

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 225

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

A. A.-M. v. M. Z.	46
<i>Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment proceedings; motion for modification of custody; motion for contempt; mootness; subject matter jurisdiction; whether appeal was moot because claims were limited to challenges to trial court's rulings related to defendant's rights to custody and visitation with parties' child, who attained age of eighteen after appeal had been filed; whether practical relief could be afforded to defendant with respect to her motions for contempt related to custody and visitation, in light of fact that parties' child had turned eighteen.</i>	
Alfaro v. Luque (Memorandum Decision)	901
Apex Properties, LLC v. Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. (Memorandum Decision)	901
Banks v. Commissioner of Correction	234
<i>Habeas corpus; remand from Supreme Court; whether petitioner established that his unreserved claims challenged habeas court's handling of habeas proceeding and were nonfrivolous under criteria of Simms v. Warden (230 Conn. 608); whether petitioner established that unreserved and uncertified claim that habeas court failed to fulfill its alleged duty to intervene at habeas proceeding to preserve petitioner's constitutional and statutory rights raised colorable claim of plain error or violation of constitutional right to due process; whether record was adequate to review petitioner's unreserved claim that habeas counsel rendered ineffective assistance under plain error doctrine or State v. Golding (213 Conn. 233); whether habeas court had duty to act when habeas counsel's legal strategy was not readily apparent to court or duty to evaluate counsel's strategic performance; whether habeas court committed error by failing to inquire as to professional judgment of habeas counsel when he appeared to make questionable decision during evidentiary hearing; whether it would have been abuse of habeas court's discretion to deny petition for certification to appeal if unreserved claims had been included therein.</i>	
Bosque v. Commissioner of Correction.	255
<i>Habeas corpus; remand from Supreme Court; whether petitioner's unreserved claims challenging habeas court's handling of habeas proceeding were nonfrivolous under criteria of Simms v. Warden (230 Conn. 608); whether record was adequate to review petitioner's unreserved claims under plain error doctrine or State v. Golding (213 Conn. 233); whether it would have been abuse of habeas court's discretion to deny petition for certification to appeal if unreserved claims had been included therein; decision in companion case, Banks v. Commissioner of Correction (225 Conn. App. 234), dispositive of appeal.</i>	
Buzzard v. Fass	280
<i>Probate appeal; motion for summary judgment; plaintiff's objection to periodic accounting of testamentary trust that included distributions to adopted persons; claim that Superior Court erred in rendering summary judgment for defendants, adopted great grandnieces of testator; claim that Superior Court improperly concluded that presumption in favor of adopted persons set forth in statute (§ 45a-731 (4)) applied; whether Probate Court decree that transferred residue of estate to trustee constituted "distribution" of estate pursuant to exception set forth in § 45a-731 (1) (B); whether there was clear and convincing evidence that testator did not intend to include adopted persons as beneficiaries under trust, so as to trigger exception in § 45a-731 (1) (A).</i>	
C. W. v. Warzecha	137
<i>Negligent infliction of emotional distress; whether defendant's claim that trial court abused its discretion by asking him questions during trial was properly preserved and adequately briefed; whether evidence at trial was sufficient to support finding of negligent infliction of emotional distress; claim that this court should grant defendant's motion to dismiss that trial court did not explicitly address.</i>	

