

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

---

VOL. LXXXI No. 14                      October 1, 2019                      285 Pages

---

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Adams v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 333 C 910 . . . . .	22
Francis v. Board of Pardon & Paroles (Order), 333 C 907 . . . . .	19
Goodwin Estate Assn., Inc. v. Starke (Order), 333 C 912 . . . . .	24
King v. Volvo Excavators AB, 333 C 283 . . . . .	3
<i>Product liability; whether trial court properly granted defendants' motions for summary judgment on ground that plaintiff's claims were barred by applicable statute of repose (§ 52-577a [a]); claim that amendment to § 52-577a (P.A. 17-97) applied retroactively to plaintiff's claims.</i>	
St. Denis-Lima v. St. Denis (Order), 333 C 910 . . . . .	22
Santa Energy Corp. v. Santa (Order), 333 C 910 . . . . .	22
State v. Abdus-Sabur (Order), 333 C 911 . . . . .	23
State v. Dawson (Order), 333 C 906 . . . . .	18
State v. Fernandes (Order), 333 C 908 . . . . .	20
State v. Francis (Order), 333 C 912 . . . . .	24
State v. Ramon A. G. (Order), 333 C 909 . . . . .	21
State v. Rodriguez (Order), 333 C 908 . . . . .	20
State v. Sanchez (Order), 333 C 907 . . . . .	19
State v. Slaughter (Order), 333 C 908 . . . . .	20
State v. Thigpen (Order), 333 C 909 . . . . .	21
Vassell v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 333 C 911 . . . . .	23
Volume 333 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	25

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Ayres v. Ayres, 193 CA 224 . . . . .	90A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion for contempt; alimony; whether trial court improperly interpreted provision in parties' separation agreement governing alimony to conclude that payment of long-term incentives, including restricted stock units and performance stock units, received from employer were to be included within alimony calculation; claim that trial court erred in ordering defendant to include all past and future restricted stock unit payments in calculation of gross income under alimony provision; claim that alimony exclusion for stock excluded only nonperformance based awards of stock; claim that court erred in finding that performance based units are not stock; whether court erred in finding that severance payment to defendant was includable within alimony calculation as base pay or performance based bonus.</i>	
Barber v. Barber, 193 CA 190 . . . . .	56A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion to modify child support; motion for contempt; motion for attorney's fees and costs; claim that trial court improperly rewrote parties' separation agreement by issuing order directing manner in which parties were to proceed to resolve their dispute regarding basic child support, including how to calculate amount of basic child support defendant owed plaintiff; whether trial court's inclusion of term adjusted gross income in its order rewrote agreement; whether plaintiff demonstrated that she was harmed by subject order; reviewability of claim that trial court erred by failing to award plaintiff attorney's fees and costs to defend against defendant's alleged attempt to invalidate agreement with respect to law applicable to motion to modify child support; claim that trial court erred by failing to award plaintiff attorney's fees pursuant to default provision</i>	

(continued on next page)

*of parties' agreement; whether plaintiff's motion for contempt regarding children's add-on expenses was successful; whether claim on cross appeal that because defendant had registered New York dissolution judgment in Connecticut pursuant to applicable statute (§ 46b-71), trial court improperly concluded that New York law, rather than Connecticut law, applied to defendant's motion to modify was moot; whether there was no practical relief that could be afforded to parties.*

Boccanfuso v. Daghoghi, 193 CA 137 . . . . . 3A  
*Summary process; claim that trial court applied incorrect legal standard in determining that defendants failed to prove their special defense of equitable nonforfeiture; whether court abused its discretion in applying doctrine of equitable nonforfeiture; whether trial court erred in finding that plaintiffs were unaware of contamination at property until after July 1, 2014; harmless error; reviewability of claim that trial court abused its discretion in finding that defendants failed to prove their special defenses of unjust enrichment and violation of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; whether trial court abused its discretion by not granting defendants' request for continuance so witness could testify.*

Colon-Collazo v. Cox, 193 CA 251. . . . . 117A  
*Property execution; exemption; action to obtain satisfaction of civil dissolution judgment; whether trial court erred in determining that certain property was exempt from execution where no exemption claim form was filed as required by statute (§ 52-361b [d]); whether there was sufficient evidence to determine certain property should be exempt from execution.*

Daley v. Kashmanian, 193 CA 171 . . . . . 37A  
*Negligence; claim that conduct of police detective while operating unmarked vehicle violated ministerial duty imposed on him by certain motor vehicle statutes; whether trial court improperly granted motion for directed verdict on count alleging recklessness; whether evidence, viewed in light most favorably to plaintiff, was sufficient for jury reasonably to conclude that detective acted recklessly; whether jury reasonably could have concluded that detective consciously disregarded state laws relating to speed limits, reckless driving, following too closely and traveling in correct lane of traffic, and that he was aware of risks and dangers his conduct imposed on others, yet showed little regard for consequences of his actions; whether trial court properly set aside verdict in favor of plaintiff on negligence claim; whether circumstances surrounding conduct of detective demonstrated that he was engaged in discretionary activity for which he was entitled to governmental immunity.*

