

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXX No. 24 December 11, 2018 200 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Bank of New York Mellon v. Orlando (Order), 330 C 952	26
Banks v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 330 C 950	24
Bell v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 330 C 949	23
Cady v. Zoning Board of Appeals, 330 C 502	2
<i>Zoning; subdivisions; whether trial court improperly reversed decision of defendant zoning board of appeals upholding decision of defendant zoning enforcement officer approving certain property line revisions proposed by defendant landowner; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that landowner's proposal constituted subdivision as defined by statute (§ 8-18); whether trial court properly applied provision of Burlington Zoning Regulations (§ III.F.7) governing establishment of nonconforming uses on preexisting lots.</i>	
HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order), 330 C 952	26
In re Madison M. (Order), 330 C 951	25
Marshall v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 330 C 949	23
Real Estate Mortgage Network, Inc. v. Squillante (Order), 330 C 950	24
Seaside National Bank & Trust v. Lussier (Order), 330 C 951	25
Walenski v. Connecticut State Employees Retirement Commission (Order), 330 C 951 . .	25
Volume 330 Cumulative Table of Cases	27

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Day v. Seblatnigg, 186 CA 482	18A
<i>Declaratory judgment; motion for summary judgment; conservatorship; claim that trial court erred in finding that no genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether individual was under voluntary conservatorship at time she executed instrument creating irrevocable trust; whether plaintiff coconservator of estate of voluntarily conserved person had standing to bring declaratory judgment action pursuant to statute (§ 45a-655); whether conservator of estate had power to initiate action on behalf of conserved person under § 45a-655; whether putative trustee was necessary party to declaratory action where court declared irrevocable trust was void ab initio; claim that absence of putative trustee implicated subject matter jurisdiction; whether joinder of putative trustee was mandated by statute; whether failure of plaintiff or court to join putative trustee infringed on its due process rights; whether irrevocable trust was void ab initio; claim that 2007 revision to the conservatorship statutes suggested that voluntarily conserved person retained control over estate; whether statutory amendment altered conservator's power and duties; claim that trial court erred when it concluded that conserved person lacked ability to execute trust while under voluntary conservatorship.</i>	
Finney v. Finney (Memorandum Decision), 186 CA 902	148A
Fiondella v. Meriden, 186 CA 552	88A
<i>Fraud; litigation privilege; injunctive relief; declaratory judgment; civil conspiracy; claim that defendants failed to give notice of declaratory judgment action as required by rule of practice (§ 17-56 [b]); claim that defendants conspired and schemed to conceal declaratory judgment action from plaintiffs; whether trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction on ground that defendants were shielded by litigation privilege; whether litigation privilege shielded defendants where allegations arose out of intentional conduct of defendants in depriving plaintiffs of notice of declaratory action and concealing</i>	

(continued on next page)

that action, and were not based on statements made by defendants in course of prior litigation.

Forgione v. Forgione, 186 CA 525. 61A
Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court erred in its method of dividing parties' assets because it failed to take into account advance payment made by plaintiff to defendant against defendant's equitable distribution and resultant transfer of equity in marital residence; whether defendant adequately preserved claim of error; whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction, pursuant to statute (§ 46b-86 [a]), to open dissolution judgment as to financial issues.

Jolen, Inc. v. Brodie & Stone, PLC, 186 CA 516. 52A
Breach of fiduciary duty; motion for summary judgment; claim that in view of trial court's unchallenged determination that agency relationship existed between parties, trial court's subsequent failure to conclude that such relationship was per se fiduciary in nature was incorrect as matter of law; whether trial court's determination that defendant was not plaintiff's fiduciary with respect to matters within scope of its agency was erroneous; whether trial court erred in rendering summary judgment; whether agent is, by definition, fiduciary.

McQueeney v. Penny (Memorandum Decision), 186 CA 902. 148A
 Owens v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 186 CA 903 149A
 Perez v. Metropolitan District Commission, 186 CA 466 2A
Wrongful death; summary judgment; governmental immunity pursuant to statute (§ 52-557n); claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiff failed to establish that genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether death of plaintiff's decedent was caused by defendant's breach of ministerial duty; whether certain deposition testimony raised question of fact as to defendant's ministerial duties; claim that, on basis of defendant's failure to preserve certain state manual, plaintiff was entitled to adverse inference that defendant violated ministerial duty; whether plaintiff failed to adduce any evidence to support existence of ministerial duty in conjunction with claim for adverse inference; claim that there was genuine issue of material fact as to whether defense of governmental immunity applied because decedent was identifiable person subject to imminent risk of harm; whether decedent was individually identifiable to public official or among class of identifiable victims.

Rivera v. Commissioner of Correction, 186 CA 506. 42A
Habeas corpus; subject matter jurisdiction; earned risk reduction credit statute (§ 18-98e); claim that habeas court improperly dismissed habeas petition on ground that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over petitioner's ex post facto and discrimination claims; whether petitioner had constitutionally protected liberty interest in earning future risk reduction credit; whether there was colorable basis for ex post facto claim; whether petitioner alleged cognizable liberty interest sufficient to implicate subject matter jurisdiction of habeas court over ex post facto claim; reviewability of claim that habeas court's articulation constituted improper and untimely modification of judgment.

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

State v. Brett B., 186 CA 563. 99A
Murder; violation of standing criminal protective order; whether prosecutor misstated or exaggerated significance of DNA evidence from plastic bag, checkbook and cell phone charger; whether prosecutor implied to jury that he had knowledge outside record with respect to bloody foot impressions; whether trial court abused its discretion when it admitted testimony about bloodstain on tissue; whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied motion to strike expert's testimony about how blood was transferred to tissue; claim that trial court committed plain error when it permitted certain testimony by expert regarding blood spatter analysis when expert had not previously been disclosed or qualified as an expert in that area.

State v. Greene, 186 CA 534 70A
Manlaughter in first degree; whether trial court improperly denied motion to dismiss; claim that trial court's finding of lack of probable cause on murder charge deprived it of jurisdiction over defendant on charge of manslaughter in first degree in amended information; claim that evidence was insufficient to support finding of probable cause for manslaughter in first degree; claim that evidence presented at probable cause hearing could only establish intent to kill, and not intent to cause serious physical injury required for manslaughter charge; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motions for judgment of acquittal; claim that evidence was insufficient to sustain conviction of manslaughter in first degree; claim that trial court abused its discretion by denying motion for new trial.

U.S. Equities Corp. v. Ceraldi (Memorandum Decision), 186 CA 903. 149A
 Volume 186 Cumulative Table of Cases 151A

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel 2C
 Notice of Evaluation of Incumbent Judges Who Seek Reappointment 1C
 Notice of Suspension of Attorney 2C
