

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Reports
Volume 335

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

| | |
|--|---------|
| American Tax Funding, LLC v. First Eagle Corp. (Order) | 942 |
| Bagaloo v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 905 |
| Bank of America, N.A. v. Balgobin (Order) | 903 |
| Bank of New York Mellon v. Orlando (Order) | 917 |
| Bank of New York Mellon v. Ruttkamp (Order) | 919 |
| Benitez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 924 |
| Berka v. Middletown (Order) | 906 |
| Boria v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 901 |
| Brown v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 920 |
| Carabetta Organization, Ltd. v. Meriden (Order) | 940 |
| Cheswold (TL), LLC, BMO Harris Bank, N.A. v. Kwong (Order) | 941 |
| Chief Disciplinary Counsel v. Burbank (Order) | 906 |
| Christiana Trust v. Bliss (Order) | 916 |
| Cinotti v. Shred It U.S.A., LLC (Order) | 930 |
| Cooke v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 911 |
| Daley v. Kashmanian (Orders) | 939,940 |
| Dept. of Social Services v. Freeman (Order) | 922 |
| Diaz v. Commissioner of Correction | 53 |
| <i>Habeas corpus; claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; certification to appeal from habeas court's judgment; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court improperly raised and decided unpreserved issue of waiver without first providing parties opportunity to be heard on that issue, in contravention of this court's decision in Blumberg Associates Worldwide, Inc. v. Brown & Brown of Connecticut, Inc. (311 Conn. 123); proper scope of order of remand to Appellate Court, discussed.</i> | |
| Dombrowski v. New Haven (Order) | 908 |
| Edward Kowalsky Revocable Trust v. B & D Properties, LLC (Order) | 914 |
| Ervin v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 905 |
| Factor King, LLC v. Housing Authority (Order) | 927 |
| Federal National Mortgage Assn. v. Trojan (Order) | 910 |
| Garcia v. Cohen | 3 |
| <i>Premises liability; negligence; contributory negligence; general verdict rule; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that general verdict rule precluded it from reviewing plaintiff's claim of instructional error; whether proposed interrogatories were properly framed; nondelegable duty doctrine, discussed; whether Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that plaintiff's instructional error claim was not reviewable on ground that plaintiff had failed to raise independent claim of error on appeal with respect to trial court's decision not to submit her proposed interrogatories to jury.</i> | |
| Goguen v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 925 |
| Hassiem v. O & G Industries, Inc. (Order) | 928 |
| Haywood v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 914 |
| Holliday v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 901 |
| In re Aisjaha N. (Order) | 943 |
| In re Brian P. (Order) | 907 |
| In re Cataleya M. (Order) (See In re Gabriel C.) | 938 |
| In re Corey C. (Order) | 930 |
| In re Gabriel C. (Order) | 938 |
| In re Isabella M. (Order) (See In re Gabriel C.) | 938 |
| In re Omar I. (Order) | 924 |
| In re Savanah F. (Order) (See In re Gabriel C.) | 938 |
| Jason B. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 903 |
| Jemiola v. Hartford Casualty Ins. Co. | 117 |
| <i>Homeowners insurance; breach of contract; denial of coverage by defendant insurance company for cracks in basement walls under provision in policy insuring</i> | |

