

ORDERS

MONICA R. OVERLY *v.* MARK S. OVERLY

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 209 Conn. App. 504 (AC 43249), is denied.

KAHN, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Peter J. Zarella, in support of the petition.

Sarah E. Murray, in opposition.

Decided April 5, 2022

SERENA BAKER *v.* OSCAR ARGUETA

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 209 Conn. App. 843 (AC 43827), is denied.

David N. Rubin, in support of the petition.

Decided April 5, 2022

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* THEODORE JONES

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 210 Conn. App. 249 (AC 42674), is denied.

ROBINSON, C. J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Julia K. Conlin, assigned counsel, and *Emily Graner Sexton*, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Brett R. Aiello, deputy assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided April 5, 2022

902

ORDERS

343 Conn.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* KRISTOPHER
JOSEPH PRUDHOMME

The state's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 210 Conn. App. 176 (AC 43302), is denied.

Laurie N. Feldman, deputy assistant state's attorney, in support of the petition.

Andrew P. O'Shea, assigned counsel, in opposition.

Decided April 5, 2022

JOHN SALCE *v.* JOAN CARDELLO

The plaintiff's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 210 Conn. App. 66 (AC 43648), is granted, limited to the follow issues:

"1. Did the Appellate Court correctly conclude that the defendant had violated the in terrorem clauses in the decedent's will and trust agreement when the defendant challenged the trustee's refusal (1) to remove her bank account from the estate's Connecticut estate and gift tax return, and (2) to deduct the outstanding mortgages from the value of the estate?

"2. If the answer to the first question is 'yes,' did the Appellate Court correctly conclude that enforcement of the in terrorem clauses in the decedent's will and trust agreement would violate public policy and that the clauses, therefore, were unenforceable as to the defendant's conduct?

"3. If the answer to the second question is 'no,' does the good faith exception to the enforcement of in terrorem clauses apply in this case?"

MULLINS, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

343 Conn.

ORDERS

903

Kenneth A. Votre, in support of the petition.

Matthew D. McCormack, in opposition.

Decided April 5, 2022

RODNEY CHASE *v.* COMMISSIONER
OF CORRECTION

The petitioner Rodney Chase's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 210 Conn. App. 492 (AC 44048), is denied.

J. Christopher Llinas, in support of the petition.

Linda F. Rubertone, senior assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided April 5, 2022

SYED K. RAFI *v.* YALE UNIVERSITY SCHOOL
OF MEDICINE ET AL.

The plaintiff's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court (AC 44976) is denied.

Syed K. Rafi, self-represented, in support of the petition.

Patrick M. Noonan, in opposition.

Decided April 5, 2022
