

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXIX No. 50

June 12, 2018

224 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Brown v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 329 C 901 . . . . .	29
Cator v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 329 C 902 . . . . .	30
GMAC Mortgage, LLC v. Demelis (Order), 329 C 903 . . . . .	31
Osborn v. Waterbury (Order), 329 C 901 . . . . .	29
Samelko v. Kingstone Ins. Co., 329 C 249 . . . . .	3
<i>Action pursuant to statute (§ 38a-321) subrogating plaintiffs to insured's rights under automobile insurance policy with defendant insurer; whether trial court properly granted defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction; whether exercising personal jurisdiction over defendant satisfied corporate long arm statute (§ 33-929 [f] [1]) providing for jurisdiction over foreign corporation on cause of action arising out of contract to be performed in Connecticut; claim that insurance policy was contract to be performed in Connecticut because defendant promised to defend and indemnify insured nationwide; claim that due process clause of fourteenth amendment to federal constitution was offended by exercising personal jurisdiction over defendant.</i>	
State v. Andaz (Order), 329 C 901 . . . . .	29
State v. Mara (Order), 329 C 902 . . . . .	30
Volume 329 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	33

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Bennett v. Commissioner of Correction, 182 CA 541 . . . . .	55A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in declining to admit as full exhibit transcript of expert testimony presented at codefendant's criminal trial; claim that petitioner's trial counsel performed deficiently by inadequately challenging eyewitness testimony; whether habeas court erred by not taking judicial notice of transcript of expert testimony from codefendant's criminal trial; reviewability of unpreserved claim that habeas court should have admitted transcript of expert testimony from codefendant's criminal trial as full exhibit pursuant to residual exception to hearsay rule; whether habeas court erred in concluding on merits that petitioner's right to effective assistance of counsel was not violated; whether habeas court erred in its conclusion that petitioner's trial counsel did not perform deficiently by not moving to suppress in-court identification of petitioner by witness; claim that petitioner's trial counsel performed deficiently by not presenting expert on issue of eyewitness identification; claim that petitioner's trial counsel performed deficiently by not emphasizing, in course of cross-examination, several factors identified as important by our Supreme Court in evaluating reliability of eyewitness identification; whether trial counsel's performance had to be evaluated on basis of law at time of petitioner's criminal trial.</i>	
Finney v. Zahedi (Memorandum Decision), 182 CA 903 . . . . .	173A
Francis v. Commissioner of Correction, 182 CA 647 . . . . .	161A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly concluded that petitioner's prior habeas counsel did not render ineffective assistance in failing to question witness properly and in failing to present evidence of that witness' availability to testify at petitioner's criminal trial; whether habeas court properly denied third habeas petition; whether petitioner demonstrated that he was prejudiced by allegedly deficient performance of prior habeas counsel.</i>	

(continued on next page)

Gartrell v. Hartford, 182 CA 526 . . . . . 40A  
*Directed verdict; action for damages for violations of state building code; reviewability of unpreserved claim that trial court erred in directing verdict in favor of defendant city on basis of jury's answer to single interrogatory where plaintiffs failed to raise issue to court on record, either before or after jury was charged, or as basis for denying city's motion for directed verdict.*

Kaplan v. Scheer, 182 CA 488 . . . . . 2A  
*Reformation of deed; mutual mistake; claim that trial court misinterpreted parties' settlement agreement by concluding that alphanumeric prefixes were included only for convenience and did not bear on parties' intent; claim that trial court erred in rejecting claim of mutual mistake; whether trial court's finding that plaintiff failed to prove mutual mistake by clear and convincing evidence was clearly erroneous; credibility determinations.*

Kuehl v. Koskoff, 182 CA 505 . . . . . 19A  
*Legal malpractice; claim that defendants committed legal malpractice by failing to tell plaintiff that she was required to file notice of claim for workers' compensation survivor's benefits within one year statute of limitations; whether trial court improperly denied motion to set aside verdict; failure of plaintiff to provide expert testimony on causation; whether trial court properly concluded that jury could discern whether automobile collision occurred during course of employment of plaintiff's husband and that death was result of collision; whether statutory and regulatory rules related to workers' compensation claims were within ken of jury; whether expert testimony was required to enable jury to determine causal relationship between any legal malpractice and plaintiff's alleged damages.*

Marc Group, LLC v. Yale Builders, LLC (Memorandum Decision), 182 CA 902 . . . . . 172A

Murillo v. United Builders Supply Co., 182 CA 594. . . . . 108A  
*Contracts; whether trial court's finding that 2009 e-mail was offer that plaintiff never accepted was clearly erroneous; challenge to trial court's finding that parties had not formed contract; whether new trial on breach of contract claim was necessary; reviewability of claim challenging trial court's finding that decking materials purchased from defendant were not defective.*

Ross v. Winthrop (Memorandum Decision), 182 CA 902 . . . . . 172A

State v. Bischoff, 182 CA 563 . . . . . 77A  
*Possession of narcotics; possession of less than four ounces of cannabis-type substance; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of possession of narcotics; claim that state failed to prove that defendant had actual or constructive possession of narcotics; whether trial court properly denied request to instruct jury on third-party culpability; claim that 2015 amendment of statute applicable to possession of narcotics (§ 21a-279 [a]) subsequent to defendant's conviction applied retroactively and entitled defendant to resentencing on conviction of possession of narcotics.*

State v. Corver, 182 CA 622 . . . . . 136A  
*Attempt to commit murder; assault in first degree; kidnapping in first degree; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying request to discharge counsel*

(continued on next page)

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**  
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
 Office of Production and Distribution  
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*  
 Published Weekly – Available at <http://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

---

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

*on day before jury selection was to begin; unpreserved claim that waiver of right to jury trial was not made knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily due to breakdown in communication with counsel and refusal by trial court to grant defendant continuance to consider whether to elect court trial.*

State v. Ortiz, 182 CA 580 . . . . . 94A

*Possession of sawed-off shotgun; possession of weapon in motor vehicle; whether trial court properly denied motion to suppress; whether warrantless seizure of shotgun from defendant’s van following his confinement in police cruiser was permissible under plain view exception to warrant requirement; claim that intrusion that enabled police to view shotgun was unlawful once defendant was placed in handcuffs in police cruiser; whether incriminating character of object viewed was immediately apparent.*

State v. Ramos, 182 CA 604 . . . . . 118A

*Manslaughter in first degree with firearm; whether defendant was deprived of his constitutional rights to present defense and to cross-examine witnesses when trial court prevented him from questioning police officers about alleged inadequacies in their investigation of victim’s death; whether defendant’s multiple offers of proof failed to indicate how further, specific investigation into possible connection between prior burglary and victim’s death reasonably could have led to additional evidence bearing on defendant’s guilt or innocence; reviewability of claim that trial court abused its discretion in admitting into evidence testimony regarding victim’s relationship with defendant prior to her death.*

Volume 182 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 175A

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Bar Examining Committee—List of Applicants . . . . . 1B

Notice of Application for Reinstatement to the Bar . . . . . 4B

Supreme and Appellate Court Sessions. . . . . 4B

Supreme and Appellate Court 2018–19 Docket and Assignments . . . . . 5B