

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXIX No. 49

June 5, 2018

491 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Cook-Littman v. Board of Selectmen, 328 C 758 . . . . .	2
<i>Writ of mandamus; whether trial court properly ordered special election to fill vacancy on defendant board of selectman pursuant to statutory (§ 9-222) petition filed by plaintiff electors; whether provision of town charter (§ 6.3 [B]) providing for appointment of new selectman by remaining board members conflicted with procedure set forth in § 9-222; principles of home rule, discussed; claim that construing charter to permit vacancy to be filled by appointment would be antidemocratic and would disenfranchise town's electors.</i>	
Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Savvoulides (Order), 328 C 939 . . . . .	27
Nationstar Mortgage, LLC v. Washington (Order), 328 C 941 . . . . .	29
Silver v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 328 C 940 . . . . .	28
Stamford v. Pepaj (Order), 328 C 940. . . . .	28
State v. Davis (Order), 328 C 941 . . . . .	29
State v. Harris (Order), 328 C 940. . . . .	28
State v. Vivo (Order), 328 C 939. . . . .	27
Volume 328 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	31
Skakel v. Commissioner of Correction, 329 C 1 . . . . .	41
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; failure to investigate and call potential alibi witness; motion for reconsideration en banc of decision of this court reversing judgment of habeas court, which granted habeas petition; propriety of adding seventh panel member to consider motion for reconsideration en banc when original panel member has retired from Judicial Branch; whether trial counsel's failure to investigate whether potential alibi witness could provide testimony that was favorable to petitioner's alibi defense was unreasonable and, therefore, constituted deficient performance; whether trial counsel's deficient performance resulted in prejudice to petitioner; whether there was reasonable probability that outcome of petitioner's criminal trial would have been different if trial counsel had located potential alibi witness and had presented his testimony; partial alibis, discussed; strength of state's case against petitioner, discussed.</i>	
Gladstein v. Goldfield (replacement pages), 325 C 425-426 . . . . .	v
Gladstein v. Goldfield (replacement pages), 325 C 429-430 . . . . .	vii
Volume 329 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	291

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Bank of New York Mellon v. Horsey, 182 CA 417. . . . .	107A
<i>Foreclosure; summary judgment; standing; claim that trial court improperly granted motion to open judgment of dismissal; whether record supported claim that court disregarded standard for opening disciplinary judgment set forth in applicable statute (§ 52-212) and rule of practice (§ 17-43); reviewability of unpreserved claims that trial court exhibited bias against defendant and that court improperly rendered judgment of strict foreclosure because substitute plaintiff failed to comply with five day notice provision of rule of practice (§ 23-18 [b]); whether trial court properly granted motion for summary judgment as to liability only; reviewability of claims that trial court abused its discretion by not dismissing action pursuant to rule of practice (§ 17-19) and that court improperly failed to give credence to bifurcation of subject note and mortgage; claim that trial court improperly failed to address whether substitute plaintiff had standing to prosecute foreclosure action;</i>	

(continued on next page)

*whether defendant failed to rebut presumption that substitute plaintiff had standing to prosecute action as holder of note and mortgage; claim that trial court ignored fraud perpetrated by substitute plaintiff.*

Bolat v. Bolat, 182 CA 468 . . . . . 158A

*Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion for modification of child support; whether trial court improperly failed to consider increase in defendant's income from date of initial order to date of modification hearing prior to determining that there was no substantial change in circumstances; whether trial court abused its discretion in finding plaintiff in wilful contempt for failing to pay extracurricular activity expenses for minor children pursuant to separation agreement; whether order was sufficiently clear and unambiguous to support finding of contempt; whether trial court erred in finding that defendant had wilfully disobeyed order.*

Bracken v. Windsor Locks, 182 CA 312. . . . . 2A

*Contracts; alleged breach of settlement agreement; statute of limitations; laches; claim that action for breach of settlement agreement that reinstated plaintiff to full benefits, privileges and emoluments of employment as police officer accrued when defendant town first failed to make payment toward pension credit for that period upon plaintiff's reinstatement to service; claim that present action was barred by six year statute of limitations pertaining to contracts (§ 52-576); whether trial court erroneously found that plaintiff's action accrued when plaintiff was reinstated to employment where plaintiff pleaded and proved that pension credits could be purchased retroactively at any time prior to date that plaintiff began to receive pension benefits; whether trial court improperly determined that action was barred by doctrine of laches; whether trial court's finding that defendant was prejudiced by delay was clearly erroneous.*

Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Pollard, 182 CA 483. . . . . 173A

