

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXI No. 36

March 3, 2020

281 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Apex Properties, LLC v. Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. (Order), 334 C 926	88
Bank of America, National Assn. v. Derisme (Order), 334 C 924	86
Peters v. Senman (Order), 334 C 924	86
State v. Bradley (Order), 334 C 925	87
State v. Jackson, 334 C 793	53
<i>Murder; conspiracy to commit murder; assault first degree; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that trial court had not abused its discretion when it allowed state's belatedly disclosed expert witness on cell site location information to testify without first granting defense reasonable continuance to obtain its own expert; claim that trial court did not abuse its discretion in declining to order continuance because defense counsel abandoned his request by not renewing it after state's direct examination of expert witness; whether trial court's error of allowing state's expert witness to testify without first affording defense reasonable continuance to obtain its own expert was harmful; whether certain claims that defendant raised on appeal were sufficiently likely to arise during defendant's retrial such that this court should address them.</i>	
State v. Jarmon (Order), 334 C 925	87
State v. White, 334 C 742	2
<i>Assault first degree; claim that trial court abused its discretion and violated defendant's state and federal constitutional rights in denying his motion for public funding for procuring DNA expert to assist in his criminal defense; whether allegedly indigent defendant represented by privately retained defense counsel had fourteenth amendment due process right to secure such funding; whether trial court properly declined to find defendant indigent when defendant chose not to apply for public funding for ancillary defense costs and public defender's office did not make indigency determination; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to preclude victim's statements, made shortly after she identified defendant in photographic array and at trial, regarding her confidence in her identification; claim that court should adopt categorical rule precluding evidence of witness' confidence in his or her identification, unless such evidence stems from earliest identification procedure that complies with statute (§ 54-1p) containing guidelines that police must follow in conducting eyewitness identification procedures.</i>	
State v. Zillo (Order), 334 C 923	85
Zillo v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 334 C 924	86
Volume 334 Cumulative Table of Cases	89

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

American Tax Funding, LLC v. First Eagle Corp., 196 CA 298	146A
<i>Municipal tax collection; special defenses; municipal tax lien assignment statute (§ 12-195h); extinguishment of liens pursuant to statute (§ 12-195); whether trial court properly found that plaintiff's claims for unpaid taxes were extinguished pursuant to § 12-195 when plaintiff or its assignee took title to property in foreclosure proceeding; whether plaintiff provided inadequate record for review of its claim that trial court incorrectly found that defendant's debt to plaintiff had been satisfied.</i>	

(continued on next page)

Brown v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 196 CA 902	166A
Cheswold (TL), LLC, BMO Harris Bank, N.A. v. Kwong, 196 CA 279.	127A
<i>Foreclosure of tax liens; subject matter jurisdiction; standing; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion to dismiss; claim that substitute plaintiff's failure to record certain assignment of tax liens on town land records deprived it of standing to pursue foreclosure action.</i>	
Morton v. Syriac, 196 CA 183	31A
<i>Temporary and permanent injunction; easement; motion to disqualify; claim that trial court wrongly issued permanent injunction; claim that plaintiff did not allege irreparable harm or lack of adequate remedy at law; whether complaint provided adequate notice of plaintiff's claim for permanent injunction; whether there was substantial likelihood that, in absence of judicial intervention, plaintiff stood to lose valuable asset; whether defendant could prevail on his claim that trial court improperly allowed plaintiff to modify dissolution judgment by granting injunction; whether trial court erred by allowing plaintiff to present evidence that contradicted alleged judicial admissions in her pleadings; whether trial court abused its discretion by denying defendant's motion to disqualify trial judge; whether trial court erred in denying defendant hearing before another judge.</i>	
State v. Albert D., 196 CA 155	3A
<i>Risk of injury to child; sexual assault in fourth degree; sexual assault in first degree; attempt to commit sexual assault in first degree; claim that defendant was entitled to new trial on basis of alleged prosecutorial improprieties during state's rebuttal closing argument; whether prosecutor's remarks on own credibility and credibility of witness constituted improper vouching for state's credibility; whether prosecutor's comments that state's experts were not allowed as matter of law to meet with victims were improper and constituted impropriety; whether law prohibits expert witnesses from meeting with children who are complainants of sexual assault; whether prosecutorial impropriety deprived defendant of due process right to fair trial under test set forth in State v. Williams (204 Conn. 523).</i>	
State v. Hargett, 196 CA 228	76A
<i>Murder; claim that trial court's exclusion of evidence deprived defendant of right to present defense; whether defendant demonstrated relevancy of alleged statement; whether defendant laid evidentiary foundation for claim of self-defense; whether there was causal relationship between toxicology report and cause of death of victim; whether there was evidence that defendant had reason to believe deadly physical force was required; claim that trial court violated defendant's right to due process by refusing to give self-defense jury instruction; whether reasonable juror could have concluded that defendant believed himself to be in imminent or immediate danger; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion for new trial or to dismiss charges for state's late disclosure of firearm related evidence; whether late disclosure constituted bad faith; whether defendant was prejudiced in plea bargaining or trial by late disclosure of evidence; claim that defendant was denied fair trial by prosecutorial impropriety in closing argument; whether prosecutor's improper statement harmed defendant.</i>	

