

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXI No. 19 November 5, 2019 237 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Bank of New York Mellon v. Ruttkamp (Order), 333 C 931	81
Bilbao v. Goodwin, 333 C 599	3
<i>Dissolution of marriage; enforceability of agreement between married persons concerning disposition upon divorce of cryopreserved pre-embryos that parties had created through in vitro fertilization; adoption of contractual approach to determining disposition of pre-embryos upon divorce; whether trial court correctly determined that parties had not entered into enforceable agreement to discard pre-embryos upon divorce; whether trial court correctly determined that parties' agreement lacked consideration; claims that pre-embryo is not property within meaning of statute (§ 46b-81) governing distribution of marital estate upon divorce because pre-embryo is human life or, if it is deemed property, that trial court should have applied presumption in favor of preserving pre-embryos; reviewability of claim that agreement that provides for disposition of pre-embryos is unenforceable.</i>	
Connecticut Center for Advanced Technology, Inc. v. Bolton Works, LLC (Order), 333 C 930	80
Dudley v. Commissioner of Transportation (Order), 333 C 930	80
Kusy v. Norwich (Order), 333 C 931	81
Mayer-Wittmann v. Zoning Board of Appeals, 333 C 624	28
<i>Zoning; application for variances to reconstruct legally nonconforming accessory structure after it was damaged by hurricane; claim that applicant had not established hardship by showing that enforcement of zoning regulations would deprive him of reasonable use of his property; claim that variances were not minimal relief required to alleviate hardship that would result from compliance with zoning regulations; claim that, because applicant failed to begin reconstruction of legally nonconforming cottage damaged by hurricane within twelve months of calamity causing damage, its legally nonconforming status had terminated; whether trial court correctly determined that defendant zoning board of appeals properly granted application for variances; purpose of zoning regulations applicable to flood prone areas, discussed.</i>	
Moutinho v. 500 North Avenue, LLC (Order), 333 C 928	78
Moutinho v. 1794 Barnum Avenue, Inc. (Order) (See Moutinho v. 500 North Avenue, LLC), 333 C 928.	78
Moutinho v. Red Buff Rita, Inc. (Order) (See Moutinho v. 500 North Avenue, LLC), 333 C 928	78
Roger B. v. Commissioner of Correction (Orders), 333 C 929	79
State v. Carrasquillo (Order), 333 C 930	80
State v. Kerlyn T. (Order), 333 C 928	78
U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Conrad (Order), 333 C 929	79
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Magana (Order), 333 C 931	81
Volume 333 Cumulative Table of Cases	83

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Abel v. Johnson, 194 CA 120	2A
<i>Restrictive covenants; injunctions; whether trial court improperly determined that plaintiffs had standing to enforce 1956 restrictive covenant limiting use of defendant's property for residential purposes; whether trial court erred in awarding</i>	

(continued on next page)

injunctive relief regarding storage of defendant's pickup truck as commercial vehicle pursuant to restrictive covenant contained in 1961 declaration; claim that injunctive relief regarding storage of defendant's pickup truck was beyond scope of plaintiffs' operative complaint; claim that relief awarded regarding storage of defendant's pickup truck was proper because plaintiffs' complaint sought broad relief with respect to any type of commercial activity pursuant to 1956 restrictive covenant limiting use of property for residential purposes only; claim that plaintiffs' action seeking injunctive relief concerning keeping of chickens on defendant's property was moot; whether trial court had authority to issue injunctive relief against defendant, who had removed chickens from her property prior to commencement of action; whether trial court had jurisdiction to consider claim that defendant violated restrictive covenant regarding keeping chickens on her property; whether trial court erred in awarding injunctive relief that indefinitely prohibited keeping of chickens on defendant's property.

Andrews v. Commissioner of Correction, 194 CA 178 60A

Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether petitioner failed to demonstrate that his claims of ineffective assistance of counsel were debatable among jurists of reason, that court could have resolved issues in different manner, or that questions raised were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further; whether habeas court's findings were clearly erroneous; whether petitioner failed to demonstrate that he was prejudiced by counsel's alleged deficient performance; whether there was reasonable probability that outcome of trial would have been different.

Bank of New York Mellon v. Murdoch (Memorandum Decision), 194 CA 901 131A

Carter v. State, 194 CA 208. 90A

Petition for new trial; assault in first degree; attempt to commit assault in first degree; risk of injury to child; criminal possession of firearm; summary judgment; claim that trial court abused its discretion by denying late petition for certification to appeal; whether trial court properly denied request for permission to file late petition for certification.

Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. DeFranco (Memorandum Decision), 194 CA 901 . . 131A

Fitch v. Forsthoefel, 194 CA 230 112A

Quiet title; declaratory judgment; easements; claim that declaratory judgment rendered by trial court provided plaintiffs with no practical relief; whether controversy was justiciable; claim that because parties agreed easement was limited to ingress and egress, plaintiffs were in same position as they were prior to commencement of action; claim that trial court applied wrong standard in determining that defendants overburdened easement; claim that trial court improperly proscribed, contrary to reasonableness standard, trivial and infrequent conduct.

Jamalipour v. Fairway's Edge Assn., Inc., 194 CA 224 106A

Negligence; claim that evidence did not support trial court's award of damages and that award would unjustly enrich plaintiff; whether evidence and rational inferences drawn therefrom provided factual basis for trial court's award of damages; claim that trial court improperly failed to consider relevant bylaws of defen-

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

dant condominium association and Common Interest Ownership Act (§ 47-200 et seq.) in rendering its judgment.

Mahoney v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 194 CA 902 132A

Perez v. Commissioner of Correction, 194 CA 239 121A

Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; credibility of witnesses.

State v. Alexis, 194 CA 162. 44A

Robbery in first degree; threatening in second degree; claim that trial court improperly admitted prejudicial photograph into evidence; claim that state violated defendant's due process right to fair trial by eliciting testimony and making remark during closing arguments about defendant's postarrest and post-Miranda silence; whether defendant demonstrated harm resulting from admission of photograph into evidence; whether alleged constitutional violation was harmless beyond reasonable doubt.

State v. Carter, 194 CA 202. 84A

Assault in first degree; attempt to commit assault in first degree; risk of injury to child; criminal possession of firearm; mootness; whether trial court erred in dismissing motion to set aside judgment of conviction; claim that trial court improperly found that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over motion to set aside judgment of conviction; whether there was any practical relief that could be afforded to defendant in light of unchallenged collateral estoppel basis for trial court's dismissal of defendant's motion to set aside judgment of conviction; whether appeal was moot.

State v. Ricks, 194 CA 216 98A

Motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that due process required state to prove that defendant breached initial plea agreement before state could enter into second plea agreement with him; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.

State v. Riddick, 194 CA 243 125A

Motion to correct judgment mittimus; subject matter jurisdiction; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to correct judgment mittimus; improper form of judgment.

U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Stephenson (Memorandum Decision), 194 CA 901 131A

Volume 194 Cumulative Table of Cases 133A

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries 1B

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Connecticut Retirement Security Authority–Notice of Intent to Adopt Procedures 1C

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Reprimand of Attorney 1D

Notice of Inactive Status of Attorney 1D

Personnel Notice–Division of Criminal Justice–Chief State's Attorney 2D

Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel 3D