

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Reports
Volume 336

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

A. G. v. C. G. (Order)	953
A & R Enterprises, LLC v. Sentinel Ins. Co., Ltd. (Order)	921
Allan v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	939
BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP v. Lee (Order)	952
Bank of America, National Assn. v. Sorrentino (Order)	922
Bank of New York Mellon v. Mercier (Order)	913
Bank of New York Mellon v. Ruttkamp (Order)	902
Bank of New York Mellon v. Tope (Order)	950
Barker v. All Roofs by Dominic	592
<i>Workers' compensation benefits; determination by Workers' Compensation Commissioner that defendant city was plaintiff's principal employer pursuant to statute (§ 31-291); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly upheld decision of Compensation Review Board, which had affirmed commissioner's decision; whether city was principal employer of plaintiff, who was employed by city's uninsured subcontractor and who suffered compensable injury while performing repairs to roof of city's transfer facility; whether Massolini v. Driscoll (114 Conn. 546), should be overruled insofar as it applies principal employer liability, for purposes of workers' compensation law, to municipalities.</i>	
Borelli v. Renaldi	3
<i>Negligence; high speed police pursuit; summary judgment; governmental immunity; whether trial court correctly concluded that statute (§ 14-283 (d)) governing operation of emergency vehicles, as well as defendant town's police pursuit policy, imposes discretionary, rather than ministerial, duty on police officers to drive with due regard for safety of all persons and property; whether defendants were immune from liability in connection with pursuit of fleeing motorist; whether plaintiff failed to demonstrate that identifiable person-imminent harm exception to discretionary act immunity applied in present case.</i>	
Boutillier v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	935
Bridges v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	949
Brown v. State (Order)	904
Budrawich v. Budrawich (Order)	909
Buie v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	940
Carter v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	952
CT Freedom Alliance, LLC v. Dept. of Education (Order)	914
Coccoma v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	943
Cohen v. King (Order)	925
Cole v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	908
Coleman v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	922
Collins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	931
Commissioner of Public Health v. Colandrea (Order)	930
Cordero v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	926
Corley v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	913
Couloute v. Board of Education (Order)	946
Davis v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	916
DeLeo v. Equale & Cirone, LLP (Order)	927
Derblom v. Archdiocese of Hartford (Order)	938
Disciplinary Counsel v. Cannatelli (Order)	950
Doe v. Flanigan (Order)	901
Dovenmuehle Mortgage, Inc. v. Janniello (Order)	922
Dwyer v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	931
E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. v. Chemtura Corp.	194
<i>Breach of contract; whether trial court properly rendered judgment for defendant on claim alleging breach of commercial contract governed by New York law when plaintiff failed to strictly comply with notice provision; whether New York law</i>	