| | | |
|---|--|-----|
| <i>against collapse of building or part thereof; summary judgment; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that only homeowners insurance policies issued to plaintiff by defendant since March, 2005, were applicable to plaintiff's claim for coverage; whether there was genuine issue of material fact as to whether structural integrity of plaintiff's basement walls was substantially impaired when policies issued to plaintiff before March, 2005, were in effect; whether trial court correctly concluded that collapse provision of applicable homeowners insurance policy unambiguously excluded coverage for cracks in plaintiff's basement walls; whether plaintiff's house suffered abrupt falling down or caving in, complete or partial, such that it could not be occupied for its intended purpose; claim that definition of "collapse" contained in policy was ambiguous and, therefore, that substantial impairment of structural integrity standard adopted by this court in Beach v. Middlesex Mutual Assurance Co. (205 Conn. 246) applied for purpose of determining coverage.</i> | | |
| Jepsen v. Camassar (Order) | | 926 |
| Johnson v. Preleski | | 138 |
| <i>Petition for new trial based on newly discovered evidence; certification from Appellate Court; claim that petition was time barred because it was served on respondent state's attorney one day after expiration of applicable limitation period; whether Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that savings statute (§ 52-593a (a)), which requires that process be personally delivered to marshal within applicable limitation period, did not save untimely petition when process was sent by facsimile to marshal on final day of limitation period but there was no evidence as to when marshal came into physical possession of process; whether process is personally delivered to marshal within meaning of § 52-593a (a) when sender transmits it by facsimile; whether there was sufficient evidence to establish that process was personally delivered to marshal within applicable limitation period.</i> | | |
| Jordan v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | | 931 |
| Karas v. Liberty Ins. Corp. | | 62 |
| <i>Homeowners insurance; breach of contract; crumbling foundations; motion for summary judgment; certified question from United States District Court for District of Connecticut; reformulation of certified question; whether substantial impairment of structural integrity standard, as set forth in Beach v. Middlesex Mutual Assurance Co. (205 Conn. 246), was applicable to provision of plaintiffs' homeowners insurance policy covering collapse of building; evidence required to satisfy substantial impairment of structural integrity standard, discussed; claim that, to satisfy substantial impairment of structural integrity standard, home must be in imminent danger of falling down or caving in, that is, in imminent danger of actual collapse; whether coverage exclusion in plaintiffs' homeowners insurance policy for collapse of "foundation" unambiguously included basement walls of plaintiffs' home.</i> | | |
| Kirby v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | | 930 |
| Kohl's Dept. Stores, Inc. v. Rocky Hill (Order) | | 917 |
| Langston v. Commissioner of Correction. | | 1 |
| <i>Habeas corpus; certification from Appellate Court; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed habeas petition as untimely filed; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that petitioner lacked good cause for his untimely filing when he had relied on advice of his attorney to withdraw previous, validly filed petition and to file present petition in its place, even though it would be subject to statutory (§ 52-470 (d)) presumption of delay; certification improvidently granted.</i> | | |
| Lenti v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | | 905 |
| Lopez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). | | 904 |
| Morton v. Syriac (Order) | | 915 |
| Mosby v. Board of Education (Order) | | 939 |
| Nationstar Mortgage, LLC v. Washington (Orders) | | 909 |
| Nietupski v. Del Castillo (Order) | | 916 |
| Nonhuman Rights Project, Inc. v. R.W. Commerford & Sons, Inc. (Order) | | 929 |
| Pentland v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | | 919 |
| Peterson v. Torrington (Order) | | 921 |
| Petrucelli v. Meriden (Order) | | 923 |
| Pfister v. Madison Beach Hotel, LLC (Order) | | 923 |
| Platt v. Tilcon Connecticut, Inc. (Order) | | 917 |
| Reserve Realty, LLC v. BLT Reserve, LLC (see Reserve Realty, LLC v. Windemere Reserve, LLC) | | 174 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Reserve Realty, LLC v. Windemere Reserve, LLC | 174 |
| <i>Breach of contract; anticipatory breach; antitrust; claim that plaintiffs could not recover brokerage fees under certain real estate listing agreements because those agreements were list-back agreements that, defendants claimed, constituted per se illegal tying arrangements in violation of federal Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1); certification from Appellate Court; claim that this court should overrule State v. Hossan-Maxwell, Inc. (181 Conn. 655), which held that list-back agreements committing purchaser of real property to use services of particular broker when leasing or reselling property are per se illegal, as no longer consistent with federal antitrust law; Hossan-Maxwell, Inc., to extent it held that real estate list-back agreements affecting not insubstantial volume of commerce are per se illegal, overruled; newly clarified standard governing antitrust challenges to list-back agreements, discussed.</i> | |
| Rojas v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 925 |
| Ruiz v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 915 |
| Scholz v. Epstein (Order) | 943 |
| Seaport Capital Partners, LLC v. Speer (Order) | 903 |
| Sherman v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 929 |
| Starboard Resources, Inc. v. Henry (Order) | 919 |
| State v. Albert D. (Order) | 913 |
| State v. Bermudez (Order) | 908 |
| State v. Bradbury (Order) | 925 |
| State v. Brown (Order) | 902 |
| State v. Brown (Order) | 942 |
| State v. Bunn (Order) | 918 |
| State v. Carpenter (Order) | 940 |
| State v. Corprew (Order) | 918 |
| State v. Covington | 212 |
| <i>Carrying pistol or revolver without permit; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that there was sufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction of carrying pistol or revolver without permit; whether state presented sufficient, circumstantial evidence to permit jury reasonably to conclude beyond reasonable doubt that firearm defendant was carrying had barrel less than twelve inches in length.</i> | |
| State v. Douglas C. (Order) | 904 |
| State v. Earley (Order) | 902 |
| State v. Fortin (Order) | 926 |
| State v. Francis (Order) | 912 |
| State v. Holley (Order) | 922 |
| State v. Lynch (Order) | 914 |
| State v. Milner (Order) | 928 |
| State v. Mitchell (Order) | 912 |
| State v. Nusser (Order) | 918 |
| State v. Petersen (Order) | 921 |
| State v. Randy G. (Order) | 911 |
| State v. Richards (Order) | 931 |
| State v. Rosa (Order) | 920 |
| State v. Sawyer | 29 |
| <i>Possession of child pornography second degree; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that search warrant affidavit provided probable cause to search defendant's residence for evidence of possession of child pornography; unpreserved claim that this court should adopt more demanding standard under Connecticut constitution for assessing whether there is probable cause to issue search warrant.</i> | |
| State v. Smith (Order) | 932 |
| State v. Taupier (Order) | 928 |
| State v. Tinsley (Order) | 927 |
| State v. Torres (Order) | 913 |
| State v. Tyus (Order) | 907 |
| State v. Villar (Order) | 916 |
| State v. Watson (Order) | 912 |
| State v. White (Order) | 906 |
| Streifel v. Bulkley (Order) | 911 |
| Thomas v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 929 |
| Thompson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 913 |
| Turek v. Zoning Board of Appeals (Order) | 915 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Madison (Order) | 941 |
| U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Mamudi (Order) | 921 |
| U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Rothermel (Order) | 910 |
| U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. v. O'Brien (Order) | 922 |
| Vera v. Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co. | 110 |
| <i>Homeowners insurance; breach of contract; motion for summary judgment; removal of action from state court to federal court; certified question from United States District Court for District of Connecticut; reliance on this court's decision in companion case of Karas v. Liberty Ins. Corp. (335 Conn. 62); whether, to satisfy substantial impairment of structural integrity standard, as set forth in Beach v. Middlesex Mutual Assurance Co. (205 Conn. 246), home must be in imminent danger of falling down or caving in, that is, in imminent danger of actual collapse.</i> | |
| Williams v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 923 |
| Woods v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 938 |