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

State v. Torres (Memorandum Decision), 196 CA 902 166A
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Madison, 196 CA 267 115A
Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment as to liability; motion for judgment of strict foreclosure; bankruptcy; motion to reenter judgment of strict foreclosure; claim that trial court erred by concluding that defendant lacked standing to object to plaintiff's motion to reenter judgment; whether defendant lacked standing to pursue her defense to plaintiff's interest in property because her failure to notify bankruptcy trustee of defense by not disclosing it as asset of bankruptcy estate precluded her from raising defense after discharge of bankruptcy estate; whether Beck & Beck, LLC v. Costello (178 Conn. App. 112) was applicable; whether plaintiff's reliance on Beck & Beck, LLC, conflated debtor's claim for money damages as asset of bankruptcy estate with debtor's defense to enforcement of invalid lien; whether defendant's claim that either bankruptcy trustee or any creditor could move to reopen bankruptcy estate if trial court were to find mortgage invalid ignored threshold issue that defendant lacked legal capacity to raise that defense.
Wells v. Wells, 196 CA 309 157A
Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment motion for order; whether trial court improperly interpreted provision of separation agreement; whether trial court improperly denied motion for order.
Windham Solar, LLC v. Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, 196 CA 287 135A
Administrative appeal; appeal from decisions by defendant Public Utilities Regulatory Authority concerning plaintiff's petition, pursuant to statute (§ 16-243a), to compel defendant utility to enter into contract with plaintiff for purchase of energy and capacity from solar electric generating facilities; whether trial court improperly granted authority's motion to dismiss appeal; whether trial court properly concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction because plaintiff had failed to plead facts sufficient to establish aggravement and because plaintiff's appeal was moot.
Young v. Hartford Hospital, 196 CA 207 55A
Medical malpractice; certificate of good faith and opinion required by statute (§ 52-190a) for negligence action against health care provider; discussed; whether trial court improperly granted defendant's motion to dismiss plaintiff's action on ground that plaintiff failed to provide certificate of good faith and opinion pursuant to § 52-190a; whether plaintiff's claims were based on ordinary negligence or medical malpractice.
Volume 196 Cumulative Table of Cases 167A

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries 1B

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Connecticut Port Authority—Notice of Intent to Amend Operating Procedures 1C

MISCELLANEOUS

Appointment of Trustee—Hassan 3D
Notice of Interim Suspension of Attorney—Hassan. 3D
Notice of Interim Suspension—Mawhinney 2D
Notice of Suspension of Attorney—Parham 1D
Notice of Suspension of Attorney—Carden 2D
Personnel Notice—Superior Court Operations—Small Claims/Motor Vehicle Magistrate Appointment. 1D