

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXX No. 28

January 8, 2019

255 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Johnson v. Commissioner of Correction, 330 C 520	2
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that petitioner failed to preserve for review claim that defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance by inadequately investigating alibi witnesses; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that failure of defense counsel to present alibi witnesses was reasonable trial strategy; claim that defense counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to present third-party culpability defense.</i>	
Volume 330 Cumulative Table of Cases	59

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Karagozian v. USV Optical, Inc., 186 CA 857.	45A
<i>Constructive discharge; whether trial court properly granted motion to strike; whether trial court properly determined that plaintiff failed to state claim for constructive discharge; whether plaintiff failed to allege in complaint that defendant intended to create work environment so intolerable that reasonable person would have been compelled to resign involuntarily; whether working conditions in store where plaintiff worked were comparable to those confronted by plaintiffs in Sheets v. Teddy's Frost Foods, Inc. (179 Conn. 471) and Faulkner v. United Technologies Corp. (240 Conn. 576).</i>	
State v. Patel, 186 CA 814	2A
<i>Felony murder; home invasion as accessory; burglary in first degree as accessory; robbery in first degree as accessory; conspiracy to commit burglary in first degree; hindering prosecution in second degree; claim that trial court erred in denying motion for continuance; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motions for mistrial; claim that trial court erred in admitting into evidence jailhouse recording between confidential informant and defendant's coconspirator; claim that trial court erred in preventing defendant from asking certain questions to potential jurors during voir dire; claim that trial court erred in giving improper limiting instruction to jury regarding nonhearsay testimony; whether coconspirator's statements to informant, which implicated defendant, bore any characteristics of testimonial hearsay; reviewability of claim that recorded statements were not trustworthy or reliable; whether defendant's proffered voir dire question regarding final witness presented had potential to plant prejudicial matter in minds of jurors and cause potential jurors to assume that final witness was special or more important than other witnesses; reviewability of claim that trial court erred in giving limiting instruction to jury regarding nonhearsay testimony.</i>	
Volume 186 Cumulative Table of Cases	63A
Designs for Health, Inc. v. Miller, 187 CA 1	75A
<i>Contracts; whether trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss; whether trial court improperly concluded that it lacked personal jurisdiction over defendant; whether plaintiff met its burden to make prima facie showing that trial court had personal jurisdiction over defendant; whether plaintiff submitted evidence, which if credited by trier of fact, was sufficient to establish that defendant had signed electronically subject agreement containing forum selection clause.</i>	

(continued on next page)

Hospital Media Network, LLC v. Henderson, 187 CA 40 114A
Breach of fiduciary duty; default judgment; claim that defendant had fiduciary relationship with plaintiff and breached his fiduciary duty by working for unrelated company without the plaintiff's permission or knowledge; claim that trial court erred in determining monetary awards; whether trial court abused its discretion in ordering wholesale forfeiture of defendant's salary and bonus and requiring defendant to disgorge in full all profits received from third parties; whether award of monetary relief was disproportionate to misconduct at issue and failed to take into account equities in case.

State v. Stephenson, 187 CA 20 94A
Burglary in third degree; attempt to commit tampering with physical evidence; attempt to commit arson in second degree; claim that evidence presented at trial was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of charged offenses; whether there was evidence presented at trial that defendant touched case files in courthouse with intent to tamper with physical evidence.

Wood v. Rutherford, 187 CA 61 135A
Battery; negligent infliction of emotional distress; informed consent; claim that although defendant physician obtained informed consent of plaintiff to perform laser ablation of her vulva and, as part of that course of treatment, to perform postoperative examination, substantial change in circumstances occurred when defendant discovered complication during postoperative examination that required medical intervention, which in turn obligated him to obtain her informed consent before proceeding further; whether trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss battery and negligent infliction of emotional distress counts due to plaintiff's noncompliance with statute (§ 52-190a); whether plaintiff's battery and negligent infliction of emotional distress counts were claims of medical negligence subject to requirements of § 52-190a; whether trial court improperly rendered summary judgment in favor of defendant physician on plaintiff's revised complaint; whether genuine issues of material fact existed regarding defendant's discovery of medical complication during postoperative examination; whether defendant physician's failure to obtain informed consent may be excused because exception applied, such as when patient has authorized physician to remedy complications that arise during course of medical treatment.

Volume 187 Cumulative Table of Cases 181A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

City of Milford—Notice of Intent to Apply for State Certificate of Affordable Housing 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel 1C

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

Notices of Public Censure	2, 3C
Notice Regarding the filing of Habeas Matters.	1C
