

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXIX No. 9

August 29, 2017

227 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Anthony A. v. Commissioner of Correction, 326 C 668. . . . .	2
<i>Habeas corpus; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly reversed judgment of habeas court dismissing petition on basis that habeas court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over petitioner's claim that his allegedly erroneous classification as sex offender implicated protected liberty interest; claim by respondent Commissioner of Correction that petitioner's allegations that he was incorrectly classified as sex offender and that he suffered negative consequences as result of that erroneous classification were not sufficient to establish protected liberty interest; stigma plus test used by federal courts, discussed; claim that allegations of habeas petition demonstrated that allegedly improper sex offender classification stigmatized petitioner, and that consequences suffered by petitioner for refusing sex offender treatment were qualitatively different from punishments usually suffered by prisoners, such that they constituted major change in conditions of confinement amounting to grievous loss.</i>	
Volume 326 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	21

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Rose B. v. Dawson, 175 CA 800 . . . . .	14A
<i>Application for civil protection order; reviewability of claim that trial court abused discretion in granting application for civil protection order where record did not contain either memorandum of decision or transcribed copy of oral decision signed by trial court stating reasons for decision as required by rule of practice (§ 64-1 [a]); whether trial court abused discretion in denying request for continuance and reconsideration; whether trial court properly determined that claim of lack of notice was not timely made by defendant where defendant did not assert that she was prejudiced by lack of specificity in application until after court announced ruling that was adverse to defendant.</i>	
Salters v. Commissioner of Correction, 175 CA 807 . . . . .	21A
<i>Habeas corpus; reviewability of claim that habeas court erred in failing to apply strict standard of materiality to claim of violation of Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83); claim that court erred in denying claim that petitioner's first habeas counsel was ineffective in failing to raise claim that petitioner's trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by not objecting to improper jury instructions; whether it was improper for trial court to include full statutory definition of intent in charge to jury where petitioner had been charged with specific intent crimes only; whether it was reasonably possible jury was misled by improper jury instruction or that petitioner was harmed thereby; whether record supported habeas court's determination that appellate counsel's decision to forgo claim of prosecutorial impropriety on direct appeal was reasonable strategic decision.</i>	
Sosa v. Commissioner of Correction, 175 CA 831 . . . . .	45A
<i>Sovereign immunity; qualified immunity; whether defendant Department of Correction employees wrongfully revoked incarcerated plaintiff's visitation privileges; whether there was final judgment against defendants in official capacities where trial court denied motion to dismiss claims for declaratory and injunctive relief against defendants in official capacities; whether this court lacked jurisdiction over appeal from judgment dismissing claims for monetary damages against defendants in official capacities; claim that trial court improperly dismissed</i>	

(continued on next page)

*claims for monetary, declaratory and injunctive relief against defendants in individual capacities; reviewability of claim that court improperly determined that qualified immunity barred claims against defendants in individual capacities; whether court properly dismissed claims against defendants in individual capacities for insufficient service of process.*

State *v.* Galberth, 175 CA 789 . . . . . 3A  
*Violation of probation; claim that trial court did not have subject matter jurisdiction over probation violation proceeding because defendant was not on probation at time of his subsequent arrest or hearing on motion to dismiss; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss violation of probation charge because he had completed three year probationary portion of his original sentence prior to time that arrest warrant for violation of probation was issued.*

State *v.* Halili, 175 CA 838 . . . . . 52A  
*Sexual assault in fourth degree; whether trial court abused discretion in precluding defendant from cross-examining complainant with respect to mental state or psychiatric history; whether court properly determined that complainant's testimony that she had ingested medication for anxiety that had been prescribed by physician was not sufficient foundation for further inquiry in presence of jury into whether complainant was under care of psychiatrist; whether court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to present defense and to confront accuser when it prohibited him from presenting evidence purporting to show that complainant had solicited bribe from defendant's wife; whether proffered testimony of wife provided reasonable basis for jury to infer that complainant attempted to solicit money from wife; whether proffered testimony was relevant to assessment of complainant; whether exclusion of proffered testimony was harmless error; reviewability of claim that court improperly admitted evidence of complainant's demeanor.*

Volume 175 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 85A

Cariglio *v.* Dept. of Social Services (See Freese *v.* Dept. of Social Services), 176 CA 64 . . 160A  
 Freese *v.* Dept. of Social Services, 176 CA 64 . . . . . 160A  
*Administrative appeals; appeals to trial court, pursuant to statute (§ 4-183 [a]), from decisions of defendant Department of Social Services denying applications for Medicaid benefits filed by plaintiffs on behalf of their mothers, both of whom died before defendant rendered final decisions in underlying administrative proceedings; whether trial court improperly dismissed appeals and determined that because plaintiffs' decedents died before they brought appeals and because plaintiffs did not bring appeals as executors or administrators of decedents' estates, plaintiffs lacked standing; whether trial court improperly denied requests to cure jurisdictional defect by substituting plaintiffs, in capacities as estate fiduciaries, as plaintiffs in administrative appeals pursuant to remedial savings statute (§ 52-109); claim that plaintiffs had standing pursuant to state regulations (§ 17b-10-1) to assert decedents' rights in representative capacities; whether state regulations could diminish standing requirements set forth in enabling statutes; whether,*

(continued on next page)

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**  
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
 Office of Production and Distribution  
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*  
 Published Weekly – Available at <http://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
 MICHAEL A. GENTILE, *Acting Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

---

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

*pursuant to enabling statute (§ 17b-61 [b]), person who applied for fair hearing may appeal from decision to Superior Court provided that person is aggrieved; whether plaintiffs failed to plead facts establishing aggravement; whether plaintiffs failed to allege facts establishing standing to appeal under right of survival statute (§ 52-599); whether trial court improperly granted motions to dismiss instead of giving plaintiffs opportunity to cure jurisdictional defect by allowing substitution; whether trial court improperly denied substitution on ground that plaintiffs' administrative appeals were not legally cognizable actions capable of being cured by §§ 52-109 or 52-599 because they were commenced by parties without authorization to sue and, consequently, were nullities; failure of trial court to determine whether failure of plaintiffs in each case to bring actions in capacities as fiduciaries of decedents' estates was due to error, misunderstanding or misconception as required for substitution under § 52-109.*

Rockhill v. Danbury Hospital, 176 CA 39 . . . . . 135A  
*Negligence; claim that trial court erroneously found that defect in crosswalk that caused plaintiff's injuries was reasonably foreseeable hazard; whether court reasonably found that defect in crosswalk was actual cause of plaintiff's fall; whether court's finding that all of plaintiff's medical costs were substantially caused by fall was supported by record and was not clearly erroneous; whether court abused discretion in denying defendant's motion to preclude certain expert testimony by one of plaintiff's treating physicians.*

State v. Steele, 176 CA 1 . . . . . 97A  
*Robbery in first degree; conspiracy to commit robbery in first degree; conspiracy to commit larceny in third degree; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of robbery in first degree as principal; whether trial court improperly admitted lay testimony from witness concerning historic cell site analysis by not requiring witness to be qualified as expert; whether admission of lay testimony was harmless beyond reasonable doubt; cumulative evidence; whether conviction of and sentences on conspiracy to commit robbery and conspiracy to commit larceny charges, which arose out of single agreement to rob bank, violated defendant's right against double jeopardy.*

State v. O'Donnell (replacement pages), 174 CA 679-80 . . . . . v  
 Volume 176 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 191A

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel . . . . . 1B  
 Notice of Resignation of Attorney. . . . . 1B  
 Small Claims Decentralization . . . . . 2B