Doan v. Commissioner of Correction, 193 CA 263 . . . . . 129A  
*Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that petitioner's trial counsel rendered deficient performance by failing to investigate petitioner's mental health and to retain forensic psychologist to aid in mitigating sentence.*

Francini v. Riggione, 193 CA 321 . . . . . 187A  
*Contracts; attorney's fees; claim that trial court abused its discretion in calculating award of attorney's fees; whether trial court abused its discretion by not dis-*

(continued on next page)

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**  
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
 Office of Production and Distribution  
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*  
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

---

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

counting award of attorney's fees on account of small sum awarded to plaintiffs for breach of contract claims; claim that proper analysis of factors listed in rule 1.5 (a) of Rules of Professional Conduct would compel a significant downward departure from plaintiffs' initial lodestar calculation because damages awarded were insignificant in relation to court's award of attorney's fees; whether plaintiffs had legitimate claim for attorney's fees pursuant to contract; whether trial court abused its discretion in awarding attorney's fees with respect to private nuisance claim on which plaintiffs did not prevail; whether party may recover attorney's fees for unsuccessful claims that are inextricably intertwined and involve common basis in fact or legal theory with successful claims; whether private nuisance and breach of contract claims were factually and legally distinct and were inextricably intertwined or based on common legal theory.

Peek v. Manchester Memorial Hospital, 193 CA 337 . . . . . 203A

Negligence; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly granted motion for summary judgment and determined that action was barred by applicable statute of limitations (§ 52-584); whether statute of limitations was tolled by continuous course of treatment doctrine; whether evidence before trial court demonstrated genuine issue of material fact as to when plaintiff discovered injury as contemplated by § 52-584.

R & P Realty Co. v. Peerless Indemnity Ins. Co., 193 CA 374 . . . . . 240A

Contracts; reviewability of claim that trial court erroneously concluded that defendant did not breach insurance policy by declining to cover increased demolition costs resulting from presence of asbestos and lead in building; reviewability of claim that trial court improperly found that increased demolition costs constituted replacement costs, rather than being component of actual cash value of plaintiffs' loss, and that plaintiffs failed to provide reasonable notice to defendant of claim seeking recovery for increased demolition costs; failure of plaintiffs to provide adequate record for review.

State v. Bryan, 193 CA 285 . . . . . 151A

Murder; conspiracy to commit murder; whether trial court abused its discretion by admitting into evidence as dual inculpatory statements under applicable rule of evidence (§ 8-6 [4]), statements that defendant's accomplice made to friend of accomplice about victim's murder; claim that accomplice's statements to friend of accomplice were inadmissible as dual inculpatory statements because they sought to shift blame for victim's murder to defendant; claim that accomplice's statements to friend of accomplice were not against accomplice's penal interest; whether trial court correctly concluded that accomplice's statements to friend of accomplice were trustworthy; unpreserved claim that state failed to disclose to defendant, in violation of Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83), certain police internal affairs records that concerned allegations of prior misconduct by detective who investigated murder; whether police internal affairs records were material to outcome of defendant's trial.

State v. Shin, 193 CA 348 . . . . . 214A

Interfering with officer; disorderly conduct; reviewability of claim that defendant's arrest and seizure by police was illegal, where claim was raised for first time in reply brief, defendant never moved to suppress evidence, and trial court did not make any factual findings or legal conclusions regarding whether any evidence was illegally seized; claim that evidence was insufficient to support conviction because police officers' testimony was fabricated; reviewability of claim that trial court improperly admitted testimony from police officers about statements defendant made in Internet video he had posted; whether defendant failed to secure finalized, specific ruling as to testimony of officers; whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied defendant's request to excuse prospective juror for cause during voir dire; unpreserved claim that trial court violated defendant's state constitutional right to compulsory process when it denied request to issue subpoena to rabbi from out of state; reviewability of claim that trial court improperly found defendant incompetent to stand trial before it later determined that he was competent to stand trial; whether claim that trial court violated defendant's constitutional right to travel when it imposed as term of conditional discharge special condition that he stay out of Connecticut for two years was moot; whether claim that trial court violated defendant's constitutional right to travel was not moot because it fell within collateral consequences exception to mootness doctrine.

Volume 193 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 247A

**NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES**

CHELSEA—Notice of Intent to Amend Loan Program Manual . . . . . 3B

CHELSEA—Notice of Intent to Amend Refi CT Loan Program Manual . . . . . 1B

Dept. of Housing—Notice of Application for a Moratorium . . . . . 4B

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Notice of Authorized House Counsel . . . . . 1C

---