

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Reports
Volume 349

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Ajdini v. Frank Lill & Son, Inc.	1
<i>Workers' compensation benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly upheld decision of Workers' Compensation Commission administrative law judge, who precluded defendant employer from contesting liability as to plaintiff's claims for workers' compensation benefits; whether employer had timely filed with administrative law judge its notice of intention to contest plaintiff's right to compensation benefits pursuant to statute (§ 31-294c (b)).</i>	
Amado v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	911
Avon v. Sastre (Order)	905
Bank of America, National Assn. v. Sorrentino (Order)	915
Bartolotta v. Human Resources Agency of New Britain, Inc. (Order)	908
Brewer v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	910
Cardoza v. Waterbury (Order)	911
Cooke v. Williams	451
<i>Legal malpractice; fraud; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court improperly upheld trial court's dismissal of plaintiff's legal malpractice claim against defendant attorney and defendant law firm for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether appellate or postconviction relief from underlying conviction was necessary element of claim of legal malpractice filed by criminally convicted plaintiff against his former habeas counsel; whether plaintiff's legal malpractice claim challenged validity of his underlying conviction; whether Appellate Court properly reversed trial court's judgment with respect to trial court's dismissal of plaintiff's fraud claim against defendants.</i>	
Davis v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	917
Delgado v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	902
Dept. of Public Health v. Estrada	223
<i>Administrative appeal; alleged retaliation by plaintiff employer against defendant employee for employee's purported whistleblower disclosure; certification from Appellate Court; whether defendant Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities had subject matter jurisdiction to adjudicate employee's whistleblower retaliation claim brought pursuant to statute (§ 4-61dd); whether commission waived and abandoned several merits arguments by failing to raise or brief them before this court or Appellate Court; claim that employee's disclosure concerned misconduct in municipal government to which § 4-61dd does not apply; whether employee was entitled to whistleblower protection under § 4-61dd for reporting her own error; whether employee failed to prove that employer's adverse personnel actions were result of employee's reporting of her errors rather than fact that employee had made such errors.</i>	
Deutsche Bank AG v. Vik.	120
<i>Tortious interference with business expectancy; litigation privilege; motion to dismiss; certification from Appellate Court; whether plaintiff's appeal was rendered moot by virtue of this court's decision in Deutsche Bank AG v. Sebastian Holdings, Inc. (346 Conn. 564); whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that plaintiff's claims against defendants were barred by litigation privilege.</i>	
Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Heidel (Order)	914
Donald G. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	902
Feaser v. Landress (Order)	904
Grant v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	912
Green v. Paz (Order)	918
Green Tree Servicing, LLC v. Clark (Order)	913
Greer v. State (Order)	908
Homebridge Financial Services, Inc. v. Jakubiec (Order)	909
In re A. H. (Order)	918
In re Denzel W. (Order)	918
In re Timothy B. (Order)	919

In re Wendy G.-R. (Order)	916
In re Zayden J. (Order)	916
James P. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	911
J. B. v. Y. H. (Order)	905
J. F. v. M. F. (Order)	919
Kuselias v. Zingaro & Cretella, LLC (Order)	916
Markley v. State Elections Enforcement Commission	67
<i>Public campaign financing under statutory (§ 9-700 et seq.) Citizens' Election Program; first amendment; administrative appeal to trial court from decision of defendant, State Elections Enforcement Commission, assessing fines against plaintiffs, candidates for state legislative office in 2014 general election, for violating certain statutes and regulations governing campaign financing and Citizens' Election Program; unconstitutional conditions doctrine, discussed; claim that defendant had violated plaintiffs' first amendment rights by enforcing applicable statutes and regulations to preclude publicly funded candidates from using candidate committee funds to pay for campaign communications that, as rhetorical device, invoked name of candidate in different electoral race; whether communications at issue were prohibited functional equivalent of express advocacy for defeat of another candidate or, instead, were constitutionally protected messages in direct furtherance of publicly funded candidates' own campaigns.</i>	
Marshall v. Marshall (Order)	902
M&T Bank v. Lewis	9
<i>Foreclosure; motion to strike; motion to dismiss appeal; whether federal filed rate doctrine implicates subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court improperly struck special defenses of unclean hands and breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; whether defendant's allegations concerning conduct of plaintiff bank in purchasing force placed property insurance for defendant's property arose from making, validity or enforcement of mortgage; whether allegations were otherwise legally sufficient to plead valid special defenses of unclean hands and breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.</i>	
M. T. v. C. T. (Order)	915
Nationstar Mortgage, LLC v. Zanett (Order)	913
9 Pettipaug, LLC v. Planning & Zoning Commission	268
<i>Zoning; appeal from decision of defendant planning and zoning commission amending its zoning regulations; motion to dismiss; summary judgment; certification from Appellate Court; whether trial court correctly determined that defendant's publication of legal notice of its decision to amend certain zoning regulations did not comply with statute (§ 8-3 (d)) requiring that such notice be published "in a newspaper having a substantial circulation in the municipality"; meaning of terms "substantial circulation" and "general circulation," discussed; test for determining whether newspaper is one of general or substantial circulation, discussed.</i>	
Northland Investment Corp. v. Public Utilities Regulatory Authority.	35
<i>Administrative appeal; utilities; appeal to trial court from supplemental decision of defendant, Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (PURA), which found that plaintiff landlord's use of ratio utility billing (RUB) was not authorized by statute (§ 16-262e (c)); whether trial court erred in upholding PURA's determination that § 16-262e (c) prohibits plaintiff's proposed use of RUB methodology to recoup building wide utility costs by billing tenants for their estimated, proportionate share of total cost of utilities; claim that, if § 16-262e (c) prohibits landlords from utilizing RUB methodology, then it must also prohibit "building in" approach deemed acceptable by PURA.</i>	
Norwich v. Brenton Family Trust (Order)	905
Rapp v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	909
Rios v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	910
Rodriguez v. Hartford (Orders)	907
Seaport Capital Partners, LLC v. Speer (Order)	909
Smith v. Gerace (Order)	917
Stanley v. Grant (Order)	903
Stanley v. Quiros (Order)	903
State v. Andres C.	300
<i>Sexual assault third degree; risk of injury to child; certification from Appellate Court; claim that defendant was entitled to disclosure of contents of complainant's handwritten journals, existence of which first came to light during trial, because they constituted "statement" under relevant rules of practice (§§ 40-13A and 40-</i>	