	<i>requires strict compliance with notice provision of commercial contract when other party to contract receives actual notice and is not prejudiced by lack of strict compliance.</i>	
Fay v. Merrill		432
	<i>Congressional elections; action brought pursuant to statute (§ 9-323) allowing any elector or candidate who claims that he is aggrieved by any ruling of any election official in connection with election for, among other public offices, representative in Congress, to file complaint with justice of Supreme Court; motion to dismiss; claim that application for absentee ballot adding COVID-19 as reason for absentee voting was unconstitutional and based on erroneous interpretation of governor's executive order; whether this court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over plaintiff's action under § 9-323.</i>	
Featherston v. Katchko & Son Construction Services, Inc. (Order)		923
Felder v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)		924
Feliciano v. State		669
	<i>Negligence; action to recover damages from state for personal injuries plaintiff sustained when uninsured motor vehicle struck vehicle in which she was passenger; motion to dismiss; whether trial court had subject matter jurisdiction over plaintiff's action; whether state's waiver of sovereign immunity in statute (§ 52-556) for claims arising from state employee's negligent operation of state owned and insured motor vehicle extends to litigant, such as plaintiff, who is state employee; whether plaintiff's action against state was barred by workers' compensation exclusivity provision (§ 31-284 (a)); whether state's waiver of sovereign immunity pursuant to § 52-556 precluded it from raising defense to liability under § 31-284 (a); improper form of judgment.</i>	
Figueroa v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)		926
Garcia v. Cohen (Order)		944
Georges v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)		943
Gibilisco v. Tilcon Connecticut, Inc. (Order)		947
Godbout v. Freedom of Information Commission (Order)		936
Godfrey v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)		931
Gomez v. Commissioner of Correction		170
	<i>Habeas corpus; certification from Appellate Court; claim that habeas counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to raise claim of due process violation in petitioner's earlier habeas case; whether petitioner's due process rights were violated under Napue v. Illinois (360 U.S. 264) and Giglio v. United States (405 U.S.150) when prosecutor knowingly failed to correct false testimony of state's key witnesses at petitioner's criminal trial regarding their cooperation agreements with state, even though defense counsel had actual or constructive knowledge of those agreements; whether disclosure to defense counsel that witness has given false testimony, by itself, necessarily cures any violation of criminal defendant's due process rights under Napue and Giglio.</i>	
Gould v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)		921
Hamm v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)		913
Haydusky's Appeal from Probate (Order)		915
Henderson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)		916
Heyward v. Leftridge (Orders)		902, 903
In re Amanda L. (Orders)		949
In re Ava W.		545
	<i>Termination of parental rights; request for posttermination visitation; whether respondent mother was aggrieved by trial court's order declining to order posttermination visitation with her child; claim that issue of posttermination visitation was rendered moot by virtue of trial court's termination of respondent's parental rights; claim that respondent lacked standing to appeal from trial court's order because she did not appeal from or seek or obtain stay of termination judgment; whether trial court correctly concluded that it lacked authority to order posttermination visitation; whether trial court correctly relied on applicable statute (§ 17-112a (b) through (h)) to deny request for posttermination visitation; claim that trial court's denial of posttermination visitation should be upheld on alternative ground that court correctly determined that such visitation would not be in child's best interest; remand for dispositional hearing at which trial court is to consider merits of ordering visitation.</i>	
In re D'Andre T. (Order)		902
In re Ja'La L. (Order)		909
In re Ja'Maire M. (Order)		911

In re Josiah D. (Order)	915
In re Kameron N. (Orders)	926, 927
In re Marcquan C. (Order)	924
In re Phoenix A. (Order)	932
In re Probate Appeal of Concannon (Order).	937
In re Riley B. (Order)	943
In re Zakai F.	272
<i>Petition for reinstatement of guardianship rights pursuant to statute (§ 45a-611); certification from Appellate Court; whether parent seeking reinstatement of guardianship rights is entitled to rebuttable, constitutional presumption that reinstatement is in best interests of child once parent has established that cause for removal no longer exists; whether third party seeking to rebut presumption that reinstatement of guardianship is in child's best interests must do so by clear and convincing evidence; weighing of factors set forth in Mathews v. Eldridge (424 U.S. 319) for purpose of determining proper standard of proof in reinstatement of guardianship proceedings.</i>	
Ingram v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	916
International Investors v. Town Plan & Zoning Commission (Order).	928
Jacques v. Commissioner of Energy & Environmental Protection (Order)	938
Jan G. v. Semple (Order)	937
Jimenez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	948
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Essaghof.	633
<i>Strict foreclosure; trial court order requiring defendant mortgagors to reimburse plaintiff mortgagee for certain taxes and homeowners insurance premiums that were to be paid by plaintiff while defendants' appeal from foreclosure judgment was pending and while defendants were occupying property subject to foreclosure; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court improperly upheld trial court's order; whether trial court's order was proper; reviewability of claim that trial court should have been disqualified on ground of certain statements calling into question court's impartiality.</i>	
Kaminski v. Commissioner of Correction (Order).	915
Kelsey v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	912
Kelsey v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	941
Kondjoua v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	907
Lafferty v. Jones.	332
<i>Invasion of privacy; special motions to dismiss under anti-SLAPP statute (§ 52-5196a); interlocutory appeal pursuant to statute (§ 52-265a) involving matter of substantial public interest; first amendment; sanctions; whether trial court violated defendants' first amendment rights by imposing sanctions for named defendant's extrajudicial speech harassing and threatening plaintiffs' counsel; whether trial court abused its discretion in imposing sanctions for discovery order violations and named defendant's extrajudicial speech; whether trial court violated defendants' due process rights by failing to afford them sufficient notice and meaningful opportunity to be heard before imposing sanctions.</i>	
Lebron v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	948
Leonova v. Leonov (Order).	906
M. S. v. P. S. (Order)	952
Mecca v. Mecca (Order)	940
Morales v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	930
MSW Associates, LLC v. Planning & Zoning Dept. (Order)	946
Nandabalan v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles (Order)	951
Nash v. Roland Dumont Agency, Inc. (Order)	917
Nationstar Mortgage, LLC v. Zanett (Order)	919
Northeast Builders Supply & Home Centers, LLC v. RMM Consulting, LLC (Order)	933
OneWest Bank, N.A. v. Ceslik (Order)	936
Osborn v. Waterbury (Order)	903
Osbourne v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	937
Palmer v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	924
Pascola-Milton v. Millard (Order)	934
Pearson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	914
Pierce v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	914

