

342 Conn.

ORDERS

903

SHERI SPEER v. MICHAEL TEIGER

The plaintiff's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 208 Conn. App. 907 (AC 38557), is denied.

Sheri Speer, self-represented, in support of the petition.

Decided March 8, 2022

**CONNEX CREDIT UNION v. MICHELLE
M. THIBODEAU**

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 208 Conn. App. 861 (AC 43830), is granted, limited to the following issues:

"1. Did the Appellate Court properly interpret and apply the requirement of Connecticut's Uniform Commercial Code to notify a consumer-debtor that he or she has a right to an accounting of unpaid indebtedness after repossession of secured property?"

"2. Under the Retail Installment Sales Financing Act, General Statutes § 36a-770 et seq., may a retail seller of a motor vehicle, after repossession and sale of the vehicle, credit a retail buyer's alleged deficiency only with the proceeds from the vehicle's sale when the prima facie fair market value of the vehicle exceeded the amount of those proceeds?"

Garrett A. Denniston, in support of the petition.

Robert C. Lubus, Jr., in opposition.

Decided March 8, 2022

904

ORDERS

342 Conn.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* DORAINE REED

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 209 Conn. App. 873 (AC 42509), is denied.

KAHN, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Richard E. Condon, Jr., senior assistant public defender, in support of the petition.

Timothy J. Sugrue, senior assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided March 8, 2022

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* JAMES K.

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 209 Conn. App. 441 (AC 42872), is granted, limited to the following issues:

"1. Did the trial court improperly preclude defense counsel from asking prospective jurors to express their opinions about the practice of a parent kissing his or her child on the lips, and, if the answer to that question is 'yes' and the error is subject to harmless error review, was that error harmful?"

"2. Did the trial court err in not excluding a video-recorded forensic interview with the complainant when the complainant gave clear and cogent testimony at trial and the prejudicial effect of the video-recorded interview greatly outweighed its marginal probative value?"

Pamela S. Nagy, supervisory assistant public defender, in support of the petition.

342 Conn.

ORDERS

905

Melissa E. Patterson, senior assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided March 8, 2022

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* ULYSES
R. ALVAREZ

The state's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 209 Conn. App. 250 (AC 43506), is granted, limited to the following issues:

"1. Did the Appellate Court improperly apply the constitutional harmless error standard to the trial court's failure to disclose certain sealed records under *State v. Esposito*, 192 Conn. 166, 471 A.2d 949 (1984), instead of the standard typically used for purely evidentiary claims?

"2. Did the Appellate Court incorrectly determine that the trial court had abused its discretion in finding that evidence of the defendant's uncharged misconduct against P was not sufficiently similar to his charged conduct against the complainant, K, in this case?"

Denise B. Smoker, senior assistant state's attorney, in support of the petition.

Decided March 8, 2022

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* DEJON A. SMITH

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 209 Conn. App. 296 (AC 44156), is denied.

Emily H. Wagner, assistant public defender, in support of the petition.

906

ORDERS

342 Conn.

Thadius L. Bochain, deputy assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided March 8, 2022

JOSE CORDERO *v.* COMMISSIONER
OF CORRECTION

The petitioner Jose Cordero's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 209 Conn. App. 903 (AC 44193), is denied.

Jose Cordero, self-represented, in support of the petition.

Zenobia Graham-Days, assistant attorney general, in opposition.

Decided March 8, 2022

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* BEN B. OMAR

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 209 Conn. App. 283 (AC 44263), is denied.

KAHN, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Gary A. Mastronardi, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Michele C. Lukban, senior assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided March 8, 2022

342 Conn.

ORDERS

907

CAROL WALZER *v.* ROY WALZER

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 209 Conn. App. 604 (AC 44313), is denied.

Roy S. Walzer, self-represented party, in support of the petition.

Decided March 8, 2022

DOROTHY A. SMULLEY *v.* SAFECO INSURANCE
COMPANY OF ILLINOIS ET AL.

The plaintiff's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court (AC 45002) is denied.

Dorothy A. Smulley, self-represented, in support of the petition.

Philip T. Newbury, Jr., in opposition.

Decided March 8, 2022

IN RE ONYX K.-A.

The petition of respondent mother for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court (AC 45076) is denied.

D'AURIA, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

R. A., self-represented, in support of the petition.

Evan O'Roark and *Nisa Khan*, assistant attorneys general, in opposition.

Decided March 8, 2022
