

**Cumulative Table of Cases**  
**Connecticut Reports**  
**Volume 337**

*(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)*

Asnat Realty, LLC v. United Illuminating Co. (Order) . . . . .	906
Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC v. Macrae-Gray (Order) . . . . .	905
Berka v. Middletown (Order) . . . . .	910
Blondeau v. Baltierra . . . . .	127
<i>Dissolution of marriage; arbitration; whether final judgment existed for purposes of appellate jurisdiction when arbitration award included issues related to child support in violation of statutory provision (§ 46b-66 (c)) governing agreements to arbitrate in dissolution proceedings; claim that motion to vacate arbitration award was untimely pursuant to statute (§ 52-420 (b)), and that trial court therefore lacked jurisdiction, because it failed to set forth factual basis for vacating award within limitation period specified in § 52-420 (b); claim that trial court lacked jurisdiction to consider arguments in motion to vacate pertaining to child support because plaintiff was not aggrieved by that portion of award and because issue of child support had been rendered moot by parties' pendente lite stipulations; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that arbitrator's award exceeded scope of parties' submission; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that arbitrator manifestly disregarded law by ignoring choice of law provision in premarital agreement and distributing equity in marital home in accordance with Connecticut law; whether party to dissolution matter can waive statutory (§§ 46b-66 (c) and 52-408) prohibition against arbitration of issues related to child support; whether portion of arbitration award ordering payment of certain expenses related to children was severable from remainder of award.</i>	
Britton v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	901
Caires v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (Orders) . . . . .	901, 904
Conroy v. Idlibi (Order) . . . . .	905
Donald G. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	907
Dougan v. Sikorsky Aircraft Corp. . . . .	27
<i>Negligence; workplace asbestos exposure; summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly granted defendants' motion for summary judgment on ground that claim for medical monitoring in absence of manifestation of physical injury was not cognizable under Connecticut law; medical monitoring, discussed; whether plaintiffs had established genuine issue of material fact as to whether medical monitoring was reasonably necessary for each individual plaintiff.</i>	
Gershon v. Back (Order) . . . . .	901
In re Angela V. (Order) . . . . .	907
In re Jacob M. (Order) . . . . .	909
In re Kiara Liz V. (Order) . . . . .	904
In re Natasha T. (Order) . . . . .	909
Lance W. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	902
Nash v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	908
Nash Street, LLC v. Main Street America Assurance Co. . . . .	1
<i>Action seeking to recover proceeds allegedly due under commercial general liability insurance policy issued by defendant insurer; summary judgment; whether defendant had duty to defend in action brought by plaintiff against insured and alleging property damage resulting from collapse of house that had been lifted off foundation; whether trial court improperly granted defendant's motion for summary judgment; whether there existed possibility that plaintiff's complaint in action against insured alleged liability for property damage that was not excluded under insurance policy; whether trial court incorrectly determined that certain exclusions in insurance policy relieved defendant of its duty to defend.</i>	
Ortiz v. Torres-Rodriguez (Order) . . . . .	910

Property Tax Management, LLC v. Worldwide Properties, LLC (Order) . . . . .	903
Redding v. Georgetown Land Development Co., LLC. . . . .	75
<i>Strict foreclosure; summary judgment; whether trial court correctly concluded that, under 2007 public act (P.A. 07-196, § 4 (b) (3)), liens that defendant company had acquired from special taxing district were subordinate to those of plaintiff town and fire district.</i>	
Rice v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	906
Robinson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	903
Solon v. Slater (Order) . . . . .	908
State v. Cicarella (Order) . . . . .	902
State v. Cody M. . . . .	92
<i>Violation of standing criminal protective order; threatening second degree; double jeopardy; certification from Appellate Court; claim that defendant's conviction of two counts of violating standing criminal protective order violated constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy; whether legislature intended to punish individual acts separately or to punish course of action that they constitute under violation of standing criminal protective order statute (§ 53a-223a); whether Appellate Court improperly upheld trial court's jury instruction on charge of criminal violation of standing criminal protective order.</i>	
State v. Coleman (Order) . . . . .	907
State v. Foster (Order) . . . . .	904
State v. Luciano (Order) . . . . .	903
State v. Marsala . . . . .	55
<i>Criminal trespass first degree; defendant's request that jury be instructed on infraction of simple trespass as lesser included offense; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court properly declined to instruct jury on simple trespass as lesser included offense; whether prerequisites set forth in State v. Whistnant (179 Conn. 576) for obtaining jury instruction on lesser included offense were satisfied.</i>	
State v. Rodriguez . . . . .	175
<i>Sexual assault first degree; criminal attempt to commit sexual assault first degree; unpreserved claim that trial court violated defendant's right to confrontation by allowing forensic science examiner to testify about results of DNA identification analysis without requiring testimony from individual who generated DNA profiles; unpreserved claim that defendant's due process right was violated by introduction of DNA identification evidence that was unreliable; claim that evidence of random match probability of 1 in 230,000 in Hispanic population, by itself, was insufficient to establish that defendant was guilty of crimes charged beyond reasonable doubt.</i>	
Turner v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	909
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Robertson (Order) . . . . .	905