

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXX No. 33 February 12, 2019 113 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Do v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles, 330 C 651	11
<i>Operating motor vehicle while under influence of intoxicating liquor; administrative hearing to suspend plaintiff's motor vehicle operator's license; propriety of admission of exhibit into evidence; administrative appeal; certification from Appellate Court; whether hearing officer abused his discretion in admitting and relying on exhibit in support of his findings and decision to suspend plaintiff's license; whether Appellate Court properly sustained plaintiff's appeal; whether trial court's remand to hearing officer to resolve factual issue regarding make of vehicle that plaintiff was operating at time of her arrest was necessary.</i>	
Gaughan v. Higgins (Orders), 330 C 968	44
In re Gabriella C.-G. (Order), 330 C 969	45
Norwich v. Loskoutova (Order), 330 C 969	45
Restaurant Supply, LLC v. Giardi Ltd. Partnership, 330 C 642.	2
<i>Real estate; specific performance; motions to strike; statute of frauds; claim that allegation in complaint that seller of real property requested highest and best offers from prospective buyers sufficiently pleaded existence of auction without reserve, creating exception to requirement in statute of frauds of writing signed by party to be charged; whether allegation that seller's use of phrase "highest and best" offers constituted "explicit terms" sufficient to plead auction without reserve for purposes of statute (§ 42a-2-328 [3]) governing sale of goods by auction.</i>	
State v. Manuel T. (Order), 330 C 968	44
U.S. Bank National Assn., Trustee v. Wolf (Order), 330 C 967	43
Volume 330 Cumulative Table of Cases	47

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Fields v. Skeen (Memorandum Decision), 187 CA 903	43A
In re Angelina M., 187 CA 801	27A
<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly terminated respondent mother's parental rights; claim that trial court erred in concluding that mother failed to achieve requisite degree of personal rehabilitation required by statute (§ 17a-112); whether trial court's finding that termination of mother's parental rights was in best interest of child was clearly erroneous; whether trial court's findings were substantiated by ample evidence in record.</i>	
In re Tresin J., 187 CA 804	30A
<i>Termination of parental rights; whether trial court properly determined, pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 [j] [3] [D]), that respondent father had no ongoing parent-child relationship with child; claim that alleged interference by petitioner, Commissioner of Children and Families, led to lack of ongoing parent-child relationship between father and child; claim that trial court should have considered father's feelings toward child when father was incarcerated and child was less than two years old.</i>	
Margarita O. v. Irazu (Memorandum Decision), 187 CA 902	42A
Morera v. Thurber, 187 CA 795	21A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; visitation orders; motion to modify; claim that trial court violated plaintiff's right to due process of law by improperly dismissing motion to modify visitation without evidentiary hearing; whether trial court improperly failed to offer plaintiff adequate opportunity to review report of court-appointed</i>	

(continued on next page)

therapist and to present evidence in opposition to report and in favor of plaintiff's own position before court ruled.

State v. Roman (Memorandum Decision), 187 CA 903 43A

State v. Walker, 187 CA 776 2A

Aggravated sexual assault in first degree; sexual assault in first degree; kidnapping in first degree with firearm; kidnapping in first degree; threatening; criminal possession of weapon; credit card theft; illegal use of credit card; fraudulent use of automatic teller machine; larceny in sixth degree; motion to correct illegal sentence; motion to dismiss; subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court properly concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction to consider defendant's claim that sentence was imposed in illegal manner due to sentencing court's failure to canvass defendant or defense counsel as to review and accuracy of presentence investigation report; whether our statutes and rules of practice require trial court to make affirmative inquiry as to accuracy of facts contained in presentence investigation report; whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to consider merits of defendant's claim that sentence was imposed in illegal manner due to sentencing court's reliance on inaccurate facts regarding previous convictions contained in presentence investigation report; whether it was plausible that defendant sought to challenge manner in which sentence was imposed instead of underlying convictions.

Volume 187 Cumulative Table of Cases 45A

MISCELLANEOUS

Division of Criminal Justice—Notices of Job Opportunities 1B, 3B

Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel 5B

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*
Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.