Cockerham v. Westphalen	484
<i>Fraudulent transfer; Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act (§ 52-552a et seq.), discussed; claim that trial court's finding that defendant husband possessed actual fraudulent intent under common law and pursuant to § 52-552a (a) (1) when transferring funds to defendant wife was clearly erroneous; claim that trial court improperly found that wife shared husband's fraudulent intent with respect to transfers; claim that trial court improperly determined that husband's transfers to wife were constructively fraudulent under common law and § 52-552a (a) (2); whether transfers from husband to wife were made without consideration and not in exchange for reasonably equivalent value, leaving husband unable to meet his financial obligations.</i>	
Coney v. Commissioner of Correction	450
<i>Habeas corpus; remand from Supreme Court for further consideration in light of Rose v. Commissioner of Correction (348 Conn. 333); whether habeas court properly dismissed habeas petition as untimely pursuant to statute (§ 52-470 (d) and (e)).</i>	
Davis v. Commissioner of Correction.	263
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; unpreserved claim that petitioner's constitutional right to present evidence and rights to due process, under United States and Connecticut constitutions, were violated when habeas court denied his motion for continuance to secure presence of witness; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petitioner's motion for continuance.</i>	
DeCicco v. Dynata, LLC	725
<i>Wrongful death; motion to dismiss; forum non conveniens; claim that trial court applied wrong test to determine whether Philippines was adequate alternative forum; whether trial court correctly relied on Picketts v. International Playtex, Inc. (215 Conn. 490) to determine that Philippines was adequate alternative forum; claim that trial court improperly dismissed case on ground of forum non conveniens; whether trial court properly determined that, because defendants agreed to accept service and to litigate in Philippines, they were amenable to service there.</i>	
Deer v. National General Ins. Co.	656
<i>Breach of contract; Connecticut Unfair Insurance Practices Act (CUIPA) (§ 38a-815 et seq.); Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.); summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly granted defendants' motions for summary judgment; whether defendant insurance companies and agents failed to provide plaintiffs with adequate notice of nonrenewal of their homeowners insurance policy under terms of policy and pursuant to statute (§ 38a-323); whether defendant insurance agents had duty to provide plaintiffs with notice of results of failed home inspection; whether defendant insurance agents engaged in deceptive and misleading acts or practices under CUIPA and CUTPA.</i>	
Deer v. Trahan Agency, Inc. (See Deer v. National General Ins. Co.)	656
Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Bretoux.	455
<i>Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly rendered summary judgment as to liability for plaintiff; whether defendant failed to establish genuine issue of material fact as to his special defense of unclean hands; claim that trial court improperly failed to consider defendant's estoppel defense when determining amount of debt; whether plaintiff was precluded from relying on affidavit of debt to prove amount of debt pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 23-18 (a)).</i>	
Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Speer	439
<i>Foreclosure; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's request for leave to amend her answer to assert counterclaim; whether appeal was moot because plaintiff withdrew its foreclosure action before any counterclaim had been filed; whether this court could provide practical relief to defendant on basis of unfiled counterclaim after plaintiff's withdrawal of foreclosure action.</i>	
Fountain of Youth Church, Inc. v. Fountain	856
<i>Motion to dismiss; claim that trial court improperly dismissed plaintiff's action for lack of standing.</i>	
Grant v. Commissioner of Correction.	55
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that petitioner's right to due process was violated because eyewitness identification evidence presented at petitioner's criminal trial was not reliable; reviewability of claim that jury instructions on eyewitness identifi-</i>	

	<i>cation were inadequate; whether petitioner's criminal trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to present testimony from eyewitness identification expert and potential alibi witnesses, and by failing to investigate certain cell phone records; claim that habeas court arbitrarily rejected testimony of petitioners' expert witnesses.</i>	
Gleason v. Atkins		745
	<i>Quiet title; trespass; claim that trial court improperly concluded that description of certain real property in deed was ambiguous; claim that plaintiffs were entitled to rebuttable presumption that boundary of real property ran to center of paved portion of adjacent highway; claim that trial court's findings as to boundaries of real property were clearly erroneous; claim that any ambiguity as to location of boundary of certain premises must be construed against grantor.</i>	
Gonzalez v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)		902
Harvin v. Yale New Haven Health Services Corp.		171
	<i>Negligence per se; negligent infliction of emotional distress; motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss, which was predicated on absolute immunity from suit pursuant to litigation privilege; whether trial court improperly determined that it lacked sufficient evidentiary basis on which to determine whether defendant was entitled to absolute immunity from suit pursuant to litigation privilege.</i>	
Hilton v. Commissioner of Correction		309
	<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court correctly determined that petitioner failed to establish that he was prejudiced by decisions of his criminal trial counsel and first habeas counsel not to present testimony of forensic pathology expert; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petitioner certification to appeal as to claim that habeas court applied wrong legal standard in determining credibility of expert witness; claim that habeas court should have relied on Lapointe v. Commissioner of Correction (316 Conn. 225) and assessed expert's credibility in light of whether jury could have credited expert's testimony.</i>	
Hodge v. Commissioner of Correction		343
	<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court improperly rejected petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus; whether, because petitioner completed his underlying sentence after appeal had been filed, appeal was moot; whether there was any practical relief that could be afforded to petitioner with respect to his claims.</i>	
Holley v. Commissioner of Correction		477
	<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petitioner's petition for certification to appeal; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed habeas petition as untimely pursuant to statute (§ 52-570 (d) and (e)).</i>	
In re Denzel W.		354
	<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly shifted burden of proof on issue of personal rehabilitation to respondent; claim that trial court improperly determined that respondent failed to achieve such degree of personal rehabilitation as would encourage belief that, within reasonable period of time and considering ages and needs of children, respondent could assume responsible position in children's lives; whether there was sufficient evidence existing in record to support trial court's determination of failure to rehabilitate.</i>	
In re Wendy G.-R.		194
	<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that respondent was denied her due process right to effective assistance of counsel at termination of parental rights trial; claim that trial court improperly determined that Department of Children and Families made reasonable efforts to reunify respondent with minor child; claim that trial court improperly determined that respondent was unable or unwilling to benefit from reunification services.</i>	
J. F. v. M. F. (Memorandum Decision)		902
Kukucka v. Commissioner of Correction.		159
	<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; whether habeas court improperly rejected petitioner's claim that he had satisfied cause and prejudice test set forth in Reed v. Ross (468 U.S. 1) to overcome respondent Commissioner of Correction's special defense of procedural default; claim that, because decision in State v. Dickson (322 Conn. 410) was released while petitioner's direct criminal appeal was pending, it was reasonably unknown and sufficiently novel to competent counsel to excuse procedural default.</i>	
Lafferty v. Jones.		552
	<i>Writ of error; order of protection precluding disclosure to unauthorized persons of confidential records of plaintiffs in underlying consolidated actions; motion to</i>	

