

350 Conn.

ORDERS

931

IN RE ANDREW C.

The petition of the intervenor foster parents for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 229 Conn. App. 51 (AC 47268), is granted, limited to the following issues:

“1. Did the Appellate Court correctly conclude in *In re Ryan C.*, 220 Conn. App. 507, 299 A.3d 308, cert. denied, 348 Conn. 901, 300 A.3d 1166 (2023), that the limitation on a foster parent’s right to participate in neglect proceedings contemplated by General Statutes § 46b-129 (p) implicates subject matter jurisdiction rather than a trial court’s statutory authority?”

“2. Did the Appellate Court correctly conclude that the lack of subject matter jurisdiction was ‘entirely obvious’ at the time of the judgment transferring guardianship to the foster parents so as to render that judgment void ab initio?”

“3. Did the Appellate Court correctly conclude that, even if a lack of subject matter jurisdiction at the time of the judgment transferring guardianship to the foster parents was not ‘entirely obvious,’ there is a strong policy interest in revisiting the judgment two years later?”

McDONALD, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Dana M. Hrelac and *Stacie L. Provencher*, in support of the petition.

Evan O’Roark, assistant solicitor general, in opposition.

Benjamin M. Wattenmaker, in opposition.

Decided December 10, 2024

932

ORDERS

350 Conn.

IN RE ANDREW C.

The minor child's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 229 Conn. App. 51 (AC 47368), is granted, limited to the following issues:

"1. Did the Appellate Court correctly conclude that the lack of subject matter jurisdiction was 'entirely obvious' at the time of the judgment transferring guardianship to the foster parents so as to render the judgment void ab initio?"

"2. If the answer to the first question is 'yes,' does the minor child's interest in the finality of judgments outweigh any claims implicating subject matter jurisdiction?"

McDONALD, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Matthew C. Eagan, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Evan O'Roark, assistant solicitor general, in opposition.

Benjamin M. Wattenmaker, in opposition.

Decided December 10, 2024

IN RE S. F. ET AL.

The respondent father's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 229 Conn. App. 1 (AC 47517), is denied.

MULLINS, C. J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Matthew C. Eagan, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Nisa Khan, assistant attorney general, in opposition.

Decided December 10, 2024
