

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Reports
Volume 343

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Baker v. Argueta (Order)	901
Chase v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	903
C. L. v. J. E. (Order)	905
Cockayne v. Bristol Hospital, Inc. (Order)	906
Connecticut Judicial Branch v. Gilbert	90
<i>Employment discrimination; claims of employment discrimination in violation of Connecticut Fair Employment Practices Act (§ 46a-60), violation of general antidiscrimination statute (§ 46a-58 (a)), and violation of Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended by Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1991 (42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.), as predicate for claim under § 46a-58 (a); whether trial court properly sustained in part and reversed in part Judicial Branch's administrative appeal from decision of defendant Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities awarding named defendant back pay, interest, and emotional distress damages in connection with named defendant's claim that branch discriminated against her on basis of gender; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that commission was authorized to award emotional distress damages and attorney's fees in employment discrimination action under general antidiscrimination statute (§ 46a-58 (a)) and that statute's civil remedies provision (§ 46a-86 (c)); whether commission exceeded its authority under federal law by adjudicating Title VII claim; claim that state law precludes commission from awarding damages for Title VII violations under §§ 46a-58 (a) and 46a-86 (c); whether trial court incorrectly concluded that state waived its sovereign immunity with respect to recovery of prejudgment and postjudgment interest on awards under § 46a-86; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that commission should have precluded named defendant from recovering emotional distress damages as sanction for purported violations of human rights referee's discovery orders; whether trial court improperly admitted certain testimony that went beyond mere garden-variety emotional distress; whether trial court improperly vacated injunction requiring plaintiff to give named defendant option of returning to original work location, after she was transferred to other locations in retaliation for lodging harassment complaint.</i>	
Crouzet v. First Baptist Church of Stonington.	88
<i>Environmental contamination; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly reversed judgment of trial court rendered in favor of defendants on grounds that trial court committed clear error in finding that secondary source was responsible for contamination of plaintiff's property and that, even if there had been secondary source of contamination, the presence of that secondary source did not mean that plaintiff failed to prove that defendants' oil tank contaminated their property; appeal dismissed on ground that certification was improvidently granted.</i>	
J. E. v. C. L. (Order)	907
Lopez v. William Raveis Real Estate, Inc.	31
<i>Housing discrimination; claim that defendant real estate salesperson unlawfully discriminated on basis of plaintiff's lawful source of income, in violation of statute (§ 46a-64c (a) (1) and (3)), by making certain statements regarding plaintiff's participation in Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program; whether trial court improperly applied ordinary listener standard in considering context of real estate salesperson's statements in determining if they conveyed any preference, limitation, or discrimination based on lawful source of income; whether real estate broker was vicariously liable for statements of real estate salesperson pursuant to statute (§ 20-312a); whether owners of property were vicariously liable for statements of real estate salesperson.</i>	
Lucky 13 Industries, LLC v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles (Order)	905
Nutmeg State Crematorium, LLC v. Dept. of Energy & Environmental Protection (Order)	906
O'Brien v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	907
Overly v. Overly (Order)	901

Rafi v. Yale University School of Medicine (Order)	903
Salce v. Cardello (Order)	902
Saunders v. Commissioner of Correction.	1
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that petitioner's due process rights were violated on ground that he was incompetent at time of his criminal trial; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that procedural default doctrine applies to competency claims; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that petitioner failed to allege sufficient cause and prejudice to overcome procedural defaults; whether mental incompetency is internal to habeas petitioner; whether habeas court correctly determined that petitioner had failed to allege sufficient prejudice to survive motion to dismiss.</i>	
State v. Butler (Order)	904
State v. Daniel M. (Order)	906
State v. Jones (Order)	901
State v. Prudhomme (Order)	902
State v. Stephanie U. (Orders)	903, 904
Stratford Police Dept. v. Board of Firearms Permit Examiners	62
<i>Application for issuance of state pistol permit; administrative appeal; appeal from trial court's judgment reversing decision of named defendant, Board of Firearms Permit Examiners, ordering issuance of pistol permit to defendant; denial by plaintiff police department of pistol permit application on basis of applicant's prior conviction of criminal possession of controlled substance in New York; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that statute (§ 29-28 (b) (2) (B)) automatically disqualifies pistol permit applicant with out-of-state conviction that is equivalent to conviction under statute (§ 21a-279) proscribing possession of controlled substance from receiving permit; whether trial court improperly substituted its judgment for that of board following board's determination that applicant was suitable person to obtain pistol permit.</i>	
U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. v. Black (Order)	905