

334 Conn.

ORDERS

921

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* HIRAL M. PATEL

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 194 Conn. App. 245 (AC 41821), is granted, limited to the following issues:

"1. Did the Appellate Court correctly conclude that the introduction into evidence of a codefendant's 'dual inculpatory statement' did not violate the defendant's confrontation rights under *Crawford v. Washington*, 541 U.S. 36, 124 S. Ct. 1354, 158 L. Ed. 2d 177 (2004)?

"2. Did the Appellate Court correctly conclude that the introduction into evidence of a codefendant's 'dual inculpatory statement' did not violate the defendant's confrontation rights under the Connecticut constitution?

"3. Did the Appellate Court correctly conclude that a codefendant's 'dual inculpatory statement' was properly admissible as a statement against penal interest under § 8-6 (4) of the Connecticut Code of Evidence?

922

ORDERS

334 Conn.

“4. Did the Appellate Court correctly conclude that the trial court properly excluded from evidence, under § 8-6 (4) of the Connecticut Code of Evidence, a code-defendant’s statement against penal interest that exculpated the defendant?”

*Richard Emanuel*, in support of the petition.

*Matthew A. Weiner*, assistant state’s attorney, in opposition.

Decided February 5, 2020

---

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* JOSE ORTEGA

The defendant’s petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 194 Conn. App. 904 (AC 42112), is denied.

*Michael W. Brown*, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

*Kathryn W. Bare*, assistant state’s attorney, in opposition.

Decided February 5, 2020

---

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* RUBEN VASQUEZ

The defendant’s petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 194 Conn. App. 831 (AC 42147), is denied.

*Richard E. Condon, Jr.*, senior assistant public defender, in support of the petition.

*Sarah Hanna*, assistant state’s attorney, in opposition.

Decided February 5, 2020

---

334 Conn.

ORDERS

923

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* JOSE E. RAMOS

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 194 Conn. App. 594 (AC 42330), is denied.

*Jose E. Ramos*, self-represented, in support of the petition.

*Brett R. Aiello*, deputy assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided February 5, 2020

---

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* SHOTA MEKOSHVILI

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 195 Conn. App. 154 (AC 42144), is granted, limited to the following issue:

"Did the Appellate Court correctly conclude that the trial court had properly denied the defendant's request for a jury instruction that would require the jury to reach a verdict of not guilty unless it was unanimous in its conclusion that the state disproved each element of the defendant's self-defense claim beyond a reasonable doubt?"

*Norman A. Pattis*, in support of the petition.

*Ronald G. Weller*, senior assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided February 5, 2020

---