	<i>disqualify judicial authority; whether trial court improperly suspended plaintiff in error from practice of law for period of six months for improper disclosure of plaintiffs' confidential information to unauthorized persons; unreserved claim that trial court violated right of plaintiff in error to procedural due process by initiating, sua sponte, disciplinary proceedings against him on basis of events that occurred out of court's presence; claim that trial court improperly denied motion by plaintiff in error to disqualify judicial authority because court's impartiality reasonably could be questioned on basis of statements court made during show cause hearing and court's decision to conduct disciplinary proceeding rather than to refer matter to disciplinary authorities; whether trial court improperly determined that plaintiff in error violated various Rules of Professional Conduct in his dissemination of certain confidential records.</i>	
Lepkowski v. Planning Commission.		120
	<i>Administrative appeal; land use; claim that Superior Court incorrectly determined that provision of town's subdivision regulations required completion of environmental evaluation in connection with defendant landowner's resubdivision application; claim that Superior Court improperly concluded that defendant town planning commission illegally waived provision of subdivision regulations in granting landowner's resubdivision application without environmental evaluation having been performed.</i>	
Marafi v. El Achchabi.		415
	<i>Fraudulent misrepresentation; statutory theft; unjust enrichment; summary judgment; plain error doctrine; whether trial court properly determined that no genuine issue of material fact existed with respect to plaintiff's claims; claim that actions for fraudulent misrepresentation, statutory theft and unjust enrichment contravened statutory (§ 52-572f) proscription on actions arising from criminal conversation when plaintiff's claims were based on misrepresentation that he was father of defendant's children.</i>	
Martin v. Todd Arthurs Co..		844
	<i>Breach of contract; whether trial court properly granted motion to enforce settlement agreement; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion to dismiss.</i>	
Michael G. v. Commissioner of Correction.		341
	<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court improperly denied petition for certification to appeal from its judgment dismissing petition for writ of habeas corpus on ground that petitioner failed to establish good cause for late filing pursuant to statute (§ 52-470 (e)).</i>	
Modzelewski's Towing & Storage, Inc. v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles.		386
	<i>Motor vehicle towing and recovery services; administrative appeal; claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiffs' charges for towing and recovery services involving damaged tractor trailer were violative of Department of Motor Vehicles' regulations (§§ 14-63-36b and 14-63-36c); claim that trial court improperly concluded that rate schedule plaintiffs posted in their workplace did not comply with applicable regulation (§ 14-65j-3); whether substantial evidence in record supported imposition of civil fine against plaintiffs; claim that trial court erred in concluding that department's hearing officer properly declined to dismiss insurer's complaint as to one of plaintiff companies.</i>	
No. 2 Fraser Place Condominium Assn., Inc. v. Mathis		534
	<i>Foreclosure; judgment of strict foreclosure; writ of audita querela; postjudgment proceedings; whether trial court properly concluded that evidence in support of application for writ of audita querela did not support finding that parties reached and performed on postjudgment agreement to redeem property; reviewability of unreserved claim that trial court improperly failed to conclude that issuing writ of audita querela was necessary to avoid inequitable windfall to plaintiff condominium association; whether trial court properly declined to admit into evidence letter by attorney for nonparty mortgage servicer; whether trial court properly declined to admit into evidence lis pendens purporting to give notice of subsequent foreclosure action against defendants.</i>	
166 Glover Avenue, LLC v. James (Memorandum Decision).		901
Reed v. Commissioner of Correction		352
	<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court improperly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that application to petitioner of amendment to administrative directive on risk reduction earned credit issued by respondent Commissioner of Correction violated ex post facto clause of federal constitution.</i>	