Pollard v. Bridgeport (Order)	953
Praisner v. State	420
<i>Indemnification pursuant to statute ((Rev. to 2013) § 53-39a); whether Appellate Court correctly determined that state university's special police force was not local police department for purposes of § 53-39a; whether 2017 amendment to § 53-39a was clarifying legislation applicable to plaintiff.</i>	
Pringle v. Pattis (Order)	944
Pryor v. Brignole (Order)	933
Pryor v. Brignole (Order)	941
Reliable Mechanical Contractors, LLC v. Ricketts (Order)	932
Rispoli v. East Haven (Order)	927
Roberts v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	920
Rose v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	920
St. Louis v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	919
St. Pierre v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	940
Sanchez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	946
Schuler v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	905
Seaport Capital Partners, LLC v. Speer (Order)	942
Seramonte Associates, LLC v. Hamden (Order)	923
777 Residential, LLC v. Metropolitan District Commission	819
<i>Supplemental sewerage benefit assessment; summary judgment; whether trial court correctly determined that defendant water pollution control authority had statutory (§ 7-249) authority to levy supplemental sewerage benefit assessment against real property of plaintiff when plaintiff had converted building on property from commercial units to residential condominium units; claim that conversion did not constitute construction of structures within meaning of § 7-249; whether trial court correctly determined that calculation of supplemental assessment violated § 7-249; whether defendant was required, under § 7-249, to use same method to calculate supplemental assessment that had been used to calculate initial assessment.</i>	
Shoreline Shellfish, LLC v. Branford	403
<i>Breach of contract; right of first refusal to lease shellfishing grounds in defendant town; whether trial court improperly granted town's motion for summary judgment; whether genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether shellfishing ground plaintiffs sought to lease was owned by town within meaning of applicable provision (§ 88-8) of town code; whether town's Shellfish Commission had authority to lease shellfishing ground to plaintiffs under § 88-8 of town code.</i>	
Solek v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	935
South Windsor v. Lanata (Order)	945
Speer v. Skaats (Order)	910
Stanley v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	901
Stanley v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	912
State v. Ashby	452
<i>Capital felony; murder; felony murder; sexual assault first degree; kidnapping first degree; burglary first degree; sixth amendment right to counsel; claim that state violated defendant's sixth amendment right to counsel by engaging jailhouse informant to deliberately elicit incriminating statements from defendant; whether informant acted as agent of state in eliciting incriminating statements from defendant; claim that there was insufficient evidence to establish that defendant remained unlawfully in victim's apartment for purpose of his conviction of first degree burglary; defendant's invitation to overrule State v. Allen (216 Conn. 367); stare decisis; whether trial court abused its discretion in declining to give third-party culpability instruction to jury in light of existence of unidentified person's DNA in and on victim's body and on doorframe of victim's bedroom.</i>	
State v. Boyd (Order)	951
State v. Capasso (Order)	939
State v. Edwards (Order)	920
State v. Ferrazzano-Mazza (Order)	928
State v. Freeman (Order)	907
State v. Hall-George (Order)	934
State v. Hazard (Order)	901
State v. Joseph A.	247
<i>Assault of disabled person third degree; disorderly conduct; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court had not abused its discretion in determining that defendant's waiver of right to counsel</i>	

