

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXX No. 43

April 23, 2019

464 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Dubinsky v. Reich (Order), 331 C 918	94
Essex Ins. Co. v. William Kramer & Associates, LLC, 331 C 493	59
<i>Negligence; statute of limitations (§ 52-577); continuing course of conduct doctrine; appeal from decision of United States District Court for District of Connecticut setting aside jury's verdict in favor of plaintiff on ground that there was insufficient evidence to support jury's finding that continuing course of conduct tolled statute of limitations; certification of question of law from United States Court of Appeals for Second Circuit; whether evidence presented at trial was legally sufficient to support plaintiff's claim that defendant engaged in continuing course of conduct that tolled limitation period under theory of special relationship between parties or under theory of later wrongful conduct by defendant; principles of agency and fiduciary law, discussed.</i>	
Feehan v. Marcone, 331 C 436	2
<i>Elections; whether trial court properly granted motion to dismiss plaintiff political candidate's claims for declaratory and mandatory injunctive relief; claim that elections clause of Connecticut constitution vests state legislature with exclusive jurisdiction over contested legislative elections; whether statute (§ 9-328) conferring jurisdiction on state courts in cases involving contested municipal elections applied to assembly district falling within single municipality; claim that trial court had jurisdiction to entertain plaintiff's claims regarding alleged violations of certain federal constitutional rights; whether trial court had jurisdiction to temporarily enjoin defendant state officers from canvassing votes and declaring winner in election; whether appeals from trial court's temporary injunction were rendered moot by passage of statutory (§ 9-319) deadline for canvass of votes.</i>	
Francis v. State (Order), 331 C 918	94
Jones v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 331 C 917	93
Mosby v. Board of Education (Order), 331 C 917	93
State v. Berrios (Order), 331 C 917	93
State v. Jerrell R. (Order), 331 C 918	94
Volume 331 Cumulative Table of Cases	97

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

American Institute for Neuro-Integrative Development, Inc. v. Town Plan & Zoning Commission, 189 CA 332	30A
<i>Zoning; application for special exception; claim that trial court erred when it concluded that special exception application was properly denied; whether defendant zoning commission improperly concluded that plaintiff had not satisfied certain traffic related requirements under town zoning regulations; whether commission's</i>	

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Practice Book Revisions being considered by the Rules Committee of the Superior Court and Notice of Public Hearing appear beginning on Page 1PB. The proposed revisions are posted on the Judicial Branch website at: www.jud.ct.gov/pb.htm.

ground for denial of special exception application was reasonably supported by substantial evidence in record; whether commission’s reason for denial of application—that plaintiff did not demonstrate that proposed offices for charitable institutions would be nonprofit entities—was based on speculation and not supported by substantial evidence.

Barbabosa v. Board of Education, 189 CA 427 125A

Employment discrimination; whether trial court properly granted motion for summary judgment; claim that defendant discriminated against plaintiff on basis of her disability and failed to provide her with reasonable accommodation in violation of statute (§ 46a-60 [b]); whether trial court properly determined that there was no genuine issue of material fact that attendance was essential function of plaintiff’s job as school paraprofessional; whether trial court properly determined that request for intermittent extended leave of absence was not reasonable accommodation because it would have eliminated essential job function it purported to address, exacerbated plaintiff’s attendance issues and undermined her ability to maintain regular attendance.

Benistar Employer Services Trust Co. v. Benincasa, 189 CA 304 2A

Application to vacate arbitration award; motion to confirm arbitration award; whether arbitration award was timely issued; whether arbitrator reasonably determined closing date of hearing; whether arbitration award was predicated on manifest disregard of law; whether arbitration award was not mutual, final and definite; whether arbitration award was in violation of public policy; whether arbitrator reasonably believed that he was prolonging hearings with consent of parties; claim that arbitrator’s conclusion that contract existed demonstrated manifest disregard of law; claim that arbitrator ignored well settled contract law and improperly concluded that contract existed despite lack of elements necessary for contract; whether appellate court can review evidence or otherwise second-guess arbitrator’s factual determination where arbitration submission was unrestricted; claim that arbitration award should have been vacated because it was not mutual, final and definite; whether fact that arbitrator retained jurisdiction of matter for sole purpose of interpreting award or resolving any potential disputes arising from final effectuation of ruling undermined finality of award; whether arbitrator’s conclusion that transfer of life insurance policies created tax liability that would not have otherwise been imposed violated explicit, well-defined and dominant public policy of this state.

