

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Reports
Volume 327

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

| | |
|--|----------|
| American First Federal, Inc. v. Gordon (Order) | 909 |
| Bank of America, N.A. v. Fisher (Order) | 903 |
| Bank of America, N.A. v. Sabir (Order) | 903 |
| Bozelko v. D'Albero (Order) | 912 |
| Bueno v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 907 |
| Fitzpatrick v. U.S. Bank National Assn. (Order) | 902 |
| Gordon v. Gordon (Order) | 904 |
| Haughey v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 906 |
| Heinonen v. Gupton (Order) | 902 |
| Hutterly v. Miller (Order) | 904 |
| In re Eliahah T.-T. (Order) | 912 |
| Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 902 |
| Ionescu v. Stratford (Order) | 901 |
| James v. Commissioner of Correction | 24 |
| <i>Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial.</i> | |
| JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order) | 908 |
| Kruger v. Grauer (Order) | 901 |
| Levanti v. Conway (Order) | 919 |
| Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order) | 920 |
| MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order) | 908 |
| OneWest Bank, FSB v. Gnazzo (Order) | 922 |
| Pires v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 907 |
| Pronovost v. Tierney (Order) | 922 |
| Ramos v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 904 |
| Reserve Realty, LLC v. BLT Reserve, LLC (Order) | 911 |
| Reserve Realty, LLC v. Windemere Reserve, LLC (Orders) | 910, 911 |
| Sinchak v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 901 |
| Smith v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) | 920 |
| Sousa v. Sousa (Order) | 906 |
| State v. Ames (Order) | 908 |
| State v. Andino (Order) | 906 |
| State v. Holley (Order) | 907 |
| State v. Jones (Order) | 905 |
| State v. Joseph (Order) | 912 |
| State v. Pelella | 1 |
| <i>Threatening second degree; appeal by state on granting of permission; first amendment to United States constitution; whether trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss charges; claim that trial court incorrectly determined that law required alleged threat to be imminent for it to constitute true threat; whether trial court improperly viewed evidence before it in light most favorable to defendant in ruling on motion to dismiss; whether issue of whether statement constituted true threat was for jury to decide.</i> | |
| State v. Purcell (Order) | 921 |
| State v. Reddick (Order) | 921 |
| State v. Sampson (Order) | 920 |
| State v. Smith (Order) | 910 |
| State v. Toro (Order) | 905 |
| State v. Torres (Order) | 910 |
| State v. Wiggins (Order) | 908 |
| Szymonik v. Szymonik (Order) | 903 |

Thorpe *v.* Commissioner of Correction (Order) 905
Townsend *v.* Hardy (Order) 909