

942

ORDERS

348 Conn.

DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY,
TRUSTEE *v.* ANASTASIA AMELIO ET AL.

The defendant Carmine Amelio's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 221 Conn. App. 441 (AC 45036), is denied.

Carmine Amelio, self-represented, in support of the petition.

Jeffrey M. Knickerbocker, in opposition.

Decided January 23, 2024

IN RE PROBATE APPEAL OF CAREY CONCANNON

The plaintiff's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 221 Conn. App. 902 (AC 45172), is denied.

McDONALD, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Carey Concannon, self-represented, in support of the petition.

Frederic S. Ury, Dana M. Hrelac and Meagan A. Cauda, in opposition.

Decided January 23, 2024

JANEL SIMPSON *v.* ROBERT R. SIMPSON

The plaintiff's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 222 Conn. App. 466 (AC 44705), is granted, limited to the following issues:

"1. Did the Appellate Court err in reversing the trial court's remedial orders on the basis of its erroneous conclusion that the parties' separation agreement clearly and unambiguously relieved the defendant of

348 Conn.

ORDERS

943

the obligation to pay supplemental child support and alimony?

“2. Did the Appellate Court err in concluding that the parties’ separation agreement clearly and unambiguously relieved the defendant of the obligation to pay supplemental child support and alimony when, inter alia, (a) both parties advanced reasonable and plausible interpretations of the relevant provisions, (b) the Appellate Court majority failed to give effect to the intent of the parties as expressed in the agreement, (c) the concurring and dissenting judge correctly concluded that the agreement was ambiguous and thus its meaning presented a question of fact for the trial court, and (d) both the majority and the concurring and dissenting judge noted the absence of extrinsic evidence on the issue of the parties’ intent, as well as the need for such evidence in order to interpret the agreement?”

McDONALD, MULLINS and DANNEHY, Js., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Steven R. Dembo and P. Jo Anne Burgh, in support of the petition.

Campbell D. Barrett, Dana M. Hrelac and Stacie L. Provencher, in opposition.

Decided January 23, 2024

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* THOMAS S.

The defendant’s petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 222 Conn. App. 201 (AC 45104), is denied.

Robert L. O’Brien, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

944

ORDERS

348 Conn.

Brett R. Aiello, assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided January 23, 2024

ANGELO REYES *v.* STATE OF CONNECTICUT

The petitioner Angelo Reyes' petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 222 Conn. App. 510 (AC 45529), is denied.

Norman A. Pattis, in support of the petition.

Jonathan M. Sousa, assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided January 23, 2024

JAMES RAYNOR *v.* COMMISSIONER
OF CORRECTION

The petitioner James Raynor's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 222 Conn. App. 584 (AC 45675), is denied.

ALEXANDER, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Deren Manasevit, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Timothy J. Sugrue, assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided January 23, 2024

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* MARTIN G.

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 222 Conn. App. 395 (AC 45812), is denied.

348 Conn.

ORDERS

945

ALEXANDER, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Naomi T. Fetterman, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Melissa L. Streeto, senior assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided January 23, 2024

STEVEN K. STANLEY *v.* ANGEL QUIROS ET AL.

The plaintiff's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 222 Conn. App. 390 (AC 45825), is denied.

D'AURIA, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Steven K. Stanley, self-represented, in support of the petition.

Decided January 23, 2024

STEVEN K. STANLEY *v.* ADAM B. SCOTT ET AL.

The plaintiff's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 222 Conn. App. 301 (AC 45838), is denied.

D'AURIA, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this motion.

Steven K. Stanley, self-represented, in support of the petition.

Stephen R. Finucane, assistant attorney general, in opposition.

Decided January 23, 2024

946

ORDERS

348 Conn.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* CHRISTOPHER R.

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 222 Conn. App. 763 (AC 45869), is denied.

ALEXANDER, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Dina S. Fisher, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Kathryn W. Bare, executive assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided January 23, 2024

MICHAEL G. *v.* COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTION

On consideration of the petitioner Michael G.'s petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 214 Conn. App. 358 (AC 43327), it is ordered as follows:

"The petition is granted, the judgment of the Appellate Court is vacated, and the case is remanded to that court for further consideration in light of *Rose v. Commissioner of Correction*, 348 Conn. 333, 304 A.3d 431 (2023)."

Jennifer B. Smith, assistant public defender, in support of the petition.

Jonathan M. Sousa, assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided January 25, 2024

PAUL CONEY *v.* COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTION

On consideration of the petitioner Paul Coney's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 215 Conn. App. 99 (AC 41747), it is ordered as follows:

348 Conn.

ORDERS

947

“The petition is granted, the judgment of the Appellate Court is vacated, and the case is remanded to that court for further consideration in light of *Rose v. Commissioner of Correction*, 348 Conn. 333, 304 A.3d 431 (2023).”

Judie Marshall, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Sarah Hanna, former senior assistant state’s attorney, in opposition.

Decided January 25, 2024

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* JAQUAN WADE

The defendant’s petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 221 Conn. App. 690 (AC 44898), is granted, limited to the following issues:

“1. Did the Appellate Court correctly conclude that the defendant abandoned his claim that the trial court improperly had failed to apply the balancing test set forth in *State v. Crespo*, 190 Conn. App. 639, 211 A.3d 1027 (2019)?

“2. If the answer to the first question is ‘no,’ did the trial court’s reliance on hearsay evidence to revoke the defendant’s probation violate his due process right to confront adverse witnesses?”

ALEXANDER, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Erica A. Barber, assistant public defender, in support of the petition.

Meryl R. Gersz, assistant state’s attorney, in opposition.

Decided January 25, 2024
