

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXI No. 25 December 17, 2019 259 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Andrews v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 334 C 907	109
Burke v. Mesniaeff, 334 C 100	2
<i>Civil action alleging assault and battery; criminal trespass; certification from Appellate Court; claim that trial court improperly instructed jury with respect to special defense of justification by incorporating charge on criminal trespass; whether jury was misled by trial court's improper instruction on criminal trespass and defense of premises in arriving at its finding on defendant's justification defense; whether trial court's improper instruction affected jury's independent finding with respect to defendant's special defense of defense of others; whether evidence was sufficient to support jury's finding that defendant was acting in defense of others when he forcibly removed plaintiff from house.</i>	
Goldstein v. Hu (Order), 334 C 907	109
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Shack (Order), 334 C 908	110
Ledyard v. WMS Gaming, Inc. (Order), 334 C 904	106
Nationstar Mortgage, LLC v. Gabriel (Orders), 334 C 907, 908	109, 110
Peek v. Manchester Memorial Hospital (Order), 334 C 906	108
Saunders v. Briner, 334 C 135	37
<i>Limited liability companies; standing; subject matter jurisdiction; whether, in absence of authorization in limited liability company's operating agreement, members or managers lack standing to bring derivative claims in action brought under Connecticut Limited Liability Company Act ([Rev. to 2017] § 34-100 et seq.) or under common law; whether trial court may exempt single-member limited liability company from direct and separate injury requirement necessary to bring direct action; policy considerations applicable in determining whether to treat action raising derivative claims as direct action, discussed; under what circumstances, if any, trial court may apportion award of attorney's fees under Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (§ 42-110a et seq.); claim that trial court abused its discretion in declining to order defendants to reimburse limited liability company for fees incurred by joint, court-appointed fiduciary retained to wind up limited liability companies.</i>	
Seminole Realty, LLC v. Sekretayev (Order), 334 C 905	107
State v. Bryan (Order), 334 C 906	108
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Caldrello (Order), 334 C 905	107
Wiederman v. Halpert, 334 C 199	101
<i>Limited liability companies; breach of fiduciary duty; motion to open; claim that trial court improperly exercised subject matter jurisdiction over plaintiff's claims because her alleged injuries were derivative of harm suffered by limited liability companies of which she and certain defendants were members; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly upheld determination of trial court that plaintiff had standing to sue; certification improvidently granted.</i>	
Wozniak v. Colchester (Order), 334 C 906	108
Volume 334 Cumulative Table of Cases	111

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Chase Home Finance, LLC v. Scroggin, 194 CA 843	79A
<i>Foreclosure; whether, pursuant to statute (§ 51-183c), trial judge should have recused herself from ruling on material issues following this court's reversal of judgment</i>	

(continued on next page)

of strict foreclosure; whether trial court erred by granting substitute plaintiff's motion for summary judgment without hearing oral argument on that motion pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 11-18); claim that named defendant did not comply with procedural requirements of § 11-18 (a) (2) because he failed to file written notice seeking oral argument; claim that named defendant waived oral argument as to substitute plaintiff's motion for summary judgment under § 11-18 (d); whether trial court abused its discretion in denying on timeliness grounds named defendant's motion for extension of time to respond to substitute plaintiff's motion for summary judgment; claim that alleged undocumented agreement between counsel can usurp requirements of rules of practice, including need to seek extensions of time in timely manner.

Cooke v. Commissioner of Correction, 194 CA 807. 43A

Habeas corpus; writ of mandamus; subject matter jurisdiction; mootness; claim that habeas court lacked jurisdiction to allow petitioner to amend petition for certification to appeal; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying habeas petition; claim that habeas court erred by not analyzing whether cumulative effect of errors of petitioner's trial counsel constituted prejudice under Strickland v. Washington (466 U.S. 668); claim that habeas court erred in concluding that petitioner's trial counsel was not ineffective because counsel did not ensure that petitioner was competent to stand trial; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for writ of mandamus to obtain legal assistance in preparing appellate brief and oral argument; whether petitioner's claim that habeas court improperly denied writ of mandamus was moot.

Cyr v. VKB, LLC, 194 CA 871 107A

Negligence; nuisance; whether trial court properly rendered summary judgment for defendants as to counts of complaint that alleged violations of applicable city ordinance (§ 21-37); claim that city ordinance shifted only duty of repairing abutting sidewalk from municipality to abutting landowner but did not shift liability for injuries resulting from unsafe condition on sidewalk; claim that defect in sidewalk developed as result of settling of one adjacent segment of sidewalk; whether exception existed to common-law rule that landowner whose property abuts public sidewalk is under no duty to keep sidewalk in front of property in reasonably safe condition, except when municipality confers liability on abutting landowner through statute or ordinance, or where defect was created by positive act of landowner; claim that under alleged exception to common-law rule, defendants owed plaintiff duty of care on theory that business owner that invites public to enter and exit its property at particular location owes duty to ensure that location is reasonably safe; claim that trial court erred in granting defendants' motion for summary judgment as to counts of complaint that sufficiently alleged legally cognizable basis for liability in that defendants allegedly had constructed sidewalk on their property with resulting approximately one and one-half inch lip between sidewalk segments and sidewalk on adjoining property; whether defendants, who did not submit any supporting affidavits or documentary evidence, failed to satisfy their initial burden as movants for summary judgment; whether

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, Publications Director

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, Reporter of Judicial Decisions
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

defendants' submission of evidentiary materials with reply brief in support of summary judgment motion cured failure to proffer evidence with initial motion.

John B. v. Commissioner of Correction, 194 CA 767 3A
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly concluded that petitioner's due process rights were not violated under federal and state constitutions when trial court failed to instruct jury pursuant to State v. Salamon (287 Conn. 509); whether petitioner failed to satisfy his burden of overcoming presumption that trial court's closing argument conceding guilt was reasonable trial strategy in light of affirmative defense of not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect.

Kondjoua v. Commissioner of Correction, 194 CA 793 29A
Habeas corpus; due process; procedural default; claim that habeas court improperly rejected ineffective assistance of counsel claim; whether trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to advise petitioner properly of immigration consequences of pleading guilty; whether habeas court properly concluded that petitioner failed to establish that he was prejudiced by trial counsel's alleged deficient performance; whether petitioner failed to meet his burden of demonstrating that he would have rejected plea agreement and insisted on going to trial had he known immigration consequences of his guilty plea; credibility of witnesses; claim that habeas court improperly rejected petitioner's claim that his right to due process was violated because his plea was not knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily made; whether petitioner established cause and prejudice sufficient to overcome procedural default of due process claim.

State v. Vasquez, 194 CA 831. 67A
Application for discharge from jurisdiction of Psychiatric Security Review Board; whether trial court improperly denied application for discharge; whether acquittee suffered from mental illness under applicable statutes; claim that because diagnoses are based on substance and alcohol abuse, they cannot be considered mental illness or psychiatric disabilities; whether trial court's finding that acquittee suffered from more than mere substance abuse was clearly erroneous.

State v. Villar, 194 CA 864 100A
Unlawful discharge of firearm; carrying pistol without permit; risk of injury to child; reckless endangerment in first degree; claim that there was insufficient evidence for jury to find defendant guilty of charged crimes; whether jury reasonably could have concluded that defendant was individual who committed shooting; credibility of witnesses.

Volume 194 Cumulative Table of Cases 123A

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries 1B

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Notice of Intent to Apply for a State Certificate for Affordable Housing Completion . . . 1C

MISCELLANEOUS

Electronic Publication of Orders of Notice in Civil and Family Cases 1D