

**Cumulative Table of Cases**  
**Connecticut Reports**  
**Volume 342**

*(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)*

Allstate Ins. Co. v. Tenn . . . . .	292
<i>Declaratory judgment action; insurance; motion for summary judgment; certified question from United States District Court; whether plea of nolo contendere could be used by insurance company in declaratory judgment action to prove occurrence of criminal act that would trigger contractual exclusion to coverage under homeowners insurance policy.</i>	
Caverly v. State . . . . .	226
<i>Wrongful death; medical malpractice; sovereign immunity; notice of claim for permission from claims commissioner to file action against state in connection with state employees' alleged negligence in prescribing certain medications to plaintiff's decedent; whether trial court properly denied state's motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction on basis of sovereign immunity; whether plaintiff's medical malpractice claim against state was "indirectly paid by . . . a third party" within meaning of statute ((Rev. to 2017) § 4-160b (a)), when plaintiff brought and settled separate negligence action against pharmacy that had filled decedent's prescriptions.</i>	
Commission on Human Rights & Opportunities v. Edge Fitness, LLC . . . . .	25
<i>Sex discrimination; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiff commission's appeal from decision of commission's human rights referee; claim that defendant fitness facilities discriminated against complainants, who identified as males, on basis of sex by providing women's only workout areas in their otherwise public facilities, in violation of Public Accommodation Act (§ 46a-64); whether there was an implied customer gender privacy exception encompassed within § 46a-64 (b) (1), which exempts "separate bathrooms and locker rooms based on sex" from act's general prohibition against sex discrimination in public accommodations.</i>	
Crandle v. Connecticut State Employees Retirement Commission . . . . .	67
<i>Administrative appeal; whether trial court properly dismissed appeal from ruling of defendant retirement commission; whether retirement commission incorrectly concluded that, under State Employees Retirement Act (§ 5-152 et seq.), state employee disability retirement benefits become payable on first day of month following receipt of application for such benefits by Retirement Services Division rather than on day following employee's last day of paid state employment; claim that trial court improperly deferred to commission's interpretation of State Employees Retirement Act because that interpretation was neither time-tested, insofar as it was not formally articulated or adopted pursuant to formal rule-making or adjudicatory procedures, nor reasonable; claim that retirement commission, as fiduciary of plaintiffs, former state employees, had burden of proving fair dealing by clear and convincing evidence with respect to commission's use of unwritten practice regarding when disability retirement benefits become payable.</i>	
1st Alliance Lending, LLC v. Dept. of Banking. . . . .	273
<i>Mortgage lending; automatic suspension and revocation of plaintiff's license to serve as mortgage lender in Connecticut; whether statute (§ 36a-492) directing Commissioner of Banking to suspend license of mortgage lender when its surety bond is cancelled, unless lender has surrendered its license, and relevant statutory scheme, provided commissioner with legal authority to suspend and revoke plaintiff's mortgage lender license; whether commissioner should not be permitted to decline to take action on mortgage lender's request to surrender its license; whether trial court correctly concluded that Department of Banking was not estopped from suspending and revoking plaintiff's mortgage lender license on basis of representations that department made in letter to plaintiff.</i>	
In re Petition of Reapportionment Commission Ex Rel. . . . .	271
<i>Reapportionment; submission of report and plan by court-appointed special master for redistricting of state's congressional districts; order adopting report and plan.</i>	

O. A. v. J. A. . . . . 45  
*Dissolution of marriage; pendente lite orders of alimony, attorney's fees, and expert fees; claim that trial court incorrectly determined that it did not need to consider enforceability of parties' postnuptial agreement prior to awarding plaintiff pendente lite alimony and litigation expenses; whether trial court properly relied on Fitzgerald v. Fitzgerald (169 Conn. 147) and acted within its discretion in deferring its decision on enforceability of parties' postnuptial agreement until end of trial.*

Peek v. Manchester Memorial Hospital. . . . . 103  
*Negligence; statute of limitations ((Rev. to 2015) § 52-584); motion for summary judgment; claim that plaintiff's action was barred by two year limitation period set forth in § 52-584; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly construed term "injury" in § 52-584 to mean actionable harm, consistent with this court's decision in Lagassey v. State (268 Conn. 723); whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that, viewing facts in light most favorable to plaintiff, there was genuine issue of material fact regarding whether plaintiff commenced her action within two years from date of her "injury," as that term is understood in context of § 52-584; claim that Appellate Court improperly applied failure to diagnose or latent injury cases to facts of this case; claim that facts of present case were similar to those in Burns v. Hartford Hospital (192 Conn. 451), in which court determined that limitation period set forth in § 52-584 started running when plaintiff sustained injuries; claim that this court in Lagassey improperly neglected to apply statutory (§ 1-2z) plain meaning rule and to interpret term "injury" in § 52-584 to mean hurt, damage, or loss sustained.*

State v. Belcher . . . . . 1  
*Motion to correct illegal sentence; kidnapping first degree; sexual assault first degree; robbery first degree; burglary first degree; attempt to commit sexual assault first degree; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that sentencing court substantially relied on materially false information in sentencing defendant, in violation of his right to due process; claim that sentencing court's reliance on superpredator theory, concerning rise of teenage male predatory street criminals who would terrorize society, and sentencing court's characterization of defendant as charter member of that group of superpredators, warranted resentencing.*

State v. Bruny . . . . . 169  
*Murder; criminal possession of pistol or revolver; claim that trial court abused its discretion in admitting testimony of four lay witnesses identifying defendant as one of persons depicted in surveillance video of interior and exterior of nightclub where shooting took place insofar as their testimony improperly embraced ultimate issue to be decided by jury, in violation of Connecticut Code of Evidence (§ 7-3 (a)); claim that trial court improperly admitted expert testimony of forensic examiner regarding enhanced video that he compiled from raw surveillance footage of nightclub where shooting took place, insofar as examiner's testimony invaded province of jury; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that defense counsel had opened door to certain of forensic examiner's testimony on redirect examination; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's request for special credibility instruction as to witness who defendant claimed should have been treated as jailhouse informant; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to suppress out-of-court and in-court identifications of defendant made by victim's cousin; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction of criminal possession of pistol or revolver insofar as state failed to prove beyond reasonable doubt that gun used in shooting had barrel length of less than twelve inches.*

State v. Fisher . . . . . 239  
*Assault second degree; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction of assault in second degree; whether jury reasonably could have found beyond reasonable doubt that defendant had intended to cause victim to suffer serious physical injury; claim that trial court improperly limited defense counsel's cross-examination of victim regarding her pending civil action against defendant, which arose out of same incident that formed basis for defendant's conviction; claim that trial court improperly precluded admission of complaint in victim's civil action against defendant; whether claimed error was of constitutional magnitude; claim that trial court improperly allowed certain witness to provide expert testimony regarding symptoms of concussions.*

State v. Gore . . . . . 129  
*Murder; criminal possession of firearm; claim that trial court improperly admitted police officer's testimony regarding witness' statement identifying defendant in still photograph taken from surveillance video on ground that it constituted lay opinion testimony embracing ultimate issue to be decided by trier of fact; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion for mistrial and new trial based on alleged juror misconduct.*