

ORDERS

WILLIAM DOBIE *v.* CITY OF NEW HAVEN ET AL.

The plaintiff's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 204 Conn. App. 583 (AC 42877), is granted, limited to the following issues:

"1. Did the Appellate Court correctly conclude that General Statutes § 13a-149 was the plaintiff's sole remedy under the facts and circumstances of the case?"

"2. Did the Appellate Court correctly conclude that the trial court had improperly denied the defendant's posttrial motion to dismiss when the defendant conceded at oral argument that the trial court had properly denied the defendant's pretrial motion to dismiss?"

Brendan K. Nelligan and Leann Riether, in support of the petition.

Thomas R. Gerarde and Beatrice S. Jordan, in opposition.

Decided September 14, 2021

YOLANDA MCCREA ET AL. *v.* CUMBERLAND FARMS, INC., ET AL.

The petition of the defendants Cumberland Farms, Inc., and Trevor Johnie, for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 204 Conn. App. 796 (AC 42985), is denied.

Tara F. Racicot and Matthew G. Conway, in support of the petition.

Decided September 14, 2021

902

ORDERS

338 Conn.

CHARLES F. *v.* COMMISSIONER
OF CORRECTION

The petitioner Charles F.'s petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 205 Conn. App. 903 (AC 42780), is denied.

Cheryl A. Juniewicz, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Melissa E. Patterson, senior assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided September 14, 2021

ANTHONY SMALL *v.* COMMISSIONER
OF CORRECTION

The petitioner Anthony Small's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 205 Conn. App. 902 (AC 43263), is denied.

Judie Marshall, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Ronald G. Weller, senior assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided September 14, 2021

PETER TARASCO *v.* COMMISSIONER
OF CORRECTION

The petitioner Peter Tarasco's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 205 Conn. App. 905 (AC 43331), is denied.

Robert T. Rimmer, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

338 Conn.

ORDERS

903

Samantha L. Oden, deputy assistant state's attorney,
in opposition.

Decided September 14, 2021

VERE C. *v.* COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTION

The petitioner Vere C.'s petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 205 Conn. App. 904 (AC 43563), is denied.

Robert L. O'Brien, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Michele C. Lukban, senior assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided September 14, 2021

BRIAN SMITH *v.* COMMISSIONER
OF CORRECTION

The petitioner Brian Smith's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 205 Conn. App. 903 (AC 43736), is denied.

Robert T. Rimmer, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Brett R. Aiello, deputy assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided September 14, 2021

ELIYAHU MIRLIS *v.* YESHIVA OF
NEW HAVEN, INC.

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 205 Conn. App. 206 (AC 44016), is denied.

904

ORDERS

338 Conn.

KAHN, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

Richard P. Colbert, in support of the petition.

James M. Moriarty and *John L. Cesaroni*, in opposition.

Decided September 14, 2021

IN RE SEQUOIA G. ET AL.

The petition of the respondent mother for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 205 Conn. App. 222 (AC 44346), is denied.

David B. Rozwaski, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Evan O’Roark, assistant attorney general, and *Brian Camilleri*, certified legal intern, in opposition.

Decided September 14, 2021

IN RE ANNESSA J.

The petition of the respondent mother for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 206 Conn. App. 572 (AC 44405), is granted, limited to the following issues:

“1. Did the Appellate Court, in affirming the judgment of the trial court terminating the parental rights of the respondent mother following a trial conducted via the Microsoft Teams platform over the respondent mother’s objection, incorrectly determine that the respondent mother’s unreserved claim that article first, § 10, and article fifth, § 1, of the Connecticut constitution guaranteed her the right to an in-person courtroom trial of the kind that existed at common law in 1818 was not of

338 Conn.

ORDERS

905

constitutional magnitude under the second prong of *State v. Golding*, 213 Conn. 233, 567 A.2d 823 (1989)?

“2. Did the Appellate Court, in affirming the trial court’s judgment, incorrectly determine, under the first prong of *Golding*, that the record was inadequate to review the respondent mother’s unpreserved claim that she was denied the right to physically confront the witnesses against her at the virtual trial on the petition to terminate her parental rights, in violation of the due process clause of the fourteenth amendment to the United States constitution?”

Albert J. Oneto IV, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Evan O’Roark, assistant attorney general, in opposition.

Decided September 14, 2021

IN RE ANNESSA J.

The petition of the Commissioner of Children and Families for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 206 Conn. App. 572 (AC 44405), is granted, limited to the following issue:

“Did the Appellate Court properly expand the standard set forth in *In re Ava W.*, 336 Conn. 545, 248 A.3d 675 (2020), for deciding motions for posttermination visitation beyond the question of whether, under General Statutes § 46b-121 (b) (1), such visitation is ‘necessary or appropriate’ to secure the welfare of the child?”

Evan O’Roark, assistant attorney general, in support of the petition.

Albert J. Oneto IV, assigned counsel, in opposition.

Decided September 14, 2021

906

ORDERS

338 Conn.

IN RE ANNESSA J.

The petition of the Commissioner of Children and Families for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 206 Conn. App. 572 (AC 44497), is granted, limited to the following issue:

“Did the Appellate Court properly expand the standard set forth in *In re Ava W.*, 336 Conn. 545, 248 A.3d 675 (2020), for deciding motions for posttermination visitation beyond the question of whether, under General Statutes § 46b-121 (b) (1), such visitation is ‘necessary or appropriate’ to secure the welfare of the child?”

Evan O’Roark, assistant attorney general, in support of the petition.

Decided September 14, 2021

IN RE NAOMI W.

The petition of the respondent mother for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 206 Conn. App. 138 (AC 44413), is denied.

Benjamin M. Wattenmaker, in support of the petition.

Thai Chhay and *Evan O’Roark*, assistant attorneys general, in opposition.

Decided September 14, 2021
