

- of prejudice under United States v. Cronin (466 U.S. 648) because of trial counsel's absence from petitioner's presentence investigation interview with probation officer.*
- Cohen v. Statewide Grievance Committee, 189 CA 643 37A
Attorney discipline; appeal to trial court from decision of reviewing committee of defendant Statewide Grievance Committee imposing sanctions and reprimanding plaintiff for violating rules 3.3 (a) (1) and 8.4 (3) of Rules of Professional Conduct; whether disciplinary counsel violated plaintiff's due process rights by refusing to conduct investigation into allegations of misconduct against plaintiff; whether disciplinary counsel violated plaintiff's due process rights by failing to produce any witnesses other than plaintiff at hearing before reviewing committee; whether trial court improperly inferred attorney-client relationship between plaintiff and Probate Court; whether trial court properly applied rule 3.3 of Rules of Professional Conduct to attorney functioning in fiduciary role; whether trial court properly upheld reviewing committee's determination that entry in amended final account filed by plaintiff constituted knowingly false statement to Probate Court in violation of rule 3.3 (a) (1) of Rules of Professional Conduct and was dishonest in violation of rule 8.4 (3) of Rules of Professional Conduct.
- Dennis v. Commissioner of Correction, 189 CA 608 2A
Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; whether petitioner's appeal was moot; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether habeas court improperly concluded that petitioner failed to establish that trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to request stay of execution of certain sentence, which resulted in petitioner's loss of sixteen days of presentence incarceration credits; whether there was reasonable strategic reason for trial counsel not to request stay of execution after sentence had been imposed; whether trial counsel's failure to request stay of execution constituted deficient performance; claim that petitioner was prejudiced by trial counsel's deficient performance in failing to request stay of execution.
- Fleming v. Middletown (Memorandum Decision), 189 CA 906 186A
 Gilman v. Shames, 189 CA 736. 130A
Bystander emotional distress; whether trial court erroneously concluded that plaintiff's bystander emotional distress claim directed to defendant physician in physician's individual capacity was barred by statutory (§ 4-165) immunity; claim that facts pleaded in operative complaint were sufficient to demonstrate that physician's conduct was reckless and, thus, that physician was not protected by statutory immunity; whether trial court erroneously concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over bystander emotional distress claim directed to state; whether trial court properly determined that bystander emotional distress claim was derivative in nature and could not be raised in absence of predicate wrongful death action commenced by decedent's estate; claim that trial court erred in dismissing plaintiff's bystander emotional distress claim directed to state on ground that failure to join decedent's estate in present action deprived court of subject matter jurisdiction.

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

Kaminski v. Alexander (Memorandum Decision), 189 CA 907 187A
 Maurice v. Chester Housing Associates Ltd. Partnership, 189 CA 754 148A
Negligence; slip and fall; motion for sanctions; claim that trial court abused its discretion in precluding expert witness from testifying as expert in field of snow removal; whether witness' testimony demonstrated that knowledge of snow removal was insubstantial and tangential to real expertise in building codes and ordinances; claim that trial court abused its discretion in declining to render default judgment against defendant on basis of misconduct of defendant's general and managing partner against plaintiff's attorney; whether trial court had wide discretion to impose sanction that it deemed appropriate under circumstances.
 Mensah v. Mensah (Memorandum Decision), 189 CA 907 187A
 Merkel v. Hill, 189 CA 779 173A
Child custody and visitation; motion to modify orders of custody and visitation concerning parties' minor child that had been issued in connection with foreign judgment of dissolution; motion to modify parental access plan; whether trial court violated defendant's procedural due process rights when it modified order relating to custody of minor child without providing parties with notice and meaningful opportunity to be heard on that issue; whether trial court abused its discretion when it adopted stale and outdated parental access plan recommendations in comprehensive evaluation report prepared one year earlier by family relations counselor.
 Miller v. Maurer, 189 CA 769 163A
Interpleader; action to determine rights to proceeds from legal settlement; whether trial court improperly determined plaintiff was entitled to 15 percent of settlement proceeds; whether plaintiff had standing to challenge trial court's allocation of remainder of settlement proceeds between defendants.
 Morrison v. Wallace (Memorandum Decision), 189 CA 907 187A
 Riddick v. Dept. of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 189 CA 906 186A
 Scalora v. Scalora, 189 CA 703 97A
Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment orders; motion for contempt; cross appeal; claim that trial court abused its discretion in rejecting defenses of laches, equitable estoppel, and waiver without first fully considering elements of each; whether trial court improperly took judicial notice of reasonable cost of university meal plan and monthly clothing allowance for college student; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion for order seeking credit toward claimed arrearages for one half of cost of wedding of parties' daughter; whether trial court abused its discretion in finding defendant in contempt for failing to maintain life insurance policy at his own expense in accordance with parties' settlement agreement; reviewability of claim that trial court abused its discretion by establishing payment schedule on arrearage without first obtaining evidence regarding defendant's financial circumstances; claim that trial court abused its discretion in declining to award defendant attorney's fees in connection with motion for contempt; reviewability of inadequately briefed claims that trial court abused its discretion in declining to award plaintiff attorney's fees in connection with her motion for contempt and challenging trial court's interpretation of certain provision of parties' separation agreement.
 Sutera v. Natiello, 189 CA 631 25A
Negligence; res ipsa loquitur; premises liability; whether general verdict rule precluded review of claim that trial court committed harmful error by giving jury instruction on doctrine of res ipsa loquitur; claim that jury's verdict was improperly influenced by sympathy for plaintiff and that its finding of comparative negligence was compromise verdict.
 U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Conrad (Memorandum Decision), 189 CA 908 188A
 Volume 189 Cumulative Table of Cases 189A

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Notice of Public Hearing on Proposed Practice Book Revisions 1PB

MISCELLANEOUS

Division of Criminal Justice—Notices of Job Opportunities 1B
 Notice of Inactive Status of Attorney and Appointment of Trustee 3B
 Notice of Reprimand of Attorneys 3B
 Reviewing Committee Reprimands 3B
 Notice of Disbarment of Attorney 4B