15 (1)); whether complainant adopted or approved her journals as her statement for purposes of rules of practice; claim that defendant's rights under Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83) were violated insofar as prosecutors delegated review of complainant's journals for exculpatory and impeachment material to nonlawyer investigator employed by state's attorney's office; request that this court adopt prophylactic rule under federal constitution requiring prosecutor to personally review for impeachment or exculpatory information any purportedly exculpatory or impeachment material that first comes to light during trial.

State v. Bember 417

Felony murder; attempt to commit robbery first degree; carrying pistol or revolver without permit; claim that trial court abused its discretion in permitting state to question certain witnesses about specific terms of their cooperation agreements with state during direct examination; claim that prosecutor impermissibly vouched for cooperating witnesses' credibility by introducing truthfulness provisions of their cooperation agreements, eliciting testimony from them that their attorneys were present in courtroom during their testimony, and referencing their previous testimony in other cases on behalf of state; claim that trial court abused its discretion in concluding that cooperating witnesses' testimony was sufficiently reliable to be admissible at trial pursuant to statute (§ 54-86p) governing reliability and admissibility of jailhouse informant testimony; whether trial court abused its discretion in opening reliability hearing to allow state to introduce certain evidence; harmlessness of trial court's improper consideration of its own assessment of cooperating witnesses' testimony in another case in determining that their proposed testimony was sufficiently reliable to be admitted at trial in present case; claim that trial court's denial of defendant's motion to suppress recording of jailhouse phone call and .22 caliber revolver seized by police as result of information acquired from recording violated defendant's rights under fourth amendment to the United States constitution.

State v. Bennings (Orders) 906

State v. Connecticut State University Organization of Administrative Faculty, AFSCME, Council 4, Local 2836, AFL-CIO 148

Application to vacate arbitration award; motion to confirm arbitration award; termination of employment; whether trial court improperly vacated arbitration award reinstating grievant to his position as state university's director of student conduct on ground that award violated public policy; factors that reviewing court should consider in evaluating whether arbitration award reinstating discharged employee violates public policy enumerated in Burr Road Operating Co. II, LLC v. New England Health Care Employees Union, District 1199 (316 Conn. 618), discussed.

State v. Roberts (Order) 912

State v. Webber (Order) 915

Supronowicz v. Eaton (Order) 904

Vertefeulle v. Good Foundation, Inc. (Order) 901

Viering v. Groton Long Point Assn., Inc. (Order) 901

U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Blackman (Order) 904

Vecchiarino v. Potter (Order) 906

Vega v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) 914

Wahba v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. 483

Foreclosure; certification from Appellate Court; claim, as alternative ground for affirming Appellate Court's judgment, that doctrine of res judicata barred trial court from entertaining plaintiff's request that trial court modify judgment of strict foreclosure and order foreclosure by sale; whether Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that trial court lacked authority to entertain plaintiff's request that trial court modify judgment of strict foreclosure and order foreclosure by sale; whether Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that, even if trial court had authority on remand to order foreclosure by sale, plaintiff was required to file motion to open judgment of strict foreclosure and to present evidence that value of subject property had substantially increased since date of original judgment before trial court could exercise that authority; to extent that Appellate Court held in Connecticut National Bank v. Zuckerman (31 Conn. App. 440) that reviewing court's order affirming judgment of strict foreclosure and remanding case to trial court for setting of new law days precludes trial court from opening judgment and ordering foreclosure by sale, overruled.

Williams <i>v.</i> Commissioner of Correction (Order)	901
Williams <i>v.</i> Mansfield (Order)	908