

**Cumulative Table of Cases**  
**Connecticut Appellate Reports**  
**Volume 223**

*(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)*

A. D. v. L. D. (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	903
Ambrose v. Ambrose . . . . .	609
<i>Writ of error; attorney misconduct; disbarment; claim that defendant in error violated plaintiff in error's constitutional right to due process by failing to give her adequate notice of disciplinary hearing and limiting hearing to issue of sanctions; claim that sanction of disbarment for conduct in connection with motion to disqualify constituted impermissible punishment for exercise of first amendment right to free speech; claim that defendant in error's findings that plaintiff in error had violated Rules of Professional Conduct were not factually supported by clear and convincing evidence; claim that disbarment was excessive penalty because it was disproportionate in light of plaintiff in error's conduct and lack of disciplinary history.</i>	
Anketell v. Kulldorff. . . . .	345
<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion for clarification and request for postjudgment interest; claim that trial court abused its discretion in awarding plaintiff certain postjudgment interest.</i>	
Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC v. Gallant (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	905
Bonds v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .	645
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court improperly rejected petitioner's claim of ineffective assistance of trial counsel; claim that petitioner's trial counsel rendered deficient performance during plea negotiations by failing to adequately advise him to accept plea deal and failing to adequately advise him regarding strength of state's case; claim that petitioner was prejudiced by trial counsel's deficient performance because, but for counsel's failure to give specific and appropriate advice, he would have pleaded guilty and received more favorable disposition.</i>	
Buie v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	903
Callahan v. Healthcare Services Group-Meriden Care Center (Memorandum Decision) . .	902
Cameron v. Santiago . . . . .	836
<i>Assault; prior pending action doctrine; whether trial court improperly deprived plaintiff of procedural due process by sua sponte dismissing her action without giving her notice and opportunity to be heard with respect to grounds on which court based its dismissal; whether trial court incorrectly determined that, pursuant to Edgewood Village, Inc. v. Housing Authority (54 Conn. App. 164), action was moot because trial court could not afford plaintiff any practical relief because plaintiff sought same relief in present action and in prior action against defendant.</i>	
Cazenovia Creek Funding I, LLC v. Roman . . . . .	739
<i>Foreclosure; motion to dismiss; subject matter jurisdiction; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to dismiss foreclosure action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction on ground that it was filed by nonparty to action; whether appeal should be dismissed to extent it was brought by appellant in his individual capacity, when he was not party to action; whether appeal should be dismissed to extent appellant was not representing his own cause on appeal and did not have authority, as nonattorney, to represent defendant trust in representative capacity.</i>	
Ciara v. Atlantic Motors, LLC . . . . .	164
<i>Fraud; claim that trial court improperly failed to enforce subpoenas to compel attendance of certain defendants at trial when subpoenas were sent to defendants' counsel via email; reviewability of plaintiffs' unpreserved claim that trial court abused its discretion in failing to admit into evidence Carfax reports pertaining to motor vehicles at issue.</i>	
Clue v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .	803
<i>Habeas corpus; motion to open; whether habeas court erred in concluding that its equitable authority to open judgments outside of four month period set forth in statute (§ 52-212a) was limited to cases in which judgment was obtained by</i>	

*fraud, duress or mutual mistake; whether ineffective assistance of habeas counsel under Strickland v. Washington (466 U.S. 668) was sufficient to invoke habeas court's common-law authority to open habeas judgment more than four months after it was rendered.*

Connecticut Light & Power Co. v. Public Utilities Regulatory Authority. . . . . 136  
*Administrative appeal; appeal from judgment of trial court dismissing in part and remanding in part final decision of defendant Public Utilities Regulatory Authority requiring plaintiff to, inter alia, remove certain catastrophic storm costs from its base distribution rates; whether trial court's decision was final judgment for purposes of appeal.*

Dessa, LLC v. Riddle . . . . . 457  
*Landlord-tenant; action to recover unpaid rent; claim that plaintiff landlord commenced action with unclean hands and without probable cause under fraudulent premises; claim that trial court's findings were clearly erroneous.*

