

CONNECTICUT
LAW
JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXI No. 2

July 9, 2019

177 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Aronow v. Freedom of Information Commission (Order), 332 C 910. 74
Burg v. Northeast Specialty Corp. (Order), 332 C 910 74
De Almeida-Kenney v. Kennedy (Order), 332 C 909 73
Fisk v. Redding (Order), 332 C 911 75
Leon v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 332 C 909. 73
Murphy v. Darien, 332 C 244. 42
 *Negligence; summary judgment; claim that defendant railroad company negligently
 operated train on track immediately adjacent to boarding platform when another
 track was available; whether trial court correctly concluded that claim of negligent
 track selection was preempted under Federal Railroad Safety Act of 1970 (49
 U.S.C. § 20101 et seq.); federal preemption of state laws, discussed.*
Oudheusden v. Oudheusden (Order), 332 C 911. 75
Stamford Hospital v. Schwartz (Order), 332 C 911 75
State v. Marcus H. (Order), 332 C 910 74
State v. Sinclair, 332 C 204. 2
 *Possession of narcotics with intent to sell by person who is not drug-dependent;
 claim that defendant’s constitutional right to confrontation was violated; claim
 of prosecutorial improprieties; certification from Appellate Court; whether certain
 statements to which police officer testified at trial in discussing public motor
 vehicle inspection record constituted testimonial hearsay resulting in constitu-
 tional violation or were nontestimonial and evidentiary in nature; testimonial
 statements and nontestimonial statements, distinguished; whether Appellate Court
 correctly concluded that certain improper remarks by prosecutor during closing
 argument did not deprive defendant of due process right to fair trial.*
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Fitzpatrick (Order), 332 C 912 76
Presidential Village, LLC v. Perkins (replacement pages), 332 C 51–54 v
Volume 332 Cumulative Table of Cases 77

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Clasby v. Zimmerman, 191 CA 143 3A
 *Arbitration; whether trial court improperly denied application to confirm arbitra-
 tion award; whether, pursuant to statute (§ 52-417), trial court lacked discretion
 to deny timely application to confirm arbitration award where award had not
 been timely vacated, modified or corrected; whether trial court correctly denied
 request that it vacate subsequent arbitration award that reduced certain costs of
 cabinetry work and hold plaintiffs responsible for cost of cabinetry work as set
 forth in original arbitration award.*
In Re Skylar F., 191 CA 200 60A
 *Child neglect; whether trial court properly denied respondent father’s motion to open
 judgment of neglect concerning father’s minor child that was rendered after father
 was defaulted for failing to attend case status conference; whether father’s rights
 to due process were violated; claim that this court should exercise de novo review
 of claim that father was denied due process of law as result of trial court’s rendering
 default judgment at case status conference; claim that trial court abused its discre-
 tion in denying father’s motion to open default judgment.*

(continued on next page)

Marvin v. Board of Education, 191 CA 169 29A
Negligence; summary judgment; governmental immunity; claim that trial court improperly rendered summary judgment in favor of defendant on ground of government immunity pursuant to statute (§ 52-557n [a] [2] [B]) that provides immunity for discretionary acts of employees, agents and officers of political subdivisions of state; claim that genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether inspection and maintenance of school locker room floor by defendant's employees constituted ministerial duty; claim that there remained genuine issue of material fact as to whether plaintiff was identifiable person subject to imminent risk of harm and, thus, whether identifiable person, imminent harm exception to defense of governmental immunity applied; whether plaintiff fell within identifiable class of foreseeable victims or was identifiable person for purposes of exception.

McGinty v. Stamford Police Dept., 191 CA 163 23A
Workers' compensation; whether Compensation Review Board properly affirmed decision of Workers' Compensation Commissioner that plaintiff employee's claim for benefits under Heart and Hypertension Act (§ 7-433c) was compensable; whether commissioner's finding that plaintiff suffered from heart disease was supported by record.

State v. Chavez, 191 CA 184 44A
Manslaughter in first degree; claim that trial court improperly deprived defendant of constitutional right to fair trial when it failed to instruct jury, sua sponte, about inherent shortcomings of simultaneous foreign language interpretation of trial testimony; claim that trial court improperly deprived defendant of constitutional right to fair trial when it instructed jury that it could consider as consciousness of guilt evidence that defendant changed shirt shortly after victim was stabbed; whether defendant was presented with meaningful opportunity to review and comment on trial court's jury instructions; whether defendant waived right to challenge constitutionality of jury instruction under State v. Golding (213 Conn. 233); whether jury reasonably could have found from evidence that defendant's act of changing shirt was motivated by desire to avoid detection by law enforcement.

State v. Clark, 191 CA 191 51A
Assault in second degree; whether trial court properly denied motion to suppress oral statement defendant made to police officer during alleged custodial interrogation in defendant's apartment before defendant was advised of constitutional rights pursuant to Miranda v. Arizona (384 U.S. 436); whether trial court properly determined that defendant was not in custody at time statement was made; whether reasonable person in defendant's position would have believed that her freedom of movement was restrained to degree associated with formal arrest.

Volume 191 Cumulative Table of Cases 75A

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries 1B

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Closure of G.A. 17 Courthouse.	1C
Posting Dates for the Dockets and Assignments for the 2019-2020 Court Year	1C