*Foreclosure; counterclaim; summary judgment; whether trial court properly rendered summary judgment in favor of plaintiff on counterclaim; claim that trial court construed transaction test too narrowly; whether trial court abuse its discretion in determining that counterclaim did not have sufficient nexus to making, validity or enforcement of note or mortgage to survive summary judgment.*

Hamburg v. Hamburg, 182 CA 332 . . . . . 22A

*Dissolution of marriage; domesticated foreign dissolution judgment; whether trial court improperly denied motion to dismiss; whether trial court erroneously concluded that substitute plaintiff, as temporary administrator of estate of decedent, had standing to prosecute action for repayment of funds that defendant took from children's education accounts; whether trial court improperly concluded that because defendant had prior opportunity to raise claim of standing at time substitute plaintiff filed motion to be substituted as plaintiff, defendant was precluded from questioning standing of substitute plaintiff to pursue moneys owed children; whether substitute plaintiff had direct interest in moneys defendant took from children's education funds; whether trial court properly granted motion to intervene filed by one of children.*

(continued on next page)

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**  
(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
Office of Production and Distribution  
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
[www.jud.ct.gov](http://www.jud.ct.gov)

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*  
*Published Weekly – Available at <http://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>*

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
Tel. (860) 757-2250

---

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

Jayne K. v. Kyle S. (See Kyle S. v. Jayne K.), 182 CA 353 . . . . . 43A  
 Kyle S. v. Jayne K., 182 CA 353 . . . . . 43A  
*Dissolution of marriage; custody orders; relief from abuse; emergency ex parte order of custody; whether trial court erred in granting application for relief from abuse; whether trial court erred in granting application for emergency ex parte order of custody; whether trial court erred in admitting minor child's mental health records into evidence; claim that waivers by parents were invalid; whether trial court improperly delegated its authority to nonjudicial entity.*  
 Labissoniere v. Gaylord Hospital, Inc., 182 CA 445 . . . . . 135A  
*Medical malpractice; motion to dismiss; personal jurisdiction; sufficiency of opinion letter authored by similar health care provider; claim that trial court improperly considered defendants' supporting affidavits and thereby applied incorrect legal standard in deciding motions to dismiss; whether opinion letter authored by physician and general surgeon was by "similar health care provider" as defined by statute (§ 52-184c) when defendant physicians were board certified in internal medicine; claim that trial court improperly required that opinion letter state that defendant physicians were acting outside scope of their medical specialty in order to apply exception in § 52-184c (c) for physicians who provide treatment and diagnosis outside area of specialty; claim that treatment and diagnosis of plaintiffs' decedent was within medical specialty of surgery and that exception to requirement that author of opinion letter be similar health care provider applied.*  
 Mann v. Bains (Memorandum Decision), 182 CA 902. . . . . 180A  
 State v. Crosby, 182 CA 373 . . . . . 63A  
*Robbery in first degree; larceny in third degree; whether trial court improperly denied motions to dismiss charges in violation of defendant's due process rights and rights under Interstate Agreement on Detainers (§ 54-186 et seq.), where state delayed more than four years after arrest warrant had been issued before extraditing defendant from Massachusetts; claim that trial court improperly determined date that state lodged detainer; claim that delay in lodging detainer had impact on memory of eyewitnesses, thereby resulting in substantial prejudice to defendant; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to suppress witness identifications made from photographic array; claim that identification procedure was unnecessarily suggestive; whether photographs in array were too dissimilar from photograph of defendant in array; claim that absence of use of sequential, double-blind photographic array rendered identification procedure unnecessarily suggestive; claim that defendant was denied fair trial because trial court's jury instruction on identification allegedly failed to explain certain factors that negatively impact on identifications made by witnesses; claim that defendant was denied fair trial because trial court allegedly excluded instructions necessary to assist jury in assessing accuracy of eyewitness perception and credibility.*  
 Szymonik v. Szymonik (Memorandum Decision), 182 CA 902. . . . . 180A  
 Zilkha v. Zilka, 182 CA 459 . . . . . 149A  
*Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court properly denied motion to return certain escrow funds; claim that trial court disregarded order of this court by failing to effectuate return of escrow funds; whether trial court erred by not using its equitable powers to effectuate return of subject funds.*  
 Volume 182 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 181A

**NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES**

State Elections Enforcement Commission . . . . . 1B

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel . . . . . 3C  
 Notice of Inactive Status and Appointment of Trustee . . . . . 2C  
 Personnel Notices . . . . . 1C