

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXX No. 35

February 26, 2019

219 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Diaz v. Dept. of Social Services (Order), 330 C 971. . . . .	61
Finney v. Finney (Order), 330 C 972 . . . . .	62
In re Jacob W., 330 C 744 . . . . .	2
<i>Termination of parental rights; trial court's denial of petitions with respect to respondent father on basis that petitioner failed to prove ground of lack of ongoing parent-child relationship pursuant to statute (§ 45a-717 [g]); certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court improperly reversed trial court's judgments denying petitions; whether Appellate Court properly concluded that trial court applied incorrect legal test in determining that petitioner failed to prove ongoing parent-child relationship by clear and convincing evidence; whether trial court's determination that petitioner had failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that allowing respondent additional time to reestablish parent-child relationship would be detrimental to best interests of children was predicated on clearly erroneous factual finding that there was no evidence in record with respect to that issue.</i>	
Jolen, Inc. v. Brodie & Stone, PLC (Order), 330 C 972 . . . . .	62
O'Brien v. New Haven, 330 C 791 . . . . .	49
<i>Action for indemnification against defendant municipality for attorney's fees and costs incurred by plaintiff, former municipal employee, in defending separate action brought by third party; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly upheld judgment of trial court, which had determined that plaintiff's indemnification action was not time barred under statute (§ 7-101a) authorizing such actions; certification improvidently granted; appeal dismissed.</i>	
State v. Henderson, 330 C 793 . . . . .	51
<i>Motion to correct illegal sentence; sentence enhancement pursuant to statute ([Rev. to 1991] § 53a-40 [a] and [b]); persistent dangerous felony offender; persistent serious felony offender; trial court's denial of defendant's motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court improperly had denied motion to correct because defendant's enhanced sentences violated multiple punishments provision of double jeopardy clause of United States constitution and were contrary to legislative intent underlying sentence enhancement provisions of § 53a-40 (a) and (b); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant's enhanced sentences were not illegal, did not violate double jeopardy clause, and did not contravene legislative intent; adoption of Appellate Court's thorough and well reasoned opinion as proper statement of issues and applicable law concerning those issues.</i>	
State v. Young (Order), 330 C 972. . . . .	62
Washburne v. Madison (Order), 330 C 971. . . . .	61
Volume 330 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	59

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Canton v. Cadle Properties of Connecticut, Inc., 188 CA 36. . . . .	38A
<i>Petition for appointment of receiver of rents; claim that plain reading of statute (§ 12-163a) does not limit required, enumerated utility payments to those obligated to be paid by owner of property and, thus, that trial court should not have approved updated interim accounting because receiver did not reimburse intervening defendant tenant for its utility expenditures; whether trial court properly determined</i>	

(continued on next page)

