

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXX No. 47 May 21, 2019 286 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Carson v. Allianz Life Ins. Co. of North America (Order), 331 C 924.	48
Griswold v. Camputaro, 331 C 701	3
<i>Zoning; consolidation of zoning appeal and zoning enforcement action; motion to open and modify stipulated judgment; motions to intervene pursuant to statute (§ 22a-19 [a] [1]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court improperly had denied motions to intervene; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court's expedited consideration of joint motion to open and modify denied proposed intervenors their statutory right to intervene pursuant to § 22a-19 (a) (1) and their right to participate in hearing under statute (§ 8-8 [n]) requiring hearing before zoning appeal is withdrawn or before settlement becomes effective; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court violated rule of practice (§ 11-15) by holding short calendar on motion to open and modify judgment less than five days after motion was filed.</i>	
Manzo-III v. Schoonmaker (Order), 331 C 925	49
Patty v. Planning & Zoning Commission (Order), 331 C 925.	49
Redding Life Care, LLC v. Redding, 331 C 711	13
<i>Writ of error; whether this court had subject matter jurisdiction to grant petition for certification to appeal from Appellate Court's judgment on writ of error; whether judgment on writ of error that had been transferred from this court to Appellate Court was tantamount to appeal for purposes of statute (§ 51-197f) governing petitions for certification to appeal; whether Appellate Court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over writ of error filed by plaintiff in error; whether trial court's interlocutory discovery order constituted appealable final judgment under this court's decision in State v. Curcio (191 Conn. 27).</i>	
State v. Bennett (Order), 331 C 924.	48
State v. Bischoff (Order), 331 C 926	50
State v. Dunbar (Order), 331 C 926	50
State v. Peluso (Order), 331 C 924	48
Thomas v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 331 C 926.	50
Volume 331 Cumulative Table of Cases	53

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Colby v. Colby, 190 CA 140	80A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; foreign judgment; motion for relief; motion to reargue; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion for relief from certain order of California court on ground that defendant failed to timely seek relief under California law; whether trial court's finding that there was no extrinsic fraud was clearly erroneous; whether trial court properly calculated postjudgment interest on basis of entire arrearage owed by defendant.</i>	
Ferrari v. Johnson & Johnson, Inc., 190 CA 152.	92A
<i>Product liability; whether trial court properly granted motion for summary judgment as to design defect and breach of warranty claims; whether expert testimony was required to establish that product was defective and that alleged defect caused plaintiff's injury; whether ordinary consumer expectation test was applicable such that jury would not need expert testimony; whether trial court properly rendered</i>	

(continued on next page)

summary judgment as to failure to warn claim on basis of learned intermediary doctrine.

Fisk v. Redding, 190 CA 99. 39A
Public nuisance; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to set aside verdict; claim that jury's answers to special interrogatories in verdict form were inconsistent and could not be harmonized; claim that trial court erred in excluding evidence of subsequent remedial measures taken by defendant; whether evidence of remedial measures was inadmissible to prove defendant's liability for nuisance.

Kaminski v. Poirot, 190 CA 214 154A
Legal malpractice; whether trial court properly granted motion for summary judgment; whether trial court properly determined that action was commenced beyond three year statute of limitations (§ 52-577) applicable to tort claims.

Lavy v. Lavy, 190 CA 186 126A
Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court properly determined that plaintiff's failure to disclose certain assets on financial affidavit constituted material omissions that violated parties' separation agreement, which had been incorporated into dissolution judgment; claim that plaintiff's failure to disclose assets on financial affidavit was not material omission because defendant knew about them at time of dissolution judgment; claim that trial court inflated significance of omissions by comparing their value to total value of disclosed assets in same asset category; claim that trial court's discussion of relative value of assets rendered its determination that nondisclosures were material omissions legally or logically incorrect or unsupported by record; claim that trial court's finding that plaintiff knew about undisclosed bank account at time of dissolution judgment was clearly erroneous; whether trial court properly awarded defendant statutory (§ 37-3a [a]) prejudgment interest, where defendant raised claim for prejudgment interest in posthearing brief; claim that plaintiff was denied reasonable notice and opportunity to present defense regarding defendant's request for prejudgment interest; whether trial court violated rule of practice (§ 61-11) that provides for automatic appellate stay by awarding defendant postjudgment interest after plaintiff filed appeal; claim that § 37-3a was part of mechanism for statutory (§ 52-350f) enforcement of money judgment that is limited to execution or foreclosure of lien.

Oudheusden v. Oudheusden, 190 CA 169. 109A
Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court improperly double counted certain marital asset for purposes of property division and spousal support awards; claim that trial court abused its discretion in failing to make equitable orders in division of marital estate; whether trial court deprived defendant of means with which to comply with orders; whether trial court's award of nonmodifiable, lifetime alimony to plaintiff was supported by facts in evidence; whether plaintiff's testimony at trial precluded conclusion that her physical condition and age rendered her permanently incapable of earning any income from any type of employment.

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

Patrowicz v. Peloquin, 190 CA 124 64A
Contracts; statute of frauds; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying request for continuance in order to subpoena witness; whether trial court committed reversible error by permitting material variance between amount of damages alleged in complaint and amount pursued at trial without requiring plaintiffs to file amended complaint; claim challenging trial court’s determinations with respect to statute of frauds defense.

Stamford Hospital v. Schwartz, 190 CA 63 3A
Debt collection; action to collect debt, pursuant to statute (§ 46b-37 [b]), for medical services that plaintiff hospital rendered to defendants’ minor child; special defenses; accord and satisfaction; reviewability of claims; whether record supported findings of attorney trial referee and trial court that defendants were indebted to plaintiff and that they exhibited bad faith throughout litigation; credibility of witnesses; whether referee acted within his authority to find by preponderance of evidence that defendants were untruthful; whether trial court’s decision to award plaintiff attorney’s fees was legally and logically correct.

U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. v. Giblen, 190 CA 221 161A
Foreclosure; motion for approval of committee sale; annulment of automatic stay by Bankruptcy Court; claim that trial court’s approval of sale was void ab initio because it exceeded scope of Bankruptcy Court’s order annulling bankruptcy stay; whether Bankruptcy Court’s order annulling stay was intended only to permit committee to recover fees and expenses; whether trial court abused its discretion in granting committee’s motion for approval of sale; reviewability of claim that certain irregularities with motion for approval of sale prevented defendants from realizing substantial amount of equity in subject property; whether defendants failed to show any injury resulting specifically from five claimed irregularities with motion for approval of sale.

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Fitzpatrick, 190 CA 231 171A
Foreclosure; notice requirements of mortgage; whether trial court properly determined that certain two letters together substantially complied with notice requirements in mortgage deed; whether trial court’s finding that defendants did not prove special defense of laches was clearly erroneous; whether defendants established that any alleged delay by plaintiff resulted in prejudice to them; whether trial court’s reduction in interest that accrued while first of two foreclosure actions was pending equitably addressed any delay in first foreclosure action.

State v. Gonzalez (replacement pages), 188 CA 337–38 v
 Volume 190 Cumulative Table of Cases 187A

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Notice of Public Hearing on Practice Book Revisions to the Rules of Appellate Procedure. 1PB

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Dept. of Social Services—Notice of Proposed Medicaid Waiver 2B
Dept. of Social Services—Notice of Transition Plan 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Appointment of Trustee. 1C
Notice of Disbarment of Attorney. 1C
Notice of Disciplinary Order 1C
Notice of Suspension of Attorney and Appointment of Trustee. 2C