

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

---

VOL. LXXXI No. 43                      April 21, 2020                      65 Pages

---

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Christiana Trust v. Bliss (Order), 335 C 916 . . . . .	4
Morton v. Syriac (Order), 335 C 915 . . . . .	3
Volume 335 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	5

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Longbottom v. Longbottom, 197 CA 64. . . . .	36A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion to modify educational support; motion to open judgment; claim that trial court failed to determine whether plaintiff had established probable cause of fraud by nondisclosure; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying plaintiff's motions to open and to modify; claim that trial court failed to properly understand defendant's financial information.</i>	
Manson v. Conklin, 197 CA 51. . . . .	23A
<i>Negligence; claim that trial court improperly precluded admission of findings and conclusions in police department's internal affairs reports that defendant police officer had engaged in misconduct and was dishonest; whether findings and conclusions in reports constituted extrinsic evidence and, therefore, were inadmissible pursuant to Weaver v. McKnight, (313 Conn. 393); claim that trial court improperly submitted issue of governmental immunity to jury.</i>	
State v. Nusser, 197 CA 76 . . . . .	48A
<i>Larceny in first degree; burglary in third degree; criminal violation of restraining order; subject matter jurisdiction; motion for presentence confinement credit; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion for presentence confinement credit; claim that defendant's sentence was illegal because it breached plea agreement with state; claim that failure of Department of Correction to implement trial court's revised mittimus resulted in structural error and fundamental unfairness in sentencing process; whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to hear defendant's motion for presentence confinement credit.</i>	
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Mamudi, 197 CA 31 . . . . .	3A
<i>Foreclosure; claim that law days were automatically vacated as result of petition for bankruptcy; claim that foreclosure defendants were deprived of right to appeal concerning law days; whether trial court should have rendered judgment dismissing rather than denying motion to reargue.</i>	
Volume 197 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	57A

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
Office of Production and Distribution  
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
[www.jud.ct.gov](http://www.jud.ct.gov)

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

*Published Weekly* – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
Tel. (860) 757-2250

---

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.