

**Cumulative Table of Cases**  
**Connecticut Reports**  
**Volume 349**

*(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)*

Ajdini v. Frank Lill & Son, Inc. . . . .	1
<i>Workers' compensation benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly upheld decision of Workers' Compensation Commission administrative law judge, who precluded defendant employer from contesting liability as to plaintiff's claims for workers' compensation benefits; whether employer had timely filed with administrative law judge its notice of intention to contest plaintiff's right to compensation benefits pursuant to statute (§ 31-294c (b)).</i>	
Amado v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	911
Avon v. Sastre (Order) . . . . .	905
Bartolotta v. Human Resources Agency of New Britain, Inc. (Order) . . . . .	908
Brewer v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	910
Cardoza v. Waterbury (Order) . . . . .	911
Delgado v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	902
Dept. of Public Health v. Estrada . . . . .	223
<i>Administrative appeal; alleged retaliation by plaintiff employer against defendant employee for employee's purported whistleblower disclosure; certification from Appellate Court; whether defendant Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities had subject matter jurisdiction to adjudicate employee's whistleblower retaliation claim brought pursuant to statute (§ 4-61dd); whether commission waived and abandoned several merits arguments by failing to raise or brief them before this court or Appellate Court; claim that employee's disclosure concerned misconduct in municipal government to which § 4-61dd does not apply; whether employee was entitled to whistleblower protection under § 4-61dd for reporting her own error; whether employee failed to prove that employer's adverse personnel actions were result of employee's reporting of her errors rather than fact that employee had made such errors.</i>	
Deutsche Bank AG v. Vik. . . . .	120
<i>Tortious interference with business expectancy; litigation privilege; motion to dismiss; certification from Appellate Court; whether plaintiff's appeal was rendered moot by virtue of this court's decision in Deutsche Bank AG v. Sebastian Holdings, Inc. (346 Conn. 564); whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that plaintiff's claims against defendants were barred by litigation privilege.</i>	
Donald G. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	902
Feaser v. Landress (Order) . . . . .	904
Grant v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	912
Greer v. State (Order) . . . . .	908
Homebridge Financial Services, Inc. v. Jakubiec (Order) . . . . .	909
James P. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	911
J. B. v. Y. H. (Order) . . . . .	905
Markley v. State Elections Enforcement Commission . . . . .	67
<i>Public campaign financing under statutory (§ 9-700 et seq.) Citizens' Election Program; first amendment; administrative appeal to trial court from decision of defendant, State Elections Enforcement Commission, assessing fines against plaintiffs, candidates for state legislative office in 2014 general election, for violating certain statutes and regulations governing campaign financing and Citizens' Election Program; unconstitutional conditions doctrine, discussed; claim that defendant had violated plaintiffs' first amendment rights by enforcing applicable statutes and regulations to preclude publicly funded candidates from using candidate committee funds to pay for campaign communications that, as rhetorical device, invoked name of candidate in different electoral race; whether communications at issue were prohibited functional equivalent of express advocacy for defeat of another candidate or, instead, were constitutionally protected messages in direct furtherance of publicly funded candidates' own campaigns.</i>	

Marshall v. Marshall (Order) . . . . .	902
M&T Bank v. Lewis . . . . .	9
<i>Foreclosure; motion to strike; motion to dismiss appeal; whether federal filed rate doctrine implicates subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court improperly struck special defenses of unclean hands and breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; whether defendant's allegations concerning conduct of plaintiff bank in purchasing force placed property insurance for defendant's property arose from making, validity or enforcement of mortgage; whether allegations were otherwise legally sufficient to plead valid special defenses of unclean hands and breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.</i>	
Northland Investment Corp. v. Public Utilities Regulatory Authority . . . . .	35
<i>Administrative appeal; utilities; appeal to trial court from supplemental decision of defendant, Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (PURA), which found that plaintiff landlord's use of ratio utility billing (RUB) was not authorized by statute (§ 16-262e (c)); whether trial court erred in upholding PURA's determination that § 16-262e (c) prohibits plaintiff's proposed use of RUB methodology to recoup building wide utility costs by billing tenants for their estimated, proportionate share of total cost of utilities; claim that, if § 16-262e (c) prohibits landlords from utilizing RUB methodology, then it must also prohibit "building in" approach deemed acceptable by PURA.</i>	
Norwich v. Brenton Family Trust (Order) . . . . .	905
Rapp v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	909
Rios v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	910
Rodriguez v. Hartford (Orders) . . . . .	907
Seaport Capital Partners, LLC v. Speer (Order) . . . . .	909
Stanley v. Grant (Order) . . . . .	903
Stanley v. Quiros (Order) . . . . .	903
State v. Connecticut State University Organization of Administrative Faculty, AFSCME, Council 4, Local 2836, AFL-CIO . . . . .	148
<i>Application to vacate arbitration award; motion to confirm arbitration award; termination of employment; whether trial court improperly vacated arbitration award reinstating grievant to his position as state university's director of student conduct on ground that award violated public policy; factors that reviewing court should consider in evaluating whether arbitration award reinstating discharged employee violates public policy enumerated in Burr Road Operating Co. II, LLC v. New England Health Care Employees Union, District 1199 (316 Conn. 618), discussed.</i>	
State v. Bennings (Orders) . . . . .	906
State v. Roberts (Order) . . . . .	912
Supronowicz v. Eaton (Order) . . . . .	904
Vertefeuille v. Good Foundation, Inc. (Order) . . . . .	901
Viering v. Groton Long Point Assn., Inc. (Order) . . . . .	901
U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Blackman (Order) . . . . .	904
Vecchiarino v. Potter (Order) . . . . .	906
Williams v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	901
Williams v. Mansfield (Order) . . . . .	908