

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Reports
Volume 329

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Brown v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	901
Cator v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	902
GMAC Mortgage, LLC v. Demelis (Order)	903
Osborn v. Waterbury (Order)	901
Samelko v. Kingstone Ins. Co.	249
<i>Action pursuant to statute (§ 38a-321) subrogating plaintiffs to insured's rights under automobile insurance policy with defendant insurer; whether trial court properly granted defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction; whether exercising personal jurisdiction over defendant satisfied corporate long arm statute (§ 33-929 [f] [1]) providing for jurisdiction over foreign corporation on cause of action arising out of contract to be performed in Connecticut; claim that insurance policy was contract to be performed in Connecticut because defendant promised to defend and indemnify insured nationwide; claim that due process clause of fourteenth amendment to federal constitution was offended by exercising personal jurisdiction over defendant.</i>	
Skakel v. Commissioner of Correction	1
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; failure to investigate and call potential alibi witness; motion for reconsideration en banc of decision of this court reversing judgment of habeas court, which granted habeas petition; propriety of adding seventh panel member to consider motion for reconsideration en banc when original panel member has retired from Judicial Branch; whether trial counsel's failure to investigate whether potential alibi witness could provide testimony that was favorable to petitioner's alibi defense was unreasonable and, therefore, constituted deficient performance; whether trial counsel's deficient performance resulted in prejudice to petitioner; whether there was reasonable probability that outcome of petitioner's criminal trial would have been different if trial counsel had located potential alibi witness and had presented his testimony; partial alibis, discussed; strength of state's case against petitioner, discussed.</i>	
State v. Andaz (Order)	901
State v. Mara (Order)	902