

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXX No. 41 April 9, 2019 199 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Bruno v. Whipple (Order), 331 C 911	71
Deutsche Bank AG v. Sebastian Holdings, Inc., 331 C 379.	63
<i>Enforcement of foreign judgment; summary judgment; res judicata; collateral estoppel; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that plaintiff's corporate veil piercing claim was not barred by res judicata; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that individual defendant was not collaterally estopped from denying liability for foreign judgment rendered against defendant corporation.</i>	
Hodges v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 331 C 912	72
Raspberry Junction Holding, LLC v. Southeastern Connecticut Water Authority, 331 C 364	48
<i>Negligence; summary judgment; claim that defendant water authority, as municipal corporation engaged in proprietary function, was not immune from liability and had no authority, express or implied, to promulgate rules limiting its liability for negligence; claim that, if defendant had such authority, rule limiting liability would not be reasonable exercise of authority because defendant was not subject to regulations that might otherwise circumscribe its ability to set rates to cover liability costs.</i>	
Smith v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 331 C 912	72
State v. Carey (Order), 331 C 913	73
State v. Purcell, 331 C 318	2
<i>Risk of injury to child; motion to suppress; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that defendant's statements made during custodial interrogation did not constitute clear and unequivocal invocation of his right to counsel under standard set forth in Davis v. United States (512 U.S. 452); ambiguous or equivocal requests for counsel, discussed; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that article first, § 8, of Connecticut constitution did not require police officers to cease questioning immediately and to clarify defendant's ambiguous or equivocal request for counsel during custodial interrogation.</i>	
State v. Rivera (Order), 331 C 911.	71
Volume 331 Cumulative Table of Cases	75

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Cyganovich v. Cyganovich, 189 CA 164.	42A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; appeal from judgment of trial court resolving postjudgment motions of parties; whether trial court, in granting motion for modification of child support, improperly calculated defendant's modified child support obligation; whether defendant was entitled to modified child support in amount calculated according to formula applicable to split custody arrangement where parties had shared custody of child; whether record supported contention that parties spend equal amounts of money to support child.</i>	
Mountain v. Mountain, 189 CA 228	106A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court erred in denying postjudgment motion for modification of unallocated alimony and child support obligation; whether trial court erred in finding that there had been no substantial change in circumstances to support modification of unallocated alimony and child support obligation; reviewability of claim that trial court erred in finding that plaintiff failed to prove</i>	

(continued on next page)

	<i>that he was no longer able to meet financial obligations to defendant by borrowing money from his current wife.</i>	
State v. Euclides L., 189 CA 151		29A
	<i>Risk of injury to child; claim that trial court improperly failed to instruct jury that it should acquit defendant if it concluded that his use of force in caring for his daughter was accident; whether trial court's charge to jury was legally correct and adequately instructed jury on issue of accident; whether separate accident charge was required; whether trial court's general intent instruction adequately addressed issue of accident.</i>	
State v. Grasso, 189 CA 186		64A
	<i>Manslaughter in first degree with firearm; whether state failed to disprove beyond reasonable doubt claim that defendant acted in self-defense when she shot victim; whether evidence supported finding that defendant's use of deadly physical force was premature; unpreserved claim that defendant's rights to due process and to effective assistance of counsel were violated when trial court denied jury's request to rehear closing arguments of prosecutor and defense counsel; claim that defendant waived claim when defense counsel failed to object to court's proposed response to request of jury and affirmatively stated that he did not object to it.</i>	
State v. Mukhtaar, 189 CA 144		22A
	<i>Murder; motion to correct illegal sentence; whether court properly concluded that it lacked jurisdiction to consider issues raised in motion to correct illegal sentence; whether claims raised by defendant in motion to correct addressed pretrial proceedings and criminal trial and did not attack sentencing proceeding itself.</i>	
Williams v. State, 189 CA 172		50A
	<i>Negligence; claim that trial court framed issue of case too narrowly and improperly failed to consider all instances of negligence alleged in complaint; reviewability of claim that trial court improperly failed to consider certain statutes, state highway safety regulations, and standards in ruling on complaint.</i>	
Yuille v. Parnoff, 189 CA 124		2A
	<i>Conversion; statutory theft; alleged misappropriation of funds held in escrow pending resolution of parties' dispute over attorney's fees; claim that trial court abused its discretion by ordering defendant to commence trial after allowing his attorney to withdraw, without affording him time to obtain new counsel; claim that verdict in favor of plaintiff on counts of conversion and statutory theft was irreconcilably inconsistent with verdict in favor defendant on count alleging breach of fiduciary duty; claim that trial court improperly declined to submit special defense of waiver to jury.</i>	
Volume 189 Cumulative Table of Cases		113A
MISCELLANEOUS		
Division of Criminal Justice—Notice of Job Opportunity		1B
Notice of Reprimand of Attorneys		3B

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.