DXR Finance Parent, LLC v. Theraplant, LLC . . . . . 362  
*Foreclosure; postjudgment motion to intervene; motion to dismiss appeal; mootness; whether appellate stay applied to running of law days when trial court denied nonparty's postjudgment motion to intervene after title had already vested in plaintiff mortgagee; whether any practical relief could be granted to would-be intervenor; whether there was any colorable claim implicating this court's equitable authority to open foreclosure judgment, pursuant to U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Rothermel (339 Conn. 366).*

E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. v. Chemtura Corp. . . . . 376  
*Breach of contract; whether trial court erred in determining that evidence was unclear as to which version of state fire code applied to alleged fire protection system violations; whether trial court erred in determining that federal regulation (40 C.F.R. § 82.156) did not require replacement of certain refrigeration units.*

Feaser v. Landress (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 902

Femia v. Meriden . . . . . 1  
*Employment discrimination; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly concluded that there was no genuine issue of material fact with respect to plaintiff employee's allegation that he was not selected for promotion on basis of his age in violation of Connecticut Fair Employment Practices Act (§ 46a-51 et seq.).*

Forestier v. Bridgeport . . . . . 298  
*Employment discrimination; motion for summary judgment; reviewability of plaintiffs' claim that trial court improperly focused or limited its analysis of their discrimination claim to their 2016 layoffs because their claim allegedly encompassed 2018 reinstatement order of arbitration panel and defendants' 2018 post-arbitration conduct; claim that trial court improperly granted defendants' motions for summary judgment because genuine issues of material fact existed as to whether plaintiffs established prima facie case that their positions were eliminated and they were laid off in violation of statute (§ 31-290a) prohibiting discrimination against employees exercising their rights under Workers' Compensation Act (§ 31-275 et seq.); claim that trial court improperly granted defendants' motions for summary judgment because genuine issues of material fact existed as to whether alleged nondiscriminatory reason given by defendants for plaintiffs' layoffs was pretextual.*

F. S. v. J. S. . . . . 763  
*Dissolution of marriage; child custody; claim that trial court improperly violated Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA) (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.) by refusing to provide defendant with medical accommodation previously granted to him by court and by terminating his presentation of evidence; claim that trial court denied certain of defendant's motions in retaliation for exercising his rights under ADA; whether trial court improperly relied on defendant's mental health diagnosis as basis for awarding custody to plaintiff and restricting his right to visitation; claim that trial court improperly relied on stale custody evaluation in determining best interest of minor child; whether trial court improperly required parties to request leave of court before filing motions and improperly denied multiple such requests made by defendant; claim that trial court improperly awarded sole custody of minor child to plaintiff; claim that trial court erroneously concluded that defendant had narcissistic personality disorder; whether trial court erred in admitting testimony of social worker from Department of Children and Families and admitting and relying on affidavit of minor child's former therapist.*