Rubin v. Brodie	108
<i>Motion for review; application to confirm arbitration award pursuant to statute (§ 52-417 et seq.); subject matter jurisdiction; automatic appellate stay of proceedings pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 61-11 (a)); whether defendant's application to confirm arbitration award survived dismissal of complaint; whether appeal from judgment dismissing complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction automatically stayed proceedings before Superior Court on pending application to confirm arbitration award.</i>	
Smith v. Commissioner of Correction	822
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal from its judgment denying petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that habeas court erroneously concluded that, by knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently withdrawing prior habeas petition with prejudice, petitioner waived right to bring same claims in present petition.</i>	
State v. Leuders	612
<i>Animal cruelty; criminal damage to landlord's property first degree; motion to suppress; sufficiency of evidence; whether trial court reasonably could have found that defendant specifically intended to damage landlord's property and that damage was not product of accident or neglect as required by statute (§ 53a-117e); whether trial court erred in denying defendant's motion to suppress certain evidence obtained as result of alleged unlawful and illegal entry into home and statements she made to police while in their custody; whether trial court improperly determined that warrantless entry into residence by police was supported by emergency aid doctrine; unpreserved claim that statements defendant made to police detective while in police custody were not result of knowing and intelligent waiver of rights pursuant to <i>Miranda v. Arizona</i> (384 U.S. 336) and were not voluntary; whether trial court violated defendant's due process rights by relying on evidence relating to charges of which defendant had been acquitted in imposing sentence.</i>	
State v. Mallozzi	787
<i>False statement in absentee balloting; forgery second degree; motion to strike; motion to dismiss; claim that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction; claim that trial court improperly permitted state to amend its information during trial; whether trial court properly denied defense counsel's request during trial to obtain expert witness to rebut state's expert witness; whether defendant's right to due process was violated by lack of rule of practice that required state to disclose substance of any expert opinion on which it intended to rely at trial; claim that defendant's right to confrontation under sixth amendment to United States constitution was violated because trial court declined to strike witness' testimony, which was provided in state's case-in-chief, after that witness invoked her fifth amendment privilege against self-incrimination when she was called to testify by defense; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss on ground of selective prosecution.</i>	
State v. Webber	16
<i>Petition to restrict dissemination of acquittee's sexual offender registration information pursuant to statute (§ 54-255 (c) (5)); sexual assault first degree; whether trial court properly concluded that it lacked jurisdiction over petition; claim that statutory exclusion of acquittee's petition due to date range of conviction criterion in § 54-255 (c) (5) violated his rights to equal protection; whether, even if acquittee prevailed on equal protection claim, he would meet necessary requirements for trial court to grant petition under § 54-255 (c) (5); <i>Megan's Law</i> (§ 54-250 et seq.), discussed.</i>	
Stonybrook Gardens Cooperative, Inc. v. NewRez, LLC	1
<i>Omitted party action pursuant to statute (§ 49-30); foreclosure; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant mortgagee's motion to open judgment of strict foreclosure for purpose of correcting its redemption amount to extent that amount was inconsistent with statute (§ 47-258 (b)) governing liens for assessments and other sums due to condominium and cooperative associations.</i>	
Tran v. Woodworth	514
<i>Negligence; summary judgment; whether trial court improperly granted defendants' motion for summary judgment; claim that evidence on which defendants relied in support of their motion for summary judgment did not demonstrate absence of any genuine issue of material fact as to allegations of negligence in complaint; claim that plaintiff submitted countervailing evidence demonstrating existence of genuine issue of material fact that precluded summary judgment.</i>	

United Cleaning & Restoration, LLC v. Bank of America, N.A. 702
Breach of contract; unjust enrichment; summary judgment; whether trial court properly granted defendant's motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly considered evidence submitted by defendant in support of motion for summary judgment that failed to satisfy evidentiary requirements of rule of practice (§ 17-46) and common law; claim that trial court erred in determining that no genuine issues of material fact existed as to plaintiff's claims.

Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB v. Schulz (Memorandum Decision). 902