burglary in first degree; sexual assault in third degree; claim that there was insufficient evidence to sustain defendant's conviction of home invasion and burglary in first degree; whether state failed to prove that defendant entered dwelling while victim was present in that dwelling as required by home invasion statute (§ 53a-100aa (a) (1)); whether state failed to prove beyond reasonable</i>	

(continued on next page)

*doubt that defendant entered victim's apartment with intent to commit crime; whether jury reasonably could have inferred from certain evidence defendant's intent to sexually assault victim; claim that trial court improperly allowed state to introduce testimony of police detective regarding statements made by defendant; whether probative value of evidence of bag in defendant's possession outweighed any prejudice caused to defendant by its admission.*

Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction, 197 CA 172. . . . . 92A

*Habeas corpus; larceny in fifth degree; larceny in sixth degree; ineffective assistance of trial counsel; whether habeas court properly dismissed petitioner's amended habeas petition as moot; whether prejudicial collateral consequences exist; whether petitioner's claim that his right to effective assistance of counsel was violated was reviewable.*

Volume 197 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 147A

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
 Office of Production and Distribution  
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>*

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

=====

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.