Gardner v. Dept. of Mental Health & Addiction Services . . . . .	221
<i>Workers' compensation; whether Compensation Review Board properly affirmed decision of Workers' Compensation Commissioner granting defendant employer's request to transfer plaintiff's benefit status from temporary partial disability, pursuant to statute (§ 31-308 (a)), to permanent partial disability, pursuant to § 31-308 (b); claim that board improperly affirmed commissioner's denial of plaintiff's request for ongoing temporary partial disability benefits pursuant to § 31-308 (a).</i>	
Glen S. v. Commissioner of Correction. . . . .	152
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petitioner's petition for certification to appeal; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in precluding witness from testifying with respect to petitioner's character for truthfulness.</i>	
Griffin v. Atlantic Motors, LLC (See Ciara v. Atlantic Motors, LLC) . . . . .	164
Hankerson v. Commissioner of Correction. . . . .	562
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed petition for writ of habeas corpus that was filed more than two years beyond limitation period set forth in statute (§ 52-470 (d)) on ground that petitioner failed to establish good cause for late filing pursuant to § 52-470 (d) and (e); claim that prior habeas counsel's failure to advise petitioner of time limits imposed by § 52-470 (d) for filing successive petition after withdrawal of prior petition established good cause to excuse late filing of prior habeas petition; clarification of legal standard for determination of good cause to excuse late filing, as set forth in Rose v. Commissioner of Correction (348 Conn. 333), discussed.</i>	
High Watch Recovery Center, Inc. v. Planning & Zoning Commission . . . . .	424
<i>Zoning; special permit application; whether trial court erred as matter of law in holding that plaintiff's valid nonconforming use of property that arose from special permit may not be intensified in accordance with criteria set forth in Zachs v. Zoning Board of Appeals (218 Conn. 324); whether record contained sufficient evidence to support defendant planning and zoning commission's stated reasons for its denial of plaintiff's special permit application requesting approval for proposed greenhouse.</i>	
Homebridge Financial Services, Inc. v. Jakubiec . . . . .	517
<i>Foreclosure; claim that trial court should have dismissed complaint because court lacked personal jurisdiction over defendant as result of improper service; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion for nonsuit based on plaintiff's alleged failure to abide by settlement agreement and its lack of compliance with court orders regarding federal mortgage foreclosure moratorium affidavit; claim that trial court improperly rejected defendant's special defense of unclean hands; reviewability of defendant's claims that trial court should have granted her motion to enforce loan modification agreement, denied plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, and dismissed foreclosure action when plaintiff failed to comply with certain notice requirements.</i>	
In re Angelina S. . . . .	52
<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly determined that Department of Children and Families had made reasonable efforts to reunify respondent with his children; claim that trial court improperly determined that respondent was unable or unwilling to benefit from reunification services; claim that trial court erred in concluding that respondent failed to achieve sufficient degree of personal rehabilitation within meaning of statute (§ 17a-112) governing termination of parental rights; claim that department's efforts were not reasonable because services referred to respondent by department required respondent to disengage from his current medical provider.</i>	
In re Ava M. . . . .	590
<i>Termination of parental rights; motion for posttermination visitation; claim that trial court erroneously concluded that Department of Children and Families had made reasonable efforts to reunify respondent with minor child and that she was unable or unwilling to benefit from such reunification efforts provided to her pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (1)); claim that trial court incorrectly found that termination of respondent's parental rights was in minor child's best interest; claim that trial court improperly denied respondent's motion for posttermination visitation with minor child.</i>	
In re Niya B. . . . .	471
<i>Termination of parental rights; whether trial court correctly concluded that respondent had failed to achieve sufficient degree of personal rehabilitation as would</i>	