- that, pursuant to § 12-163a, receiver is mandated to pay only utility bills that are obligation of owner, not those incurred by tenants of property.*
- Kaminsky v. Commissioner of Emergency Services & Public Protection, 188 CA 109 . . . 111A  
*Declaratory judgment; claim that trial court erred in denying request for declaratory ruling that certain firearms were improperly seized and withheld from plaintiff by defendant and, thus, that plaintiff was entitled to return of those firearms; claim that trial court erred in finding that plaintiff's firearms were not legally held by him because they were not exempt from transfer or registration requirements for assault weapons.*
- Maurice v. Chester Housing Associates Ltd. Partnership, 188 CA 21 . . . . . 23A  
*Writ of error; claim that trial court exceeded scope of its authority by awarding attorney's fees against nonparty for out-of-court conduct; claim that trial court abused its discretion in awarding attorney's fees as sanction against plaintiff in error for out-of-court litigation misconduct; whether trial court was required to find that bad faith conduct of plaintiff in error had effect on outcome of litigation in order to award attorney's fees.*
- McClain v. Commissioner of Correction, 188 CA 70 . . . . . 72A  
*Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether petitioner failed to show that he was prejudiced by trial counsel's failure to investigate and present third-party culpability defense; whether petitioner failed to show that he was prejudiced by counsel's failure to present evidence of initial segment of video recorded police interview of witness for state; whether habeas court properly rejected petitioner's claim of actual innocence; claim that testimony of witnesses at habeas trial constituted newly discovered evidence; whether petitioner failed to establish by clear and convincing evidence that he was innocent of murder for which he was convicted and that no reasonable fact finder would find him guilty of crime.*
- Miller v. Bridgeport (Memorandum Decision), 188 CA 901 . . . . . 127A  
 Patty v. Planning & Zoning Commission, 188 CA 115. . . . . 117A  
*Zoning; appeal from decision by defendant planning and zoning commission granting application for amendment to existing special permit and for site plan approval to allow installation of artificial turf field at school; reviewability of claim that trial court improperly concluded that commission's approval did not include alleged trailers on property that were prohibited by zoning regulations; failure of plaintiffs to raise claim before commission.*
- Quinones v. R. W. Thompson Co., 188 CA 93 . . . . . 95A  
*Workers' compensation; appeal from decision of Compensation Review Board, which affirmed decision of Workers' Compensation Commissioner denying plaintiff's motion to preclude defendant from contesting extent of plaintiff's injuries; whether board improperly found that there was no error when commissioner rejected alleged stipulation that case be decided on original record after former commissioner died; claim that substitute commissioner improperly opened record because parties stipulated that case would be decided on original record before former commissioner, and that substitute commissioner improperly ignored stipulation and*

(continued on next page)

## CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
 Office of Production and Distribution  
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
[www.jud.ct.gov](http://www.jud.ct.gov)

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

conducted hearing de novo; claim that because defendant failed to file form 43 to contest compensability of plaintiff's claim for certain workers' compensation benefits, defendant failed to comply with applicable statute ([Rev. to 2009] § 31-294c) and was, therefore, precluded from contesting compensability or extent of plaintiff's claimed injury.

Stamford v. Rahman, 188 CA 1 . . . . . 3A

Foreclosure; motion for supplemental judgment; motion to open; fraud; claim that trial court erred in opening supplemental judgment beyond statutory (§ 52-212a) four month limitation period on basis of fraud; whether trial court's finding that defendant bank satisfied second factor set forth in Varley v. Varley (180 Conn. 1) requiring diligence in trying to discover and expose fraud was clearly erroneous; whether trial court improperly found that defendant bank, as holder of first mortgage on subject property, had no reason to be aware of recordation of any subsequent mortgages; whether trial court erred in determining that defendant bank was entitled to notice of proceedings on motion for supplemental judgment, despite its default for failure to appear; whether defendant bank failed to demonstrate how its access to information regarding fraudulent satisfaction was limited in any way during present action; whether trial court lacked authority to open supplemental judgment more than four years after it was rendered because judgment was not obtained by any fraud on part of codefendant bank; whether fraud committed by defaulted party years prior to litigation can support opening of judgment following expiration of four month period.

Wolyniec v. Wolyniec, 188 CA 53 . . . . . 55A

Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment orders; claim that trial court abused its discretion in permitting defendant to remain in residence owned by plaintiff until defendant received payment in full of support arrearage owed by plaintiff; whether stipulation incorporated into parties' dissolution judgment unambiguously linked monetary and residential support; whether trial court's remedial order to effectuate judgment of dissolution was supported by competent evidence; claim that trial court erred in failing to find that defendant should be barred by laches from recovering support arrearage; whether evidence was admitted from which trial court could have found that plaintiff was prejudiced by defendant's delay in filing motion for contempt.

Volume 188 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 129A

**SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES**

Summaries . . . . . 1B

**NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES**

CHESLA—Notice of Intent to adopt CHESLA Scholarship Programs Program Manual . . 1C

Dept of Social Services Notice of Amendment—SPA 19-K . . . . . 1C

Dept of Social Services Notice of Amendment—SPA 19-N . . . . . 5C

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Notice of Application for Reinstatement . . . . . 1D

Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel . . . . . 1D

Notice of Interim Suspension of Attorney and Appointment of Trustee . . . . . 1D

Division of Criminal Justice—Notices of Job Opportunity . . . . . 2D