

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXI No. 37

March 10, 2020

219 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Alonso v. Munoz (Order), 334 C 928	62
Coughlin v. Stamford Fire Dept., 334 C 857	37
<i>Statutory (§ 7-433c) benefits for hypertension or heart disease; workers' compensation; claim for benefits under § 7-433c for coronary heart disease after plaintiff retired from defendant fire department; claim that plaintiff's coronary artery disease flowed from initial, compensable claim for hypertension; claim that plaintiff was not entitled to benefits under § 7-433c for his coronary artery disease insofar as he was not diagnosed with such disease until after he retired from defendant fire department and as plaintiff's coronary artery disease was separate and distinct injury from his hypertension; whether evidence was sufficient to uphold Compensation Review Board's conclusion that plaintiff was entitled to compensation for coronary artery disease under § 7-433c.</i>	
Daniel W. E. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 334 C 926	60
Dickerson v. Stamford, 334 C 870.	50
<i>Statutory (§ 7-433c) benefits for hypertension or heart disease; workers' compensation; claim that Compensation Review Board incorrectly determined that Workers' Compensation Commissioner had jurisdiction over plaintiff's claim for benefits under § 7-433c on ground that plaintiff was no longer member of police department at time of diagnosis and disability for which he sought benefits; claim that plaintiff was required to give timely, separate notice of his heart disease within one year of diagnosis; adoption of reasoning and result in companion case of Coughlin v. Stamford Fire Dept. (334 Conn. 857); claim that plaintiff's hypertension must be sole contributing factor to his heart disease for plaintiff to be eligible for benefits; substantial factor standard to be applied in determination of whether sufficient nexus exists between compensable primary injury suffered during employment and subsequent injury, discussed.</i>	
Gojcaj v. Connecticut State's Attorney (Order), 334 C 928	62
Jamalipour v. Fairway's Edge Assn., Inc. (Order), 334 C 927	61
Kos v. Lawrence + Memorial Hospital, 334 C 823.	3
<i>Medical malpractice; loss of consortium; whether trial court improperly included instruction on acceptable alternatives doctrine in its charge to jury; whether instruction on acceptable alternatives doctrine was harmless; claim that this court should abandon acceptable alternatives doctrine; whether court's supplemental instruction, which was in response to jury's clarification request, improperly limited plaintiffs' allegations regarding breach of standard of care.</i>	
La Morte v. Darien (Order), 334 C 927	61
McKeown v. McKeown (Order), 334 C 929.	63
State v. Mitchell (Order), 334 C 927.	61
T & M Building Co. v. Hastings (Order), 334 C 926.	60
Thornton v. Jacobs (Order), 334 C 929.	63
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Peterson (Order), 334 C 928	62
Volume 334 Cumulative Table of Cases	65

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Consiglio v. Al Dente, LLC (Memorandum Decision), 196 CA 904	120A
Hannon v. Board of Education (Memorandum Decision), 196 CA 903	119A

(continued on next page)

Holt v. Dolce (Memorandum Decision), 196 CA 904	120A
In re Gabriel C., 196 CA 333	19A
<i>Termination of parental rights; whether trial court improperly denied respondent mother's motion to disqualify counsel acting as minor child's guardian ad litem on ground that attorney previously acted as mother's guardian ad litem when mother was minor; claim that trial court improperly admitted social studies pursuant to governing statutes (§§ 17a-112 (j) and 45a-717) and on ground that social studies contained hearsay; claim that trial court improperly concluded that mother failed to achieve requisite degree of personal rehabilitation required by § 17a-112.</i>	
In re Geoffrey G., 196 CA 316	2A
<i>Termination of parental rights; whether trial court improperly failed to order, sua sponte, evaluation of respondent mother's competency to assist her counsel at trial; whether mother established violation of her right to due process; claim that evidence in record demonstrated that mother's mental health issues, at time of trial, interfered with her ability to provide her newly retained counsel with truthful, relevant data in presentation of her case.</i>	
Jolley v. Vinton, 196 CA 379	65A
<i>Alleged deprivation of plaintiff's federal constitutional rights; whether trial court's finding that plaintiff failed to prove causal connection between plaintiff's conduct and defendant's alleged retaliation was clearly erroneous.</i>	
Office Condominium Assn., Inc. v. Rompre, 196 CA 370	56A
<i>Foreclosure of statutory (§ 47-258) common charges lien; Common Interest Ownership Act (§ 47-200 et seq.); summary judgment; whether this court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over appeal; whether trial court left substantive claim unresolved following its decision that granted in part condominium association's motion for summary judgment on counterclaim; whether appeal was taken from final judgment; whether more than determination of amount of attorney's fees remained to be done.</i>	
Solomon v. Doe (Memorandum Decision), 196 CA 904.	120A
Stanley v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 196 CA 903	119A
State v. Bornstein, 196 CA 420.	106A
<i>Interlocutory appeal; violation of civil protection order; harassment in second degree; motion to dismiss; claim that state was collaterally estopped from pursuing criminal charges because relevant factual allegations had been subject of full evidentiary hearing at hearing on request for civil protection order that was denied; lack of final judgment; whether Appellate Court had jurisdiction to hear interlocutory appeal; double jeopardy.</i>	
State v. Prince A., 196 CA 413	99A
<i>Sexual assault in first degree; sexual assault in fourth degree; risk of injury to child; constancy of accusation doctrine discussed; whether trial court improperly denied motion to strike testimony of constancy of accusation witness who testified that it was her belief that victim had reported assault contemporaneously, without delay; application of constancy of accusation doctrine as modified in State v.</i>	

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

Daniel W. E. (322 Conn. 593), under which constancy of accusation testimony is permissible if defendant challenges victim's credibility on cross-examination. 73A

State v. Richards, 196 CA 387 73A

Murder; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction; whether jury reasonably could have inferred that defendant intended to cause death of victim and did in fact cause her death; whether trial court committed plain error in failing to give special credibility instruction regarding testimony of cooperating witness; whether state's decision to prosecute defendant in third trial after two previous trials ended in mistrials violated defendant's right to due process.

State v. Spells (Memorandum Decision), 196 CA 905. 121A

U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. v. O'Brien (Memorandum Decision), 196 CA 903 119A

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Widow, Heirs and/or Creditors of Estate of Elsi Savvidis (Memorandum Decision), 196 CA 902 118A

Volume 196 Cumulative Table of Cases 123A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DOH—Exemption List, Affordable Housing Appeals Procedure 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel 1C

Office of State Ethics—Advisory Opinion No. 2020-1. 2C

Personnel Notice—Superior Court Operations—Small Claims/Motor Vehicle Magistrate Appointments 1C