	<i>encourage belief that, within reasonable time, considering age and needs of minor child, she could assume responsible position in life of child; claim that trial court misinterpreted expert testimony of clinical psychologist regarding respondent's insight into her substance use issues and ability to rehabilitate.</i>	
In re Olivia W. . . . .		173
	<i>Neglect petition; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support trial court's determination that minor child was neglected; claim that trial court improperly determined that committing minor child to petitioner Commissioner of Children and Families was in child's best interest; claim that respondents were denied equitable treatment as result of alleged procedural and evidentiary errors that occurred during neglect proceedings.</i>	
In re Piper S. (Memorandum Decision). . . . .		902
In re P. T.-W. . . . .		571
	<i>Termination of parental rights; motion to open judgment; claim that trial court erred in dismissing incarcerated respondent's motion to open judgment by proceeding with hearing in his absence and without giving him opportunity to participate remotely.</i>	
Jacques v. Jacques. . . . .		501
	<i>Breach of contract; motion for attorney's fees; claim that trial court erred in awarding defendant attorney's fees under bad faith exception to American rule; whether trial court's award of attorney's fees was supported by requisite factual findings.</i>	
J. G. v. Curtis-Shanley. . . . .		149
	<i>Application for civil protection order; claim that trial court erred in granting application for protection; order to show cause why appeal should not be dismissed pursuant to applicable rules of practice (§§ 85-2 and 85-3) after defendant unilaterally terminated his attendance at oral argument before this court.</i>	
Lassen v. Hartford. . . . .		285
	<i>Employment discrimination; summary judgment; whether trial court properly granted defendant city's motion for summary judgment on plaintiff's claims of disability discrimination and retaliation in violation of provision (§ 46a-60) of Connecticut Fair Employment Practices Act (§ 46a-51 et seq.); claim that trial court improperly determined that no genuine issue of material fact existed that plaintiff failed to establish prima facie case of disability discrimination or retaliation resulting from city's decision not to rehire him as police officer; claim that trial court improperly determined that city articulated legitimate, nondiscriminatory and nonretaliatory reason for its decision not to rehire plaintiff; claim that genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether city's reason for not rehiring plaintiff was pretextual because of inconsistency in city's job application process; claim that city's reason for not rehiring plaintiff was pretextual because plaintiff was certified Connecticut police officer at time he applied for police officer position and, thus, did not need to submit document with application showing that he had successfully completed certain physical ability tests required of police officer candidates.</i>	
Love v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .		658
	<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court improperly determined that petitioner's trial counsel did not render ineffective assistance by failing to consult with and retain eyewitness identification expert to testify at pretrial hearing to suppress evidence and at petitioner's criminal trial.</i>	
Marcus v. Cassara . . . . .		69
	<i>Application for custody of minor children; postjudgment motion for modification of child support; claim that trial court improperly modified order regarding expenses for extracurricular activities of children to entirely eliminate plaintiff's obligation to contribute to expenses; whether trial court exceeded its authority in modifying order on ground that was not contained in plaintiff's motion for modification; whether trial court improperly concluded that extracurricular activities order constituted deviation from child support guidelines.</i>	
National Bank Trust v. Yurov . . . . .		637
	<i>Foreign judgment; claim that trial court erred when it denied defendant's motion to open foreign judgment pursuant to statute (§ 50a-34 (b) (3)) on basis that judgment was repugnant to public policy of this state.</i>	
Nationstar Mortgage, LLC v. Zanett (Memorandum Decision). . . . .		904
Rader v. Valeri . . . . .		243
	<i>Interpleader; action brought by plaintiff attorney to determine rights of defendants to funds held in escrow following real estate transaction; claim that trial court made clearly erroneous factual findings; claim that trial court improperly con-</i>	

*cluded that defendant seller failed to satisfy zoning contingency set forth in escrow agreement.*

Robotham v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 905

Roman v. A&S Innersprings USA, LLC . . . . . 403

*Wrongful termination; pregnancy discrimination; claim that trial court improperly granted defendant's motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly determined that no genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether certain claims of pregnancy discrimination were time barred under statutory ((Rev. to 2007) § 46a-82 (f)) limitation period; claim that trial court erred in determining that plaintiff failed to establish prima facie case of discrimination with respect to her claim that defendant failed to rehire her; claim that trial court improperly determined that continuing course of conduct doctrine did not toll statute of limitations with respect to untimely claims.*

Roman v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . . 111

*Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court improperly rejected petitioner's claims of ineffective assistance of criminal trial counsel and appellate counsel.*

Seder v. Errato (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 904

SG Pequot 200, LLC v. Fairfield . . . . . 333

*Tax appeal; appeal from tax assessment on certain real property; claim that trial court improperly determined that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over plaintiff's municipal tax appeal on basis that plaintiff's petition to defendant town's board of assessment appeals was untimely under governing statutes (§§ 12-111 (a) (1) and 12-112).*

Silano v. Cooney . . . . . 692

*Malicious prosecution; intentional spoliation of evidence; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly granted defendant's motion for summary judgment with respect to plaintiff's malicious prosecution claim; claim that trial court improperly rejected plaintiff's arguments with respect to her claim of intentional spoliation of evidence; reviewability of claim that trial court erred in admitting into evidence notes defendant took while viewing surveillance video that plaintiff alleged defendant had failed to preserve.*

State v. Bennings (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 903

State v. Bennings (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 904

State v. Dyou (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 901

State v. Gazzotti (Memorandum Decision) . . . . . 901

State v. Kenneth B. . . . . 270

*Assault second degree; persistent serious felony offender; claim that there was insufficient evidence presented at trial to support defendant's conviction of second degree assault; claim that trial court abused its discretion in admitting into evidence two photographs of victim depicting her injuries.*

