

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Reports
Volume 349

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Ajdini v. Frank Lill & Son, Inc.	1
<i>Workers' compensation benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly upheld decision of Workers' Compensation Commission administrative law judge, who precluded defendant employer from contesting liability as to plaintiff's claims for workers' compensation benefits; whether employer had timely filed with administrative law judge its notice of intention to contest plaintiff's right to compensation benefits pursuant to statute (§ 31-294c (b)).</i>	
Avon v. Sastre (Order)	905
Bartolotta v. Human Resources Agency of New Britain, Inc. (Order)	908
Delgado v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	902
Donald G. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	902
Feaser v. Landress (Order)	904
Greer v. State (Order)	908
J. B. v. Y. H. (Order)	905
Markley v. State Elections Enforcement Commission	67
<i>Public campaign financing under statutory (§ 9-700 et seq.) Citizens' Election Program; first amendment; administrative appeal to trial court from decision of defendant, State Elections Enforcement Commission, assessing fines against plaintiffs, candidates for state legislative office in 2014 general election, for violating certain statutes and regulations governing campaign financing and Citizens' Election Program; unconstitutional conditions doctrine, discussed; claim that defendant had violated plaintiffs' first amendment rights by enforcing applicable statutes and regulations to preclude publicly funded candidates from using candidate committee funds to pay for campaign communications that, as rhetorical device, invoked name of candidate in different electoral race; whether communications at issue were prohibited functional equivalent of express advocacy for defeat of another candidate or, instead, were constitutionally protected messages in direct furtherance of publicly funded candidates' own campaigns.</i>	
Marshall v. Marshall (Order)	902
M&T Bank v. Lewis	9
<i>Foreclosure; motion to strike; motion to dismiss appeal; whether federal filed rate doctrine implicates subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court improperly struck special defenses of unclean hands and breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; whether defendant's allegations concerning conduct of plaintiff bank in purchasing force placed property insurance for defendant's property arose from making, validity or enforcement of mortgage; whether allegations were otherwise legally sufficient to plead valid special defenses of unclean hands and breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.</i>	
Northland Investment Corp. v. Public Utilities Regulatory Authority	35
<i>Administrative appeal; utilities; appeal to trial court from supplemental decision of defendant, Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (PURA), which found that plaintiff landlord's use of ratio utility billing (RUB) was not authorized by statute (§ 16-262e (c)); whether trial court erred in upholding PURA's determination that § 16-262e (c) prohibits plaintiff's proposed use of RUB methodology to recoup building wide utility costs by billing tenants for their estimated, proportionate share of total cost of utilities; claim that, if § 16-262e (c) prohibits landlords from utilizing RUB methodology, then it must also prohibit "building in" approach deemed acceptable by PURA.</i>	
Norwich v. Brenton Family Trust (Order)	905
Rodriguez v. Hartford (Orders)	907
Seaport Capital Partners, LLC v. Speer (Order)	909
Stanley v. Grant (Order)	903
Stanley v. Quiros (Order)	903
State v. Bennings (Orders)	906
Supronowicz v. Eaton (Order)	904

Vertefeulle <i>v.</i> Good Foundation, Inc. (Order)	901
Viering <i>v.</i> Groton Long Point Assn., Inc. (Order)	901
U.S. Bank National Assn. <i>v.</i> Blackman (Order)	904
Vecchiarino <i>v.</i> Potter (Order)	906
Williams <i>v.</i> Commissioner of Correction (Order)	901
Williams <i>v.</i> Mansfield (Order)	908