

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXX No. 51

June 18, 2019

211 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Bank of America, N.A. v. Grogins (Order), 332 C 902	74
Brewer v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 332 C 903	75
Doe v. Dept. of Mental Health & Addiction Services (Order), 332 C 901	73
Gaffney v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 332 C 903	75
Geriatrics, Inc. v. McGee, 332 C 1.	3
<i>Breach of contract; claim under Connecticut Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act (CUFTA) (§ 52-552a et seq.); unjust enrichment; agency principles in context of power of attorney, discussed; whether trial court improperly rejected plaintiff's fraudulent transfer claim on ground that defendant's transfer of debtor's assets pursuant to power of attorney was not transfer made by debtor under CUFTA; whether trial court improperly failed to consider agency relationship between defendants and to apply agency principles in its analysis of plaintiff's CUFTA claim; whether trial court improperly rendered judgment for defendant on plaintiff's unjust enrichment claim.</i>	
Guijarro v. Antes (Order), 332 C 901	73
Presidential Village, LLC v. Perkins, 332 C 45	47
<i>Summary process; motion to dismiss; certification from Appellate Court; whether inclusion of undesignated charges for obligations other than rent in pretermination notice that asserted only nonpayment of rent as ground for termination of tenancy in federally subsidized housing rendered notice jurisdictionally defective; whether Appellate Court improperly reversed trial court's judgment of dismissal; claim that defect in pretermination notice was not jurisdictional; federal regulations (24 C.F.R. § 247) governing use and occupancy of federally subsidized housing and their relationship to protection of low income tenants, discussed.</i>	
State v. Gonzalez (Order), 332 C 901	73
U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Kupczyk (Order), 332 C 904	76
Williams v. State (Order), 332 C 902	74
Wilmington Trust Co. v. Bachelder (Order), 332 C 903.	75
Yuille v. Parnoff (Order), 332 C 902.	74
State v. Owen (replacement pages), 331 CA 669-72	v
Volume 332 Cumulative Table of Cases	77

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Casablanca v. Casablanca, 190 CA 606	20A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court erroneously determined that retirement asset provision of parties' dissolution agreement was unambiguous; whether language of retirement asset provision was susceptible to more than one reasonable interpretation; whether trial court improperly granted motion to compel defendant to sign qualified domestic relations order; whether trial court improperly denied motion to open dissolution judgment on basis of mutual mistake; whether trial court improperly granted motion in limine to preclude defendant from presenting parol evidence in support of motion to open; whether remand to trial court was necessary for court to hold new hearing on parties' motions and to determine intent of parties after consideration of all available extrinsic evidence and circumstances surrounding entering of agreement.</i>	

(continued on next page)

In re Anaishaly C., 190 CA 667. 81A
Termination of parental rights; whether there was insufficient evidence for trial court to find by clear and convincing evidence that respondent parents had each failed to achieve degree of personal rehabilitation as would encourage belief that, within reasonable time, they could assume responsible position in lives of children; claim that there was no evidence that respondents' use of marijuana affected their ability to parent, and that because law concerning criminalization of marijuana had changed, that change had to be reflected in law concerning child protection; claim that there was insufficient evidence for trial court to conclude that respondents had failed to rehabilitate on basis of their problems with domestic violence; claim that respondents' housing situation did not support trial court's ultimate conclusion that they had failed to rehabilitate; claim that trial court's conclusion that termination of respondents' parental rights was in best interests of children was improper where, as here, court found, inter alia, that respondents had made progress in their rehabilitation and that they had strong bond with children.

State v. Abdus-Sabur, 190 CA 589 3A
Murder; criminal possession of firearm; sufficiency of evidence; whether evidence was sufficient to prove specific intent element necessary to support conviction of murder; whether trial court properly denied request to instruct jury on third-party culpability; whether defendant established direct connection between third party and offense with which defendant was charged; reviewability of claim that trial court improperly admitted evidence of uncharged misconduct of defendant's gang affiliation; whether defendant addressed in appellate brief harmfulness of allegedly improper admission of evidence; whether prejudicial effect is equivalent to harmful error or must be briefed separately.

State v. Crespo, 190 CA 639 53A
Violation of probation; whether trial court improperly found defendant in violation of probation; reviewability of unpreserved claim that trial court violated defendant's right to confrontation when it overruled objection to probation officer's testimony without making finding of good cause; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to dismiss violation of probation charge because certain condition of probation imposed by Office of Adult Probation pursuant to statute (§ 53a-30 [b]) was inconsistent with or contradictory to certain condition of probation imposed by sentencing court; reviewability of unpreserved claim that trial court improperly failed to hold evidentiary hearing on veracity of certain allegations in probation officer's arrest warrant affidavit; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion for judicial disqualification; claim that certain of trial court's evidentiary rulings and colloquy with defense counsel regarding filing of motion to dismiss would lead reasonable defendant to believe that trial court would be biased toward defendant.

State v. Thompson, 190 CA 660 74A
Conspiracy to commit robbery in first degree; robbery in first degree; kidnapping in first degree; whether trial court properly dismissed motion to correct illegal sentence for lack of subject matter jurisdiction where motion attacked validity of

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

guilty pleas, via claim of insufficiency of evidence, and did not challenge legality of sentence or manner in which sentence was imposed. 37A

Woodbury-Correa v. Reflexite Corp., 190 CA 623 37A

Workers' compensation; motion to preclude, appeal from decision of Compensation Review Board affirming decision of Workers' Compensation Commissioner denying motion to preclude defendant employer from contesting compensability of plaintiff's injuries; whether board exceeded its authority by making new factual finding, in contradiction to that made by commissioner, that defendant had filed proper form 43 contesting liability; claim that, pursuant to statute (§ 31-249c [b]), defendant was conclusively presumed to have accepted compensability of plaintiff's injury because form 43 disclaimer was not timely filed; whether defense of impossibility applied where defendant could not commence payment within statutory time period but could provide timely notice of intent to contest liability by filing form 43.

Volume 190 Cumulative Table of Cases 109A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Dept. of Social Services—Notices of Proposed Medicaid State Plan Amendment 1B

Dept. of Social Services—Notice of Intent to Renew and Amend Waivers 7B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Interim Suspension and Appointment of Trustee 1C