State v. Torell . . . . . 21

*Petition filed pursuant to statute (§ 17a-593) for order to extend commitment of defendant acquittee to jurisdiction of Psychiatric Security Review Board; claim that trial court improperly denied acquittee's motion to dismiss state's petition to extend his commitment to board that alleged violation of his right to substantive due process under federal constitution; claim that trial court improperly denied acquittee's motion to dismiss state's petition to extend his commitment to board that alleged violation of his right to procedural due process under article first, § 8, of state constitution; reviewability of claim that trial court improperly denied acquittee's motion to strike board's recommendation that his commitment be extended for not more than ten years.*

Three Deer Associates Ltd. Partnership v. Johnson . . . . . 544

*Summary process; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to open judgment rendered in accordance with stipulated summary process agreement; claim that stipulated summary process judgment should have been vacated; whether this court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over portion of defendant's appeal challenging stipulated summary process judgment.*

Twerdahl v. Wilton Public Schools . . . . . 550

*Employment discrimination; motion to strike; claim that trial court erred in granting defendant's motion to strike complaint alleging constructive discharge due to age discrimination; whether plaintiff's complaint to Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities was untimely pursuant to applicable statute ((Rev. to 2019) § 46a-82 (f)).*

Vecchiarino v. Potter . . . . .	676
<i>Probate appeal; claim that Superior Court improperly approved settlement agreement entered into by named beneficiaries of contested will and heirs-at-law without defendant's participation in negotiations; claim that defendant was person interested in estate pursuant to statute (§ 45a-434 (c)) and should have been party to settlement agreement; claim that defendant's pecuniary interest in estate might have been established through application of doctrine of dependent relative revocation; whether doctrine of res judicata barred defendant from attempting to resurrect creditor claims from underlying probate proceedings via arguments in this appeal.</i>	
Vertefeuille v. Good Foundation, Inc. (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	901
Viering v. Groton Long Point Assn., Inc. . . . .	849
<i>Adverse possession; prescriptive easement; claim that trial court erred in granting defendant's motion for summary judgment and denying plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court erred in failing to consider whether access easements over two rights-of-way had been granted to plaintiffs by deed and conferred exclusive right to use rights-of-way; claim that trial court failed to consider extrinsic evidence relating to plaintiffs' claim regarding access easements; claim that trial court erred in concluding that defendant was entitled to judgment as matter of law on plaintiffs' claims that they either had acquired rights-of-way by adverse possession or had acquired prescriptive easements over them; claim that trial court erred in failing to address plaintiffs' claim that defendant had abandoned rights-of-way.</i>	
Wethington v. Wethington . . . . .	715
<i>Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court erred in granting motion for contempt alleging that defendant had violated automatic orders for actions he took before orders were served on him; claim that trial court improperly failed to offset amount it ordered defendant to pay plaintiff in unallocated support by amount of funds defendant had placed in escrow for plaintiff's use; claim that trial court erred in finding that defendant's purchase of motor vehicle during pendency of action violated automatic orders; whether trial court abused its discretion in distributing parties' assets; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying certain of defendant's motions to reargue.</i>	
Williams v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .	745
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court improperly determined that petitioner's trial counsel did not render ineffective assistance; claim that petitioner was prejudiced by trial counsel's purported failure to investigate and properly advise him about possible motion to suppress confession petitioner made to police; unsupported claim that petitioner was unable to make informed decisions about objectives of trial counsel's representation because he was not properly informed about mental disease or defect defense; claim that habeas court abused its discretion when it prevented petitioner from testifying that he would have rejected plea agreement with state and insisted on going to trial had trial counsel more fully informed him of possibility of raising mental disease or defect defense.</i>	
Zakko v. Kasir . . . . .	205
<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion to open dissolution judgment; claim that trial court erred in fashioning revised financial orders after opening dissolution judgment; claim that trial court's granting of plaintiff's motion to open dissolution judgment deprived defendant of his due process rights; claim that there was no evidence to support trial court's conclusion that there was mutual mistake related to provision of parties' separation agreement.</i>	