

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXX No. 26

December 25, 2018

74 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Diaz v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 330 C 954	2
Finney v. Finney (Order), 330 C 955	3
Melendez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 330 C 954	2
Moore v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 330 C 954	2
Santos v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 330 C 955	3
Stanley v. Grant (Order), 330 C 955	3
Wilson v. Wilson (Order), 330 C 956	4
Volume 330 Cumulative Table of Cases	5

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Cabral v. Tremaine (Memorandum Decision), 186 CA 904	32A
Edward M. v. Commissioner of Correction, 186 CA 754	14A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court improperly determined that habeas counsel who represented petitioner in his first habeas action rendered ineffective assistance by failing to allege that petitioner's criminal trial counsel was ineffective for failing to present certain evidence regarding petitioner's circumcision; claim that habeas court incorrectly determined that evidence of whether petitioner was circumcised at time of trial, which occurred years after alleged abuse, was relevant and admissible at trial, disregarded tactical decision of petitioner's criminal trial counsel to present evidence of petitioner's circumcised penis only by means of testimonial evidence, and relied on admission of petitioner's criminal trial counsel that his failure to present physical evidence was mistake; whether habeas court properly determined that conduct of petitioner's criminal trial counsel fell below wide range of reasonable professional assistance; whether habeas court properly concluded that habeas counsel who represented petitioner in his first habeas action rendered ineffective assistance of counsel; claim that any alleged prejudice to petitioner due to failure of petitioner's criminal trial counsel to offer medical records, photographs, or other evidence showing that petitioner was circumcised was speculative; whether petitioner was prejudiced by failure of trial counsel to provide independent evidence of petitioner's circumcision.</i>	
Federal National Mortgage Assn. v. Buhl, 186 CA 743	3A
<i>Summary process; default for failure to appear; claim that trial court erred in determining that defendants did not commence action challenging validity of certain deed pursuant to statute (§ 47-36aa); whether defendants engaged in legal process articulated in statute (§ 52-45a) that governs commencement of civil actions; claim that special defense was analogous to counterclaim and, therefore, commenced independent action; whether trial court properly determined that absence of acknowledgment date and execution date did not render subject deed invalid pursuant to § 47-36aa; unpreserved claim that trial court abused its discretion by allowing plaintiff's counsel to give unsworn testimony regarding execution of deed; whether defendants failed to prove that they were prejudiced by counsel's statements; whether defendants demonstrated that trial court relied on subject statements in rendering its decision; claim that trial court abuse its discretion in rendering default judgment against defendant for failure to appear.</i>	
Fingelly v. Fairfield (Memorandum Decision), 186 CA 905	33A

(continued on next page)

In re Gabriella C.-G., 186 CA 767 27A
Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court erred in finding that Department of Children and Families had made reasonable efforts to reunify respondent mother with her children and in making certain statements regarding best interests of children; whether findings of trial court were sufficiently supported by evidence and not clearly erroneous.
 Jelliffe v. Kennedy Center, Inc. (Memorandum Decision), 186 CA 904 32A
 Miller v. Lyman (Memorandum Decision), 186 CA 904 32A
 State v. Calvin N. (Memorandum Decision), 186 CA 905 33A
 Trocki v. Borusiewicz (Memorandum Decision), 186 CA 905 33A
 Volume 186 Cumulative Table of Cases 35A

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries 1B

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Dept. of Housing—Notice of Application for a Moratorium 1C
 Dept. of Social Services—Notices of Proposed Medicaid State Plan Amendment 1C

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Suspension of Attorney 2D, 3D
 Office of the Chief Public Defender. 1D

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL NOTICE DEADLINES

2019 Deadline Schedule for Law Journal Notice Submission 1E

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.