

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXI No. 27 December 31, 2019 136 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Lyme Land Conservation Trust, Inc. v. Platner, 334 C 279	3
<i>Motion to disqualify after remand; motion to open judgment; motion to allow new evidence; calculation of damages award pursuant to statute (§ 52-560a [d]) for violation of conservation easement; whether trial judge incorrectly concluded that he was not required by statute (§ 51-183c) to disqualify himself from presiding over proceedings after remand by this court; whether § 51-183c was applicable when trial court's judgment was reversed in part and case was remanded for reconsideration on fewer than all issues in case; whether § 51-183c was applicable when trial court's judgment was reversed as to damages award and case was remanded to trial court to take evidence and to recalculate damages; whether this court should address defendant's remaining claims that trial court improperly denied her motions to open and to allow new evidence and improperly awarded plaintiff \$350,000 in punitive damages pursuant to § 52-560a (d) on remand.</i>	
State v. Blaine, 334 C 298	22
<i>Conspiracy to commit robbery first degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that trial court's failure to instruct jury on requisite intent necessary to find defendant guilty of conspiracy to commit robbery in first degree constituted plain error; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court did not commit plain error by failing to instruct jury that, to find defendant guilty of conspiracy to commit first degree robbery, it had to find that he intended and specifically agreed that he or another participant in robbery would be armed with deadly weapon.</i>	
Volume 334 Cumulative Table of Cases	39

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

State v. Francis, 195 CA 113	45A
<i>Murder; claim that trial court improperly denied motion for judgment of acquittal; whether there was sufficient evidence for jury to have found defendant guilty of murder beyond reasonable doubt; claim that there was insufficient evidence to establish that defendant caused death of victim or that he had specific intent to cause victim's death; consciousness of guilt evidence; request for this court to change its long-standing standard of review with respect to sufficiency of evidence claims to more rigorous standard that would require this court to determine if there was reasonable view of evidence that would support hypothesis of innocence; whether, as intermediate appellate court, this court could overrule Supreme Court authority.</i>	
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Bennett, 195 CA 96	28A
<i>Foreclosure; special defenses; counterclaims; whether trial court properly rendered summary judgment as to vexatious litigation counterclaim; whether vexatious litigation counterclaim was premature; whether trial court properly rendered summary judgment as to abuse of process counterclaim; claim that genuine issues of material fact existed regarding trial court's previous dismissal of foreclosure action for failure to establish proper chain of custody; whether trial court properly determined that no genuine issues of material fact existed that plaintiff's primary purpose in filing present action was to prosecute foreclosure and that plaintiff was owner of note and mortgage; whether abuse of power counterclaim was premature;</i>	

(continued on next page)

claim that trial court improperly relied on plaintiff's uncontested evidence of debt without holding evidentiary hearing.

Zillo v. Commissioner of Correction, 195 CA 71 3A

Habeas corpus; sexual assault in first degree; risk of injury to child; ineffective assistance of trial counsel; whether habeas court abused its discretion when it denied petitioner's request to reinstate claim that had been withdrawn that trial counsel was deficient in failing to present certain medical testimony; claim that habeas court should have allowed into evidence documents that related to petitioner's medical condition; claim that trial counsel was ineffective in failing to pursue motion to dismiss based on statute of limitations in (§ 54-193a); whether there was any credible evidence to show actual commencement of statute of limitations in March, 1999; claim that trial counsel was ineffective in failing to object to allegedly harmful, inflammatory language in substitute information that was read by court clerk to jury; claim that trial counsel was ineffective by failing to assist petitioner in freely choosing whether to testify in own defense; claim that trial counsel was deficient in failing to pursue hearing pursuant to Franks v. Delaware (438 U.S. 154) in pretrial stage of criminal proceedings; claim that trial counsel was ineffective in failing to obtain victim's education records in order to undermine allegations; whether petitioner demonstrated any harm that was caused by absence of education records; claim that trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to file motion to suppress evidence concerning photographs taken of petitioner's apartment during allegedly illegal search.

Volume 195 Cumulative Table of Cases 63A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DSS—Notice of Intent to Amend the Personal Care Assistant Medicaid Waiver 1B

DSS—Notice of Intent to Renew Medicaid Waiver and 1915(b)-4 Selective Contracting Program 2B

DSS—Notice of Proposed Medicaid SPAs 5B

DSS—Notice of Proposed Medicaid Waiver (CHESS) 3B

MISCELLANEOUS

Connecticut Bar Exam List of Applicants 1C

Electronic Publication of Orders of Notice in Civil and Family Cases 3C

Notice of Inactive Status of Attorney 3C

Notice of Reprimand of Attorneys 3C

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL NOTICE DEADLINES

Revised Law Journal Dates for Notices 2020 1D

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*
Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.