Bozelko v. Statewide Construction, Inc., 189 CA 469 161A

Quiet title; whether trial court’s finding that there was break in plaintiff’s chain of title and, thus, that plaintiff had no right, title or interest in disputed parcel was clearly erroneous; credibility of witnesses; whether plaintiff could challenge court’s finding that defendants owned parcel where plaintiff failed to establish that he had title to parcel.

Bree v. Commissioner of Correction, 189 CA 411 109A

Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to present

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

testimony from audio-video forensics expert to challenge reliability of closed-circuit television surveillance video that was used to identify petitioner in robbery of convenience store; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to object to testimony by petitioner's accomplice that identified petitioner's photograph in police photographic array; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to present testimony of petitioner's stepfather.

LaBorne v. LaBorne, 189 CA 353 51A
Dissolution of marriage; appeal from judgment of trial court issuing postjudgment financial orders; whether trial court erred in failing to use value of defendant's pension as of date of dissolution; whether there was exceptional intervening circumstance that justified trial court's decision not to value pension at time of dissolution; whether wilful dissipation of assets by defendant constituted exceptional intervening circumstance; whether trial court improperly based alimony orders on parties' gross income; reviewability of claim that trial court erred in concluding that defendant was permitted to withdraw funds from retirement account for purpose of paying alimony.

Margarita O. v. Fernando I., 189 CA 448 146A
Application for relief from abuse; restraining order; whether trial court abused its discretion in granting application for relief abuse from abuse and issuing restraining order against defendant; whether there was sufficient evidence to support finding that defendant had subjected plaintiff to pattern of threatening; whether trial court reasonably could have concluded that defendant's written threatening communications constituted pattern of threatening; whether trial court's additional order of protection was clearly erroneous; whether there was evidence in record to support trial court's additional order.

Natasha B. v. Dept. of Children & Families, 189 CA 398 96A
Administrative appeal; appeal from decision of hearing officer of defendant Department of Children and Families, who upheld department's decision to substantiate allegations of physical abuse, physical neglect, and emotional neglect by plaintiff against minor child and to place plaintiff's name on its child abuse and neglect central registry; whether trial court properly concluded that finding of chronicity was not required to place plaintiff's name on child abuse and neglect central registry; claim that, because hearing officer made explicit finding that there was no chronicity, plaintiff's name could not be placed on child abuse and neglect central registry; whether trial court erred in concluding that hearing officer did not improperly shift burden of proof to plaintiff when hearing officer scheduled second hearing date so that parties could present evidence regarding whether plaintiff had demonstrated changed conditions that would justify removal of her name from child abuse and neglect central registry.

Nova Benefit Plans, LLC v. Mortgages Unlimited, Inc., 189 CA 329 27A
Arbitration; appeal from judgment of trial court denying application to vacate and confirming arbitration award in favor of defendants; claim that trial court improperly confirmed arbitration award that was predicated on prior related arbitration award, which plaintiffs claimed constituted manifest disregard of law.

Watson v. Zoning Board of Appeals, 189 CA 367 65A
Zoning; application for permission to conduct customary home occupation from home office within residence; claim that trial court erred in concluding that plaintiff needed to prove home occupation was customary in addition to establishing compliance with specific standards set forth in town building zone regulations; claim that trial court erred in concluding that zoning board of appeals acted reasonably in denying plaintiff's application simply because home occupation was part of larger business that took place off-site.

Volume 189 Cumulative Table of Cases 175A

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Practice Book Revisions Being Considered by the Rules Committee of the Superior Court 1PB
 Notice of Public Hearing on Proposed Practice Book Revisions 3PB

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Public Hearing on Proposed Revisions to Probate Court Rules of Procedure. 1B
 Notice of Reinstatement of Attorneys 1B
 Notice of Successor Trustee 1B