

345 Conn.

ORDERS

961

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* JAMAL SUMLER\*

On consideration of the defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 199 Conn. App. 187 (AC 43024), it is ordered as follows:

"The petition is granted as to the defendant's claim that the testimony of the defendant's former probation officer identifying the defendant in a still photograph and video surveillance footage constituted impermissible opinion testimony on the ultimate issue and is denied as to all other claims presented for review. It is further ordered that the judgment of the Appellate Court is vacated and that the case is remanded to that court with direction to consider the defendant's claim regarding the allegedly improper opinion testimony in light of this court's decisions in *State v. Bruny*, 342 Conn. 169, 269 A.3d 38 (2022), and *State v. Gore*, 342 Conn. 129, 269 A.3d 1 (2022)."

*Naomi T. Fetterman*, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

---

\* This order supersedes this court's prior order from May 17, 2022. See *State v. Sumler*, 343 Conn. 916, 274 A.3d 867 (2022).

962

ORDERS

345 Conn.

---

*Laurie N. Feldman*, deputy assistant state's attorney,  
in opposition.

Decided October 20, 2022

---

IN RE LIL'PATRICK T.

The respondent father's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 216 Conn. App. 240 (AC 45399), is denied.

*Matthew C. Eagan*, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

*Katherine A. Blouin* and *Evan O'Roark*, assistant attorneys general, in opposition.

Decided November 22, 2022

---