	<i>during pretrial stage of proceedings was knowing, intelligent and voluntary; whether trial court abused its discretion in determining that defendant understood nature of charges against him; claim that defendant's waiver of right to counsel was constitutionally inadequate because trial court did not make him aware of dangers and disadvantages of self-representation during canvass; claim that trial court's failure to canvass defendant regarding right to counsel during arraignment and plea negotiations was structural error; whether alleged error concerning failure to canvass defendant regarding right to counsel during arraignment and plea negotiations was harmless.</i>	
State v. King (Order)		947
State v. Knox (Orders)		905, 906
State v. Lamantia		747
	<i>Tampering with witness; sufficiency of evidence; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that jury reasonably could have found that defendant tampered with witness; claim that there was insufficient evidence from which jury could reasonably find that defendant had specifically intended to interfere with witness' testimony at official proceeding.</i>	
State v. Lemanski (Order)		907
State v. Liebhenguth		685
	<i>Breach of peace second degree; defendant's use of racial slurs in confronting African-American parking enforcement officer after officer issued defendant parking ticket; first amendment; fighting words; certification from Appellate Court; whether language defendant used to demean, intimidate and anger parking enforcement officer, when considered in conjunction with circumstances in which that language was used, constituted fighting words likely to provoke violent response from reasonable person in officer's position; whether first amendment prohibited state's use of defendant's words to obtain defendant's breach of peace conviction; history of use of word "nigger," discussed.</i>	
State v. Mansfield (Order)		910
State v. Marsala (Order)		951
State v. Qayyum (Order)		911
State v. Ramon A. G.		386
	<i>Assault third degree; claim that trial court improperly declined to instruct jury on defense of personal property with respect to assault charge; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant failed to preserve his claim of instructional error; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant waived his unreserved claim of instructional error.</i>	
State v. Ruiz-Pacheco		219
	<i>Assault first degree as principal; assault first degree as accessory; double jeopardy; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant's convictions of assault in first degree as principal and assault in first degree as accessory as to each victim did not violate double jeopardy clause of United States constitution; proper inquiry, for double jeopardy purposes, when defendant is convicted of multiple violations of same substantive criminal statute, discussed; whether legislature intended to punish individual acts separately or to punish course of action that they constitute under first degree assault statute (§ 53a-59 (a) (1)) under which defendant was convicted; whether defendant's assaultive acts against victims were part of same continuing course of conduct.</i>	
State v. Russaw (Order)		933
State v. Sayles (Order)		929
State v. Schimanski (Order)		903
State v. Sebben (Order)		919
State v. Thorne (Order)		953
State v. Williams (Order)		917
Steele v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)		947
Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)		944
Trust v. Bliss (Order)		938
Tunick v. Tunick (Order)		910
Turner v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)		945
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order)		934
Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order)		908

Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	942
Vitti v. Milford	654
<i>Hypertension or heart disease benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly affirmed Workers' Compensation Commissioner's decision to award plaintiff statutory (§ 31-308 (b)) permanent partial disability benefits of 23 percent on basis of functional capacity of plaintiff's transplanted heart; whether award of permanent partial disability benefits for loss of use of organ, following successful transplant surgery, is based on 100 percent loss of native organ or on functional capacity of transplanted organ; claim that transplanted heart was comparable to prosthetic device, thereby entitling plaintiff to disability award for 100 percent loss of function of organ.</i>	
Vogue v. Administrator, Unemployment Compensation Act (Order)	918
Wahba v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (Order)	909
Wittman v. Intense Movers, Inc. (Order)	918
Wright v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	905
Young v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	904