

346 Conn.

ORDERS

1027

MICHAEL MYERS *v.* COMMISSIONER  
OF CORRECTION

The petitioner Michael Myers' petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 164 Conn. App. 1 (AC 37379), is denied.

MULLINS, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

*James E. Mortimer*, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

*Timothy F. Costello*, supervisory assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided June 6, 2023

---

GERARDO TREJO *v.* YALE NEW  
HAVEN HOSPITAL, INC.

The plaintiff's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 218 Conn. App. 781 (AC 45207), is denied.

1028

ORDERS

346 Conn.

*James V. Sabatini*, in support of the petition.

*Sarah R. Skubas* and *Jessica L. Murphy*, in opposition.

Decided June 6, 2023

---

WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,  
TRUSTEE *v.* MACE L. RATTET ET AL.

The petition of the defendants Mace L. Rattet and Antonina Rattet for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 218 Conn. App. 906 (AC 45362), is denied.

*Christopher G. Brown*, in support of the petition.

*Pierre-Yves Kolakowski*, in opposition.

Decided June 6, 2023

---

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.*  
DANIEL SANTIAGO

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 219 Conn. App. 44 (AC 44780), is denied.

*James B. Streeto*, senior assistant public defender, in support of the petition.

*Michele C. Lukban*, former senior assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided June 6, 2023

---

TENISHA O'REGGIO *v.* COMMISSION ON HUMAN  
RIGHTS AND OPPORTUNITIES ET AL.

The named defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 219 Conn. App. 1 (AC 45011), is denied.

346 Conn.

ORDERS

1029

*Michael E. Roberts*, human rights attorney, in support of the petition.

*Colleen B. Valentine*, assistant attorney general, in opposition.

Decided June 6, 2023

---

TENISHA O'REGGIO *v.* COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS AND OPPORTUNITIES ET AL.

The plaintiff's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 219 Conn. App. 1 (AC 45011), is granted, limited to the following issue:

"Did the Appellate Court correctly conclude that the legal standard adopted by the United States Supreme Court in *Vance v. Ball State University*, 570 U.S. 421, 133 S. Ct. 2434, 186 L. Ed. 2d 565 (2013), applied to the plaintiff's claim under the Connecticut Fair Employment Practices Act, General Statutes (Rev. to 2015) § 46a-51 et seq., that the defendant employer was vicariously liable for the hostile work environment allegedly created by one of the defendant's employees?"

*James V. Sabatini*, in support of the petition.

*Colleen B. Valentine*, assistant attorney general, in opposition.

Decided June 6, 2023

---